

# BAILIWICK NEWS

Substack posts from [bailiwicknews.substack.com](https://bailiwicknews.substack.com)

April 2023

\* \* \*

**April 2, 2023 - Repost: Thought-stopping stage sets in legal pleadings. First posted Nov. 14, 2022**

I'm working on a post about the March 31, 2023 order dismissing Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case, hoping to finish it tomorrow. In the meantime, I'm reposting a related piece below.

For background:

- Feb. 3, 2023 - Recap of Jackson v. Pfizer, whistleblower Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case.<sup>1</sup>

Epoch Times reporting by Zachary Stieber:

- April 1, 2023 - Pfizer COVID-19 Vaccine Trial Whistleblower Case Dismissed by Judge<sup>2</sup>

Sasha Latypova analysis:

- April 1, 2023 - Brook Jackson's case dismissed by Judge Truncale. Judge sides with Pfizer's lawyers and DOJ, as expected<sup>3</sup>



The mystic marriage of St. Catherine of Siena. By Giovanni di Paolo.

Some case documents:

- 2020.07.20 Base Agreement DOD-ATI-Pfizer<sup>4</sup>
- 2020.07.21 DOD-ATI-Pfizer Technical Direction Letter<sup>5</sup>
- 2022.04.22 Pfizer Motion to Dismiss<sup>6</sup>
- 2022.10.04 Jackson v. Ventavia US Gov Intervene<sup>7</sup>
- 2023.03.01 Jackson v Pfizer Oral Argument Transcript<sup>8</sup>
- 2023.03.31 Judge Truncale Order Dismissal<sup>9</sup>

\*

<sup>1</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/pfizer-covid-19-vaccine-trial-whistleblower-case-dismissed-by-judge\\_5165422.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/pfizer-covid-19-vaccine-trial-whistleblower-case-dismissed-by-judge_5165422.html)

<sup>3</sup> <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/brook-jacksons-case-dismissed-by>

<sup>4</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.20-base-agreement-pfizer-contract-56-p-exh-a-jackson.pdf>

<sup>5</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.21-dod-ati-pfizer-technical-direction-letter-ota-w15qkn-16-9-1002-35-p.pdf>

<sup>6</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-motion-to-dismiss.pdf>

<sup>7</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.10.04-jackson-v.-ventavia-us-gov-intervene.pdf>

<sup>8</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2023.03.01-jackson-v-pfizer-oral-argument-transcript.pdf>

<sup>9</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2023.03.31-judge-truncale-order-dismissal.pdf>

Nov. 14, 2022 - Thought-stopping stage sets in legal pleadings. Proposed thought-restarting language to help people revoke their coerced suspension of disbelief.<sup>10</sup>

CJ Hopkins, The Road to Totalitarianism, Revisited<sup>11</sup> (Nov. 13, 2022)

...After I happened upon the “Covid restrictions” (i.e., the social-segregation system) still being enforced by that Off-Broadway theater, I stumbled upon this article in *Current Affairs*<sup>12</sup> about the oracle Yuval Noah Harari, the writer of which article mentions in passing that somewhere between 6 million and 12 million people have “died of Covid,” as if this were a fact, a fact that no one in their right mind would question.

Which it is, officially, in our new “reality,” despite the fact (i.e., the actual fact) that — as even the “health authorities” have admitted — anyone who died of anything<sup>13</sup> in a hospital after testing positive was recorded as a “Covid-19 death.”

This is how “reality” (i.e., official “reality,” consensus “reality”) is manufactured and policed. It is manufactured and policed, not only by the media, corporations, governments, and non-governmental governing entities, but also (and, ultimately, more effectively) by the constant repetition of official narratives as unquestionable axiomatic facts.

Hopkins’ point about how consensus “reality” is manufactured and policed, is extremely important.

Yesterday after I read it, I was reading more legal pleadings. I was reading the Ninth Circuit opinion and Supreme Court appellate briefs in *Saldana v. Glenhaven Health Care* (22-192).

The Saldana case presents SCOTUS with an opportunity to review the liability immunities provided to medical facilities, medical personnel and medical products under the 2005 PREP Act and related HHS declarations and recommendations on emergency treatments and protocols.

On Sept. 30, 2022, the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, the American Hospital Association, the American Health Care Association and the American Tort Reform Association filed an amicus brief in support of Glenhaven’s position that the survivors of the dead man (Ricardo Saldana), have no viable claim against the nursing home where he died, on grounds that PREP Act preemption is complete.

The medical-industry cabal attorneys at page 3:

In early 2020, a highly contagious and deadly new virus began sweeping around the world and across the country. Little at the time was known about COVID- 19, how it spread, how it harmed those infected, how it could be contained, or how it could be prevented. Healthcare providers were forced to adapt to rapidly changing circumstances and information.

This paragraph has been reproduced, with slight variations as to wording, in thousands of legal documents during Covid-times, written by purveyors of the official narrative, but also reflected in victims’ own filings and in judicial orders and memoranda.

For example, US District Judge William Stickman IV wrote an otherwise constitutionally-sound September 2020 decision in *Butler v. Wolf*,<sup>14</sup> USDC Western District of Pennsylvania, 2:20-cv-677.

The case was brought by several Pennsylvania small business owners and others, challenging Governor Tom Wolf’s executive orders shutting down or reducing occupancy at premises across the state.

---

<sup>10</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/thought-stopping-stage-sets-in-legal>

<sup>11</sup> <https://cjhopkins.substack.com/p/the-road-to-totalitarianism-revisited>

<sup>12</sup> <https://www.currentaffairs.org/2022/07/the-dangerous-populist-science-of-yuval-noah-harari>

<sup>13</sup> <https://off-guardian.org/2020/04/05/covid19-death-figures-a-substantial-over-estimate/>

<sup>14</sup> <https://renzlaw.files.wordpress.com/2020/09/pa-butler-v.-wolf1.pdf>

Judge Stickman found the governor's orders unconstitutional.

The Constitution cannot accept the concept of a “new normal” where the basic liberties of the people can be subordinated to open-ended emergency mitigation measures. Rather, the Constitution sets certain lines that may not be crossed, even in an emergency. Actions taken by Defendants crossed those lines. It is the duty of the Court to declare those actions unconstitutional. Thus, consistent with the reasons set forth above, the Court will enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs. (p. 66)

But even Stickman accepted the basic premise we now know was fraudulent from the start:

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted every aspect of American life. Since the novel coronavirus emerged in late 2019, governments throughout the world have grappled with how they can intervene in a manner that is effective to protect their citizens from getting sick and, specifically, how they can protect their healthcare systems from being overwhelmed by an onslaught of cases, hindering their ability to treat patients suffering from COVID-19 or any other emergency condition. (p. 1)

Judge Stickman's Sept. 2020 order was immediately stayed by Third Circuit at Governor Wolf's request, leaving Wolf's shutdown orders in force.

