gmichael@dhillonlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs South Bay United

Pentecostal Church and Bishop Arthur Hodges III

23

24

25

26

27

- 1) In May 2020, Plaintiffs filed the complaint in this case against State Defendants, San Diego County Public Health Officer Wilma J. Wooten, San Diego County Director of Emergency Services Helen Robbins-Meyer, San Diego County Sheriff William D. Gore, City of San Diego Mayor Kevin Faulconer, and City of San Diego Police Chief David Nisleit (together with State Defendants, "Defendants"), challenging the State's restrictions on houses of worship imposed in connection with the COVID-19 pandemic. The parties have litigated this matter in this Court, the Ninth Circuit, and the Supreme Court since that filing.
- 2) In light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Tandon v. Newsom*, 141 S. Ct. 1294 (2021), the State made its restrictions on indoor worship services voluntary. Although State Defendants continue to dispute Plaintiffs' claims, State Defendants as well as Plaintiffs wish to resolve this matter now and hereby consent to entry of judgment in favor of Plaintiffs, and to entry of a permanent injunction and order of dismissal in the form submitted as Exhibit A herewith to fully and finally resolve Plaintiffs' claim under the Free Exercise Clause of the United States Constitution. This stipulation shall not be construed as a concession of liability on either Plaintiffs' claim under the Free Exercise Clause of the United States Constitution, or any other claim alleged in the Complaint.
- 3) In consideration for the promises set forth in this stipulation, Plaintiffs agree to dismiss with prejudice all claims pending against all Defendants and hereby release and discharge all Defendants, and all of their agents, employees,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr. Tomás Aragón is automatically substituted for Sonia Angell as a defendant. Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d). Rob Bonta is automatically substituted for Xavier Becerra as a defendant. *Id*.

- grievances, liabilities, obligations, agreements, causes of action, damages, and expenses (including attorneys' fees and costs, except as provided in this stipulation), of any nature whatsoever, whether at law or in equity, or known or unknown, which Plaintiffs have, or may have had, against Defendants (or any of them), whether or not apparent or yet to be discovered, or which may hereafter develop, for any acts or omissions related to or arising from this litigation, excepting any claims related to the enforcement of this stipulated judgment,
- fully resolve this civil action and related appeals. After this Court's entry of the stipulated injunction, Plaintiffs will dismiss any pending appeals. The parties respectfully request expedited consideration and action on
- this stipulation and entry of the permanent injunction. This Court's prompt entry of the stipulated permanent injunction by May 31, 2021, would obviate the need for any interim motion to stay existing deadlines regarding Plaintiffs' pending discovery requests and State Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' complaint.
- The stipulated permanent injunction will be entered under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and shall constitute the final judgment in this matter.
- Defendant Governor Newsom shall pay Plaintiffs the sum of \$1,600,000 for Plaintiffs' reasonable attorney's fees necessarily incurred in this case, including all associated appeals. Plaintiffs expressly waive any right to recover costs in this case, including all associated appeals. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, post-judgment interest shall begin to accrue 60 days from the date the Court signs the final judgment.
- 8) The parties request that this Court retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of implementing and enforcing the final judgment.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26