Plaintiffs' appeal was dismissed as moot by the Third Circuit in August 2021, on the absurd grounds that Wolf had temporarily lifted the restrictions and therefore the basis for constitutional review of executive emergency powers had disappeared.

The same absurd reasoning has been used to throw out a lot of similar cases; it's a pattern; it's part of the coordinated program. See, for example, June 22, 2022 Bailiwick report on a Georgia case: *Smart v. Kemp*; ultra vires - 'beyond the power.'<sup>15</sup>

SCOTUS denied *certiorari* on *Butler v. Wolf* in January 2022, refusing to hear the plaintiffs' appeal from the Third Circuit dismissal. See Feb. 4, 2022 Bailiwick report: How the International Health Regulations voiding constitutional and statutory law in signatory nation-states, underpin de facto public health martial law in Pennsylvania.<sup>16</sup>

Commonwealth Partners Chamber of Entrepreneurs filed a Third Circuit amicus brief in *Butler v. Wolf*, on the side of the small business owners, concluding that “the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause does not allow Governor Wolf and Secretary Levine to unilaterally — and indefinitely — determine which businesses in Pennsylvania may operate and which businesses must close, based upon an undefined standard that is permanently insulated from review. The [Sept. 2020 Stickman] opinion of the District Court should be upheld.”

But even the authors of that brief, in siding with the small business owners against Governor's Wolf's totalitarian overreach, accepted the basic premise.

A brutal, debilitating and unrelenting pandemic swept across the entire globe in 2020. In its wake, the novel coronavirus (“COVID-19”) has left only death and destruction. It ravaged thriving economies, attacked prospering businesses, and took millions of innocent lives. The exigent nature of the current health crisis is not in question. The disease forced this nation's federal, state and local governments to react quickly and decisively to an unprecedented public health emergency.

The language is designed to reinforce the illusion, the fraud, on which the rest of the criminal enterprise rests: the claim that “the exigent nature of the current health crisis is not in question.”

The language has been inserted into Covid-era legal documents early in the text, at introductory or background sections where most lawyers, judges and experienced readers are skimming without engaging deep analytical faculties, self included.

Legal readers skim those sections because they typically present factual case information that is well-known and not disputed, and we're more interested in getting to the disputed issues and the legal arguments.

---

<sup>15</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/smart-v-kemp>

<sup>16</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/how-the-international-health-regulations>

It's diabolical, coordinated genius.

It forces readers to skip over the single most important disputable issue: What is the nature of the emergency confronting human beings since January 2020, and therefore also confronting the courts through which we traditionally try to resolve disputes without resorting to overt violence?

Is the emergency the global outbreak of a deadly, novel, unprecedented communicable disease, as thousands of lawyers and judges have stated as indisputable fact, in thousands of pleadings and opinions?

Or is the emergency the global outbreak of a massive, orchestrated fraud, combined with covert violence (bioterrorism and medical murder), designed to bypass the Constitutional crisis set in motion by Congress and US Presidents through hundreds of tyrannical legislative and executive acts committed over the past half-century?

Is it a massive, orchestrated fraud designed to clear away every conceivable legal, social and political obstacle in the path to non-consensual, centralized, public health-predicated global surveillance, control and governance?

With every passing day, the answer becomes more clear.

It's a massive, orchestrated fraud.

It all goes back, again and again, to the legal mechanisms.

The legal codes, regulations, executive orders, declarations and proclamations are the primary crime scenes, where the criminals rampaged long before the death machine engines engaged in hospitals, nursing homes, pharmacies and pop-up vaxx clinics.

The visible law-makers and shadowy law-writers are the master criminals, long before the public health experts, doctors, nurses and pharmaceutical manufacturers began to play their parts.

And the pseudo-laws have been written to pre-cover up the crimes, pre-paralyze the courts, suppress the legal principles, and preempt and hide the resulting Constitutional crisis triggered by those laws.

The criminals desperately need to bypass that Constitutional crisis, to take us all quietly to the full totalitarian system that lies beyond it.

It's a massive, orchestrated fraud.

That's the knowledge that the would-be global tyrants must keep from the Normals at all costs, and operate every lever of power at their disposal to keep hidden.

Every legal pleading filed by the resistance from this point forward should stop playing along with the fraud and start reinforcing the truth.

The very first sections of every filing need to include some version of the following:

A brutal, debilitating and unrelenting US Government-coordinated fraud swept across the entire globe in 2020.

Government and public health agencies around the world labelled the fraud "Covid-19," and used the fraud to terrify populations; suspend the rule of law; destroy the credibility of religious, political, legal, medical, scientific research and media institutions and professions; shred social bonds based on mutual trust; ravage thriving economies; attack prospering businesses; and take millions of innocent lives.

The fraudulent global health crisis was manufactured and sustained through specific, identifiable government policies and programs developed at the federal level in the US, and exported for replication by national governments in almost every other country in the world.

These policies and programs included the development and deployment of communicable and injectable pathogens — including but not limited to the toxic compound colloquially known as the "spike protein" — by the US Department of Defense in cooperation with academic and private sector criminal organizations in the

US and other countries, in violation of international and federal laws prohibiting chemical and biological warfare, genocide, torture, mutilation and other atrocities.

These policies and programs must be scrutinized, repealed and terminated. Their architects and financiers must be charged, tried and executed. Their victims and survivors of the dead must be compensated and cared for.

The US Government's fraud forced the world's people to waste three years attempting to react quickly and decisively to an allegedly unprecedented public health emergency, when in truth, the unprecedented threat faced by Americans and the rest of humanity is a criminal fraud and mass murder campaign operated by the US Government and dozens of private-sector and academic conspirator organizations.

I respect CJ Hopkins and his writer-warrior work. He is a powerful, wise voice crying in the wilderness. I'm grateful for him and his courage and perseverance.

I'm also alert to the emotional effects of things I read and watch. Reading Hopkins often pushes me toward despair, which is bad.

Despair is part of the learned helplessness phenomenon. It weakens agency.

My understanding is that Hopkins thinks that humans can and should try to resist, organize ourselves and throw off the diabolical totalitarian tsunami crashing over us. But he also thinks that there are too many Normals and not enough Deviants, and the totalitarian overlords have had too much control for too long over the minds of the Normals, so the likelihood of success is near-zero.

When I read Hopkins, I try to absorb his incisive analysis and historical contextualizing, and also try to remind myself: God changes the calculus.

God can do things humans cannot do.

Working with God, humans can do things that humans cannot do by ourselves.

\* \* \*

**April 4, 2023 - Government by silent immobility: an effective ruling innovation developed by the globalists, capitalizing on natural human aversion to hard work, conflict and pain.**

*FOIA requests to Department of State re: delegation of authority for treaty negotiation and ratification.*

Following up on:

- March 30, 2023 - Sen. Ron Johnson gets senators on record re: international contracts that enslave Americans to globalists through the World Health Organization and pharmaco-martial law.<sup>17</sup>

and James Roguski reporting and analysis:

- May 21, 2022 - Questions<sup>18</sup>
- March 14, 2023 - Truth Bomb<sup>19</sup>

Below is a summary of Roguski's findings about the legal procedures used by delegates from member-states, to the World Health Organization World Health Assembly, to negotiate, adopt and trigger enforcement of

1. amendments to existing WHO International Health Regulations (IHR), which is classified by WHO as a "legally-binding instrument of international law;"<sup>20</sup> and
2. a proposed, new "pandemic treaty"

Amendments to WHO International Health Regulations

Under the IHR amendment process, the default position is that amendments adopted by "consensus" at the World Health Assembly each May are automatically enforceable in each member state 24 months later.

A nation-state government can act to block the enforceability after the World Health Assembly meeting concludes and the delegates go home.

But if the nation-state government doesn't do anything — if the executive, legislature and courts remain silent and immobile — the amendments go into force.

Consensus means without voice, roll call, or any other formal delegate vote. The amendments are passed by the simple mechanism of nobody objecting for a few minutes after someone introduces a resolution on the floor of the assembly. WHO officials and/or each country delegation then must formally notify the federal executives and legislatures that the amendments have been adopted; that the nation-state government has 18 months to file a rejection letter; and that if the rejection letter isn't written and sent, the amendments will enter into force in 24 months.

In other words, IHR amendments adopted this way automatically go into force in all the WHO member countries 24 months after the WHA acts, *unless* within 18 months of being notified about the amendments, any individual government moves, speaks and sends a letter saying "No, we don't agree to this."

- 1946 WHO Constitution<sup>21</sup>
- 2005 WHO International Health Regulations<sup>22</sup>

Article 59, Paragraph 3 of the IHR of 2005 informs each WHO member-state of its obligations — under Article 22 of the WHO Constitution — to “adjust its domestic legislative and administrative arrangements fully with these regulations within the period set out in paragraph 2 of this article” — currently 24 months.

---

<sup>17</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/sen-ron-johnson-gets-senators-on>

<sup>18</sup> <https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/questions>

<sup>19</sup> <https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/truth-bomb>

<sup>20</sup> [https://www.who.int/health-topics/international-health-regulations#tab=tab\\_1](https://www.who.int/health-topics/international-health-regulations#tab=tab_1)

<sup>21</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/1946-who-constitution.pdf>

<sup>22</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2005-who-international-health-regulations-3rd-ed.pdf>

Article 59, Paragraph 3 informs member-states that failure to adjust domestic legislation and regulations by the deadline, requires the member-state to “submit within the period specified in paragraph 1 of this Article [18 months] a declaration to the Director-General regarding the outstanding adjustments and achieve them no later than 12 months after the entry into force of these regulations for that State party.”

The WHO Constitution and International Health Regulations created and now keep in place the global kill box<sup>23</sup> and the American statutory and regulatory framework,<sup>24</sup> through the criminal complicity and nonfeasance of Congress, US Presidents, Cabinet secretaries, state governments, and federal and state courts.

\*

The United States delegation to WHO led the most recent round of amendments, which were submitted by HHS Assistant Secretary Loyce Pace to the United Nations/World Health Organization on Jan. 18, 2022.<sup>25</sup>

On Jan. 20, 2022,<sup>26</sup> WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus transmitted the US-proposed amendments, as “Provisional agenda item 16.2,” to each WHA member-state delegation for initial review.

On April 12, 2022,<sup>27</sup> Tedros submitted the US-proposed amendments to the WHA delegates for consideration at the late May 2022 meeting in Geneva.

On May 24, 2022,<sup>28</sup> the delegations from the US, UK, EU, Australia, Japan and several other member-states formally circulated the US-proposed amendments to the World Health Assembly as a draft resolution.

On May 27, 2022,<sup>29</sup> the World Health Assembly “adopted” the resolution through the consensus process outlined above, which requires no recorded votes, simply the absence of formal objections.

Two of the US-proposed, WHA-adopted amendments will reduce the time windows between WHA adoption and automatic enforcement at the nation-state level.

Effective May 27, 2024, *unless* countries individually reject the amendments by 18 months from May 27, 2022 (by Nov. 27, 2023) — any subsequent amendment packages, will go into force 12 months from "consensus" adoption at World Health Assembly (down from 24 months), *unless* countries send letters of rejection within 10 months of the consensus adoption (down from 18 months).

Currently, to the extent that the WHO governmental procedures are construed as legitimate by nation-state governments, no Senate or Parliament, or President/Prime Minister, or health secretary anywhere in the world has an opportunity or an obligation, to review, debate, vote on, formally ratify or put his or her signature on any IHR amendments.

By default, any amendments passed by consensus at a WHA meeting become enforceable in all the member-states 24 months later.

## Treaties

Treaties, such as the proposed "pandemic treaty," sole-executive agreements, and other international contracts, are subject to a different set of negotiation, adoption and ratification procedures at the member-state and World Health Assembly levels.

---

<sup>23</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/legal-walls-of-the-covid-19-kill?s=w>

<sup>24</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/american-domestic-bioterrorism-program>

<sup>25</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2022.01.18-us-loyce-pace-submit-us-proposed-ihr-amendments-to-who.pdf>

<sup>26</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2022.01.20-who-tedros-transmittal-to-member-states-ihr-amendments-submitted-by-us.pdf>

<sup>27</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2022.04.12-who-director-general-submitting-ihr-amendments-to-wha.pdf>

<sup>28</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2022.05.24-who-ihr-draft-resolution-to-wha-by-us-uk-eu-australia-japan-and-more-delegations.pdf>

<sup>29</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2022.05.27-wha-adopts-us-proposed-ihr-amendments.pdf>

In the United States, Roguski has found, international agreements are governed by State Department regulations about notification to the State Department that someone wants to negotiate a treaty and what the content of the treaty is about, so that the State Department can conduct legal review of the contract; classify it as subject to or exempt from Senate ratification, and/or other contract types; and then issue a formal pre-authorization letter to the negotiator, to go ahead and negotiate.

Roguski has looked for State Department legal review documents, treaty classification reports, authorization documents, and Federal Register notices addressing the “delegation of authority” for individuals claiming to represent the United State Government, to negotiate or cast WHA votes.

He’s looked for those documents relating to *both* amendments to the 2005 International Health Regulations — which he argues pose the primary threat to the world’s people, and relating to a new pandemic treaty, which he believes is mostly a decoy to keep public attention away from the IHR amendment process.

He has not located any such documents or authorizations.

It’s possible that none of the required legal reviews, legal classifications, delegations of authority, notifications to Congress, Senate ratifications and other procedures have been conducted, potentially voiding the 2005 IHR amendments, the May 2022 IHR amendments, the planned May 2023 IHR amendments, and the proposed “pandemic treaty.”

It’s also possible that there is a collection of pertinent records that will come to light to render the US Government’s acts pseudo-legal and on-paper compliant with pseudo-laws whose sole purpose is to prevent Americans from understanding that criminals pretending to be US Government officials are engaged in a global war to control, injure, sicken, impoverish and kill billions of people.

It’s important to note that, because the US delegation is the source of the May 27, 2022 amendments to the 2005 International Health Regulations, the odds of the same delegates, or the President, sending a rejection letter to reject those amendments, are very small.

The odds go up if social and political pressure continues to build, pushing more members of Congress and federal judges to overcome their default setting of silence and immobility, and choose to deal with the Constitutional crisis in a loud, confrontational way instead.

I filed a FOIA request to Department of State, requesting (paraphrased):

1. ...All notifications sent from WHO to US Government officials, announcing the May 27, 2022 World Health Assembly adoption of amendments to the 2005 IHR; and informing the US Government of its right, under Article 22 of the WHO Constitution and Article 61 of the 2005 IHR, to formally reject amendments.
2. All notification sent by US Government officials, to the WHO, pertaining to US rejection or reservation of the May 27, 2022 IHR amendments... between May 27, 2022 and the present.
3. All notifications sent by any non-US government officials (any WHO member-state other than the United States) to the WHO, pertaining to rejection or reservation of the May 27, 2022 IHR amendments.
4. All legal reviews conducted by the Department of State Office of the Legal Advisor... classifying adopted and/or pending IHR amendments under statutes and regulations governing negotiation, review and ratification procedures for "treaties," "international agreements other than treaties," and "sole executive agreements" including but not limited to 1 USC 112a, 1 USC 112b, 22 CFR 181, 11 FAM 720 et seq., and Department Circular 175 of 1955, between Jan. 1, 2000 and the present.
5. All legal reviews conducted by the Department of State Office of the Legal Advisor, classifying adopted and/or pending "pandemic treaties" under statutes and regulations governing negotiation, review and ratification procedures for "treaties," "international agreements other than treaties," and "sole executive agreements" including but not limited to 1 USC 112a, 1 USC 112b, 22 CFR 181, 11 FAM 720 et seq., and Department Circular 175 of 1955, between Jan. 1, 2000 and the present.



6. All authorizations and/or related delegation of authority documents authorizing any individual to represent the United States during treaty and/or treaty amendment negotiation and adoption proceedings at the World Health Assembly of the World Health Organization, between Jan. 1, 2000 and the present.

7. All notices published in the Federal Register, regarding "delegation of authority" for negotiation of "treaties," "international agreements other than treaties," and "sole executive agreements," between Jan. 1, 2000 and the present.

8. All notices submitted to members of Congress, informing them of drafts and/or negotiations for pending, ongoing or adopted IHR amendments and/or "pandemic treaties," under 11 FAM 725.1 and related statutes, regulations and guidance documents, between Jan. 1, 2000 and the present.

\* \* \*

### **April 6, 2023 - On enforcement mechanisms wielded against non-compliant nation-states.**

Responding to a few comments from the post about James Roguski's research<sup>30</sup> on the World Health Organization procedures<sup>31</sup> for adoption of amendments to the 2005 International Health Regulations and adoption of new treaties, paraphrased:

What entity or agency or person/people does the actual enforcing? Who? What form would the "enforcing" take? What would be the consequences of just refusing? ...I doubt that agents or soldiers from the United Nations would come after leaders of the countries that just ignored it all.

*My reply, expanded:*

Some national leaders have been assassinated. The most obvious was the President of Tanzania, John Magufuli, killed in March 2021 shortly after he began demonstrating and talking about some of the core frauds supporting the globally-coordinated mass murder and enslavement campaign disguised as a public health emergency.

Those assassinations, like most assassinations, send a very clear message to other national leaders not to step out of line.

But the primary enforcement mechanism, as I understand the structure of the global extortion system, is financial. National governments that don't comply lose access to international banking systems: transaction processing; loans; manageable interest rates on borrowing; currency stability; aid packages. Everything. The lifeblood of their economies is drained.

At the top tier, the Bank for International Settlements owners do it, through their control of private central banks and treasury secretaries in each country; through World Bank, IMF, World Trade Organization, and WEF programs; and through BlackRock and similar transnational, parasitic financial/technology firms.

The same extortion mechanism works on smaller scales,<sup>32</sup> to enforce the compliance of commercial banks, state governments, hospitals, schools, counties, towns, private businesses subject to state licensure, families and individuals, and has been used extensively during the last three years. See, for example, Intergovernmental Agreements that condition county receipt of federal funding on county compliance<sup>33</sup> with current *and future*<sup>34</sup> terms

---

<sup>30</sup> <https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/truth-bomb>

<sup>31</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/government-by-silent-immobility-an>

<sup>32</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/more-on-the-tiered-coercion-cascades>

<sup>33</sup> <https://twpundit.com/2022/01/21/twp-exclusive-warning-the-federal-government-is-stealing-our-freedom-by-circumventing-state-legislatures-opinion/>

<sup>34</sup> <https://twpundit.com/2022/01/21/twp-exclusive-warning-the-federal-government-is-stealing-our-freedom-by-circumventing-state-legislatures-opinion/>

and conditions (not known to the county government when county officials sign the contracts) embedded in federal executive orders and federal agency directives. (Sec. 1.4 at p. 17, Cochise County, AZ IGA<sup>35</sup>).

John Perkins' *Confessions of an Economic Hitman*<sup>36</sup> (2004) lays out the mechanisms.

Cyprus circa 2012-2013<sup>37</sup> was one demonstration of the system as it functions at the nation-state level, as was the 2013 Vatican shutdown to *de facto* (if not *de jure*<sup>38</sup>) eject Benedict XVI from the papacy.

- Jan. 4, 2013 - The Vatican no longer accepts credit cards<sup>39</sup>
- Feb. 12, 2013 - Resumption in the Vatican of credit card payments<sup>40</sup>
- April 4, 2015 - War is declared on US domination by the dollar<sup>41</sup>
- Sept. 20, 2015 - Vatican yellow<sup>42</sup>
- Sept. 28, 2015 - Ratzinger could "neither sell nor buy"<sup>43</sup>

We're currently living through a global demonstration of the extortion/enforcement system, with one salvo fired in 2007-2008 with the Great Financial Crisis,<sup>44</sup> and a second salvo launched in August/Sept. 2019 with the overnight repo rate crisis<sup>45</sup> followed immediately by the falsified "pandemic" as the massive systemic shock pseudo-justifying implementation of long-prepared economic and political centralization plans. The criminals call it "policy coordination."

- August 2019 - Dealing with the next downturn: From unconventional monetary policy to unprecedented policy coordination<sup>46</sup> (BlackRock Investment Institute)

The salvo that started in late summer 2019 is still going on, and poised for an intensification as the dollar is being forced out of its reserve currency status, the injections continue to kill off populations, and sovereign governments continue to be hollowed out through infiltration, corruption, bribery, extortion, blackmail, censorship, propaganda and demoralization.

Many of those things are very old methods for overthrowing enemy nation-states, repeated throughout history. The difference is that for the past century or so, those methods have been used with far greater precision, coordination and durable effects by non-State actors (central banking families) to destroy all of the national governments, countries and populations around the world simultaneously.

Sasha Latypova recently wrote a great post on these issues, getting into far more financial detail, and also laying out more of the internal fights among the globalist ruling class members.

- March 28, 2023 - On US Dollar and Debt: Plandemic start as an effort to stave off dollar's sunset<sup>47</sup> (Sasha Latypova)

She argues — and I agree with her analysis — that various sub-sets of the central banker class have some different and conflicting goals.

But they try to set those differences aside and work together as much as possible to achieve the goals on which they can agree: killing lots of people and weakening the survivors (physically, economically, socially, religiously and politically); stealing lots of resources and productive assets; and centralizing lots of power in their own hands.

---

<sup>35</sup> [https://destinyhosted.com/cochidocs/2021/BOS/20210810\\_2176/5983\\_CTR055990\\_Cochise\\_County\\_COVID-19\\_Health\\_Disparities.pdf](https://destinyhosted.com/cochidocs/2021/BOS/20210810_2176/5983_CTR055990_Cochise_County_COVID-19_Health_Disparities.pdf)

<sup>36</sup> [https://resistir.info/livros/john\\_perkins\\_confessions\\_of\\_an\\_economic\\_hit\\_man.pdf](https://resistir.info/livros/john_perkins_confessions_of_an_economic_hit_man.pdf)

<sup>37</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2012%E2%80%932013\\_Cypriot\\_financial\\_crisis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2012%E2%80%932013_Cypriot_financial_crisis)

<sup>38</sup> <https://www.barnhardt.biz/2023/01/07/canon-188-coercion-substantial-error-and-why-pope-benedicts-death-makes-no-difference-to-the-relevance-of-his-invalid-attempted-partial-resignation-and-the-ongoing-urgent-need-to-publicly/>

<sup>39</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2013.01.04-vatican-no-longer-accepts-credit-cards.pdf>

<sup>40</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2013.02.12-resumption-in-the-vatican-of-credit-card-payments.pdf>

<sup>41</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2015.04.05-war-is-declared-on-us-domination-by-the-dollar.pdf>

<sup>42</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2015.09.20-vatican-yellow-.pdf>

<sup>43</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2015.09-swift-pressure-used-to-push-out-pope-benedict-xvi.pdf>

<sup>44</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2007%E2%80%932008\\_financial\\_crisis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2007%E2%80%932008_financial_crisis)

<sup>45</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/September\\_2019\\_events\\_in\\_the\\_U.S.\\_repo\\_market](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/September_2019_events_in_the_U.S._repo_market)

<sup>46</sup> <https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/literature/whitepaper/bii-macro-perspectives-august-2019.pdf>

<sup>47</sup> <https://sashalatyпова.substack.com/p/the-story-of-us-debt>

## April 7, 2023 - On demonic possession as it relates to the war between human banksters and the rest of humanity.

*Reader comment<sup>48</sup> on yesterday's post:<sup>49</sup>*

[You wrote:] "But they try to set those differences aside and work together as much as possible to achieve the goals on which they can agree: killing lots of people and weakening the survivors (physically, economically, socially, religiously and politically); stealing lots of resources and productive assets; and centralizing lots of power in their own hands."

...I follow and agree with most of your work but I do not understand the logic of the above argument...

What drives these rich bankers? I can only come up with greed and power. Underneath, of course, is a deeply injured heart caused by a cruel upbringing, forming a psychopathic narcissistic personality disorder. Of course, they kill if threatened or if there is a positive risk/benefit.

Granted, really sick psychopaths kill to see the fear and despair in their victims that they can't face in themselves.

But those very sick ones need to kill themselves to live out this compulsion and they are usually not very functional. I doubt many of the highly intelligent highly functional bankers belong to that category. They are just "normal" psychopaths but yes, they would delegate killings if there is an advantage.

I can't see any advantage for either WEF or Bankers to randomly and deliberately kill or weaken millions of American people because

1. their richness is built on millions of hard-working and hard-consuming ordinary people. The amount of rich billionaires is highly correlated with the productivity of a country, and the size of the population of a country. Yes, AI robots might take over in the future but not yet. There aren't millions of useless unemployed eaters that need to be killed off. Even if there were, the way how they do it (through random injection) would not target the unemployed, but the employed productive ones much more (through mandates, social status etc.)

2. The way they apparently kill (through randomly injecting American people) is selecting for the wrong group. It will select the working, productive and compliant part of the population - the easy to control group - and spares the rebellious anti-vax group which appears to be a much bigger threat to them. It doesn't make sense to me.

*My reply:*

My view is that they have made deliberate contractual agreements to serve the devil, and are fully demonically possessed.

I'm Catholic, and I believe in the existence and earthly power of Lucifer, Satan, demons and many other types of supernatural evil entities, and in the existence of hell as a real place.

That answer probably won't be very satisfying for you, but it is what I think is true.

The human participants — central bankers, public health dictators, judges, legislators, presidents etc. — are motivated partially by their own earthly success goals (wealth, power, sex) and they've contracted with Lucifer and his minions to achieve those goals at the cost of selling their souls.

And because they've sold their souls and are possessed, they must also pursue Lucifer's goals, which are primarily separation of human souls from our Creator God, and death of human souls in states of mortal sin, to increase the population of the eternally damned in Hell and slow the population growth of the eternally blessed in Heaven, who are people who die in the state of grace.

---

<sup>48</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-enforcement-mechanisms-wielded/comment/14320367>

<sup>49</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-enforcement-mechanisms-wielded>

If this is a topic that interests you, I suggest starting with the Art Bell-Malachi Martin interviews from the late 1990s, which are on YouTube.<sup>50</sup> Fr. Martin was an exorcist with extensive direct experience with healing people who were possessed by demons.

If this is a topic that doesn't interest you, consider the benefits to evil people and to demons, of persuading good people that evil supernatural beings don't exist and are not actively engaged with human beings.

\*

This is why I regularly advise that people Pray the Rosary. The Rosary is among the most powerful weapons against demonic forces given to humanity by God through the Catholic Church.

\* \* \*

### **April 10, 2023 - On the alleged neutrality of Switzerland.**

ZeroHedge has published a very interesting homage to Switzerland's so-called political neutrality, at a very interesting time.

- April 10, 2023 - Swiss Neutrality Is Needed Now, More Than Ever<sup>51</sup> (Vahan Roth, CIO, RealUnit Schweiz AG, posted at ZeroHedge.

Roth falsely attributes the globalist central bankers' own rapacious, global economic warfare over the last century and especially recent decades, to the United States government alone.

He does this to provide the pretextual justification for calling on the Swiss National Bank to de-dollarize and move the world another step closer to BIS-controlled Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC).

Roth provides a fraudulent overview of the history of Swiss "neutrality" and impartiality since 1815, leading to a globalist-serving conclusion that coincidentally supports the overall momentum toward one-world government of, by and for central bankers, as slave masters to the rest of humanity:

...Let us bring this question into an even more modern and relevant context. Economic warfare strategies have been increasingly deployed over the last decades, especially by the US and its closest allies. Embargoes, sanctions, public and private asset seizures have become the norm. The harm caused to civilians is hard to measure and to quantify and it can persist for decades, even for entire generations. **Long after the "bad guys" are removed from power, countless innocents and their children continue to be punished. The only reason this is possible is because the USD is the world reserve currency.**

This is also the reason why Switzerland's neutrality is increasingly under threat and why it has already started to erode. The fear of potential sanctions is very realistic one and very well grounded, given that the country is so heavily reliant on the dollar. **The only way for Switzerland to regain its absolute, unwavering neutrality, is for the SNB to reduce this reliance and eventually eliminate it.**

This would allow the nation to act once again as the world's trusted "referee", as the voice of reason in times of madness and as the effective peace broker we so badly need today.

\*

---

<sup>50</sup> [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w5\\_1Lz1ldZc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w5_1Lz1ldZc)

<sup>51</sup> <https://www.zerohedge.com/geopolitical/swiss-neutrality-needed-now-more-ever>

Looked at through the central banking-world domination lens, the global public perception of Swiss political neutrality since the early 1800s has been maintained because it benefits the supranational bankers' interests to have a safe haven from which to control looting transactions.

Adam LeBor's *Tower of Basel*<sup>52</sup> (2013) provides useful examples of this mechanism, such as Hitler's decision not to invade Switzerland, because the stability of the Bank for International Settlements was financially useful to his military project, and the BIS owners' reciprocal decision to cooperate with and finance Hitler's military war campaign, because political destabilization of Europe and Russia was politically useful to the banksters' global financial war campaign.

Like Switzerland, BIS has hidden its intensely political character behind a false front of "neutrality" since the BIS launch in 1930, while using financial mechanisms to orchestrate and heavily influence the geopolitical outcomes of wars and other international events.

I relate this to Pascal Najadi's recent claims about having obtained cooperation from Swiss legal authorities to conduct criminal prosecutions of governmental Covid-crimes.<sup>53</sup> I haven't yet seen any documents supporting Najadi's claims that he's filed anything, nor documents corroborating claims that Swiss prosecutors are taking meaningful legal action in response, nor documents corroborating claims that the US Department of Justice is supporting Najadi and his case. Maybe that will change; maybe Najadi's Swiss and US case documents will be publicly released.

In the meantime, through the lens of Switzerland's long history of hosting organizations (BIS at Basel, World Health Organization at Geneva, etc.) that control many aspects of geopolitical events, I can see that it would be very useful for the orchestrators of the Covid crimes to give the world the impression that true accountability could come from that quarter, while simply putting on a pretend show of justice that leads nowhere but draws attention, time and resources away from other attempts at obtaining justice.

I do not think Switzerland can be the locus of truly just prosecution; I think the Swiss government is playing a well-paid role in the next phase of the global fraud.

I do not think Switzerland is politically neutral. I think the country presents a false front of neutrality as cover for the massive interference that globalist organizations headquartered there, conduct within the formerly sovereign nations of the world.

\*

Related Bailiwick reporting:

- March 15, 2023 - Duress, State-sponsored, State-protected contract crimes, and the Bank for International Settlements<sup>54</sup>

\* \* \*

---

<sup>52</sup> <https://archive.org/details/tower-of-basel-2013-by-adam-le-bor>

<sup>53</sup> <https://expose-news.com/2023/03/12/lawsuit-against-pfizer-in-america-for-profitteering/>

<sup>54</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/duress-state-sponsored-state-protected>

**April 10, 2023 - Judge Truncale went out of his way to decline to "take judicial notice" of Brook Jackson's Dec. 14, 2020 letter to DoD. Also new videos.**

New videos:

- April 3, 2023 - US Government Takeover Threatening Liberty - Part 1.<sup>55</sup> Jane Ruby, Katherine Watt (22 min)
- April 5, 2023 - US Government Takeover Threatening Liberty - Part 2.<sup>56</sup> Jane Ruby, Katherine Watt (20 min)
- April 7, 2023 - US Government Takeover Threatening Liberty - Part 3.<sup>57</sup> Jane Ruby, Katherine Watt (20 min)

Re: USDJ Michael Truncale's March 31, 2023<sup>58</sup> order dismissing whistleblower Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case against Pfizer, Ventavia and ICON.

For background:

- Feb. 3, 2023 - Recap of Jackson v. Pfizer, whistleblower Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case.<sup>59</sup>
- April 2, 2023 - Repost: Thought-stopping stage sets in legal pleadings.<sup>60</sup>

I've been reading Truncale's order, thinking about it, taking notes, tracking down citations, re-reading other case documents, and updating my files on six other federal cases that — with *Jackson v. Pfizer* — I think are the most useful cases for understanding the role of criminal judges embedded in American federal courts, and the pseudo-legal mechanisms through which they operate.

Like their historic counterparts in Hitler's Germany, Mussolini's Italy, Stalin's Russia and many other mass murdering police-states, American federal judges have played a key role in maintaining and expanding the supranational covert biowarfare program run through the Trump/Azar-Biden/Becerra police-state apparatus since January 2020.

The six other cases include *South Bay Pentecostal Church v. Newsom* (USDC Southern California, 20-cv-00865-BAS-AHG); *Butler v. Wolf* (USDC Western Pennsylvania, 2:20-cv-677-WSS); *Bridges v. Houston Methodist Hospital System* (USDC Southern Texas, 4:21-CV-01774-LNH); *Robert v. Austin* (USDC Colorado, No. 21-cv-02228-RM-STV); *Griner v. Biden* (USDC Utah, 2:22-CV-149 DAK-DBP) and *Ealy v. Redfield* (USDC Oregon, 2:22-cv-00356-HZ)

There's a lot to unpack.

While I work through the material, one interesting section in Truncale's order goes to the "Who knew what, and when did they know it?" question.

He addresses that question at pp. 33-34:

Payment despite knowledge: Whose Knowledge Matters?

A threshold issue that this Court must address is whether the FDA's knowledge or the DoD's knowledge matters when deciding how much weight to give to the Government's decision to continue purchasing Pfizer's vaccine.

The FDA has known of Ms. Jackson's allegations since September 2020,<sup>61</sup> months prior to Pfizer submitting its first invoice to the DoD in December 2020. [Dkt. 17 at 69;<sup>62</sup> Dkt. 37-2 at 2<sup>63</sup>].

---

<sup>55</sup> <https://rumble.com/v2g32la-us-government-takeover-threatening-liberty.html>

<sup>56</sup> <https://rumble.com/v2gg8e-dr.-jane-ruby-and-katherine-watt-government-tyranny-and-the-takeover-of-our.html>

<sup>57</sup> <https://rumble.com/v2gvh44-after-talk-katherine-watt-04-07.23.html>

<sup>58</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2023.03.31-judge-truncale-order-dismissal.pdf>

<sup>59</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

<sup>60</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/repost-thought-stopping-stage-sets>

<sup>61</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-mtd-exh-c.pdf>

<sup>62</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.02.22-jackson-amended-complaint.pdf>

<sup>63</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-mtd-exh-b.pdf>

But the DoD, not the FDA, is the entity that originally purchased Pfizer's vaccine. [Dkt. 17-1 at 303<sup>64</sup>].

The well-pleaded facts require drawing the inference that the DoD did not have knowledge of the alleged fraud prior to February 22, 2022, approximately two years after it paid Pfizer's first invoice. [FN 20]

\*

#### NOTES:

Feb. 22, 2022 was the date Jackson filed her amended complaint.<sup>65</sup>

She filed her original complaint on Jan. 8, 2021.<sup>66</sup>

But after a year of silent inaction by DOJ and Judge Truncale, and Truncale's gag order on Jackson, the Department of Justice notified Truncale on Jan. 18, 2022<sup>67</sup> that DOJ had no intention of pursuing Jackson's case.

Jackson then had to choose between quitting and hiring a private attorney to re-file.

She hired a private attorney and re-filed.

Because Brook Jackson is an extraordinarily courageous, determined woman.

\*

Judge Truncale at March 31, 2023 order, Footnote 20, pp. 33-34:

FN20 - The Amended Complaint, which Ms. Jackson filed on February 22, 2022, pleads that Ms. Jackson had previously provided the DoD with the information that serves as the basis for her allegations. [Dkt. 17 at 15–16<sup>68</sup>].

Defendants [Pfizer] ask the Court to take judicial notice of several documents, including a letter from Ms. Jackson's former counsel dated December 14, 2020, notifying the DoD about her allegations...<sup>69</sup> [Dkt. 37 at 20–21<sup>70</sup>].

Defendants note that courts routinely take judicial notice of facts published on a party's own website and contend that it is appropriate for this Court to do so here. Id. at 21 n.19.

These documents do not currently appear on Ms. Jackson's website. While these documents could potentially be introduced through a motion for summary judgment or at trial, they are not properly before the Court at this time.

Accordingly, the Court declines to take judicial notice of these documents.

\*

In thinking through Truncale's question — whose knowledge matters? — set aside (for now) that his premise of separate knowledge bases is false.

DoD and HHS, including FDA, are demonstrably two federal agencies jointly engaged in a covert, dual-use biomedical/biowarfare operation with several other administrative agencies. Their executive secretaries and other

---

<sup>64</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2022.02.22-jackson-v.-pfizer-exhibits-17-1.pdf>

<sup>65</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.02.22-jackson-amended-complaint.pdf>

<sup>66</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2021.01.08-brook-jackson-complaint-pfizer-ventavia-fraud-81-p.pdf>

<sup>67</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.01.18-gov-declines-to-intervene.pdf>

<sup>68</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.02.22-jackson-amended-complaint.pdf>

<sup>69</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-mtd-exh-e.pdf>

<sup>70</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-motion-to-dismiss.pdf>

high-level administrators share knowledge about the program through coordinating committees including the Public Health Emergency Medical Countermeasures Enterprise.<sup>71</sup>

Setting that aside, Relator Brook Jackson stated in her original complaint (Jan. 8, 2021) and amended complaint (Feb. 22, 2022) that she had “provided this information to the United States and DoD prior to filing a complaint by serving a voluntary pre-filing disclosure statement.”

Then in Pfizer’s April 22, 2022 Motion to Dismiss, Pfizer cited Jackson’s website as the source of Jackson’s Dec. 14, 2020 notice to DoD that they wanted Judge Truncala to judicially notice, *and attached a copy of the letter* as Exhibit E [Dkt 37-5 at 2-9<sup>72</sup>].

Pfizer thereby entered the document into the public court record independent of Jackson’s website and her own two sworn statements about having made “pre-filing disclosure” to DoD.

Nonetheless, Truncala declined to take “judicial notice.”

Why?

To protect the DoD from legal attribution of knowledge of the clinical trial fraud in December 2020, a time when DoD withdrawal from and cancellation of the purchasing and distribution contracts could have saved the lives of the people targeted with the bioweapons.

On Dec. 14, 2020, through Gregory Shilling, the Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the South West Region, Defense Criminal Investigative Service, DoD was notified that Pfizer, Ventavia and ICON were endangering, sickening and killing human recipients of products which the contractors were using on human beings under the terms of DoD military weapons contracts.

Dec. 14, 2020 was three days after the Marion Gruber-led FDA panel’s sham EUA decision on Dec. 11, 2020.<sup>73</sup>

It was the same day the first victims — outside the 44,000 people targeted through the fraudulent “clinical trials” — were attacked with the Pfizer-labeled DoD bioweapons.<sup>74</sup>

Truncala has got DoD’s back.

Having carefully placed his blinders on by refusing to take judicial notice of the Dec. 14, 2020 letter Jackson sent to the DoD, Truncala concluded:

“...even if the DoD was concerned about potential regulatory or protocol violations, the Project Agreement [Truncala’s false conflation of Base Agreement 2020-532,<sup>75</sup> which has been made public in redacted form, with Project Agreement 2011-003, which has not yet been made public] did not authorize the DoD to decide whether the vaccines were fit for purchase.

Instead, the [Base Agreement] vested this decision-making authority in the FDA.

Thus, what matters when evaluating the Government’s continued purchase of the vaccine is that the FDA granted authorization despite its knowledge of Ms. Jackson’s allegations...”

\*

Bottom line: Judge Truncala has now added his own criminal federal judicial review to the sequence that includes:

- Criminal ‘vaccine’ development and production contracts, which are actually contracts for the development and production of injectable bioweapons.

---

<sup>71</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/public-health-emergency-medical-countermeasures>

<sup>72</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-mtd-exh-e.pdf>

<sup>73</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2020.12.11-pfizer-covid-19-vaccine-eua-review-memo.pdf>

<sup>74</sup> <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/12/14/first-covid-vaccines-new-york/>

<sup>75</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.20-base-agreement-pfizer-contract-56-p-exh-a-jackson.pdf>



- Criminal ‘vaccine’ clinical trial safety records, which are actually records of bioweapon potency results for mRNA and DNA classes of injectable bioweapons.
- Criminal ‘vaccine’ regulatory review, authorization, manufacturing compliance and safety monitoring records, which are actually theatrical props intended to block public knowledge that the products mislabeled as ‘vaccines,’ transported across state lines, and injected into military targets, are intentionally-lethal bioweapons.

See also:

- Sept. 19, 2022 - In Nov. 2020, Pfizer told FDA reviewers, led by Marion Gruber, that safety studies were neither needed nor conducted. In making that argument, Pfizer cited WHO guidance written in 2002 by a team led by Marion Gruber.<sup>76</sup>

\* \* \*

**Apr 11, 2023 - Biden rescinding Trump-Biden Proclamation 9994 under 1976 National Emergencies Act does not terminate Azar-Becerra’s Public Health Emergency authorities under 1983 PHE amendment to the 1944 PHSA.**

*Becerra and his successors will extend the PHE until they no longer need it to kill people with pseudo-legal impunity. Or until Congress, federal judges or states repeal or nullify the enabling acts.*

A reader emailed today, linking to a Feb. 9, 2023 Health and Human Services Fact Sheet: COVID-19 Public Health Emergency Transition Roadmap<sup>77</sup> and asking questions about the legal effects of Biden’s recent signature on House Joint Resolution 7.

HJR 7<sup>78</sup> - Relating to a national emergency declared by the President on March 13, 2020. *Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled*, That, pursuant to section 202 of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622), the national emergency declared by the finding of the President on March 13, 2020, in Proclamation 9994 (85 Fed. Reg. 15337) is hereby terminated.

April 10, 2023 - Biden Signs Measure Ending COVID-19 National Emergency<sup>79</sup> (Jeff Louderback, *Epoch Times*)

President Joe Biden on April 10 signed a measure that immediately ended the COVID-19 national emergency more than three years after it was enacted, the White House announced.

HJ Res 7 passed through the Senate on March 29 by a 68-23 margin, with 21 Democrats joining 47 Republicans to support the measure.

Four Republicans and five Democrats did not cast a vote—and 23 Democrats voted against the short resolution—which was introduced by Rep. Paul Gosar (R-Ariz.) last month and passed by the House 229-197 on Feb. 1.

*The reader asked:*

Does the PHE actually expire? It appears that they are extending most of the PHE provisions with other mechanisms, [including] free vaccine and PREP Act protection. Can you tell whether the HHS Secretary "Tyranny Powers" are being released on May 11?

<sup>76</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/in-nov-2020-pfizer-told-fda-reviewers>

<sup>77</sup> <https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2023/02/09/fact-sheet-covid-19-public-health-emergency-transition-roadmap.html>

<sup>78</sup> <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-joint-resolution/7/text>

<sup>79</sup> [https://www.theepochtimes.com/biden-signs-measure-ending-covid-19-national-emergency\\_5185150.html?](https://www.theepochtimes.com/biden-signs-measure-ending-covid-19-national-emergency_5185150.html?)

*My reply, revised and expanded:*

No, the HHS Secretary PHE powers are not terminated on May 11.

There are at least three interlocking frameworks for the consolidation of power in executive hands during declared emergencies: the 1976 National Emergencies Act, the 1988 Stafford Act, and the 1944 Public Health Service Act as amended in 1983 to add the Public Health Emergencies (PHE) program.

Congress and Biden have rescinded the emergency proclamation issued under the 1976 National Emergencies Act, but the Public Health Emergency declaration issued by then-HHS Secretary Alex Azar on Jan. 31, 2020, effective Jan. 27, 2020, remains in force, along with the Stafford Act determination Trump issued on March 13, 2020.

The National Emergencies Act Proclamation 7463 *Declaration of National Emergency by Reason of Certain Terrorist Attacks*, issued by President Bush in September 2001 and renewed annually since then, also remains in force, along with the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force passed under the 1973 War Powers Act, and any secret orders that may exist without Congressional or public knowledge, such as PEADs<sup>80</sup> (Presidential Emergency Action Documents) and Continuity of Government (COG) orders.

The emergency authorities held by the Health and Human Services Secretary under the Public Health Emergency (PHE) program of the 1944 Public Health Service Act, as established by Congress and President Reagan in 1983 and expanded by Congress and Presidents Bush I, Clinton, Bush II, Obama, Trump and Biden since then, will not expire in May.

Current HHS Secretary Xavier Becerra recently — very quietly — extended his Public Health Emergency authority and derivative Emergency Use Authorization power, using slightly different wording, through a Federal Register notice effective March 15, 2023.<sup>81</sup>

The HHS Secretary him or herself (Becerra or a successor) is the only person authorized to end the PHE and terminate his own emergency powers, unless and until Congress repeals the enabling acts, federal judges nullify the enabling acts, and/or state governments nullify the enabling acts to block the illegitimate exercise of federal authority at their own state borders.

How did these extraordinary powers get into Becerra's hands?

Congress and US Presidents unlawfully and unconstitutionally (*de facto* but not *de jure*<sup>82</sup>) transferred Congress's own power, the power of the federal courts, and the power of the states, into the HHS Secretary's unilateral, unreviewable control, through amendments to the 1944 Public Health Service Act codified at 42 USC 247d-6d, Targeted liability protections for pandemic and epidemic products and security countermeasures<sup>83</sup> and related statutes, executive orders and regulations.

- 42 USC 247d-6d(b)(7): No access to courts for judicial review of the facts or law relating to HHS Secretary public health emergency declarations and medical countermeasures product classifications.
- 42 USC 247d-6d(b)(8): Preempts authority of state, local and tribal governments and individuals to manage public health emergency and medical countermeasures classification and regulation outside of HHS/DOD.
- 42 USC 247d-6d(b)(9): Extremely limited obligation for HHS to report to Congress on public health emergency status and EUA medical countermeasures classifications, and no authorization for Congress to override HHS declarations, determination, and decisions.

National Emergencies Act Proclamation 9994<sup>84</sup> issued by President Trump and extended by President Biden might expire in May — that's what Biden's signature on HRJ 7 means.

---

<sup>80</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/peads-presidential-emergency-action>

<sup>81</sup> <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-03-20/pdf/2023-05609.pdf>

<sup>82</sup> <https://onlinelaw.wustl.edu/blog/legal-english-de-factode-jure/>

<sup>83</sup> <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/247d-6d>

<sup>84</sup> <https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/>

But the termination of the NEA proclamation isn't enough to bring the Constitutional disaster to a close, because the HHS secretary's Public Health Emergency powers are exercised independent of the NEA declaration.

*Related Bailiwick reporting and analysis*

Sept. 27, 2022 - On why Biden's comment that 'the pandemic is over' doesn't lift the bioterrorist police state jackboot off our necks.

There are at least three Covid-related state of emergency declarations still in force.

Biden saying that the pandemic is over in a press conference doesn't officially revoke the presidential declarations and proclamations of a national emergency due to Covid, issued under the National Emergencies Act of 1976 and the Stafford Act of 1988.

These were first issued by Trump on March 13, 2020 (NEA;<sup>85</sup> Stafford<sup>86</sup>) and have been renewed annually by Biden...

President Biden's press conference comments also don't revoke the 'public health emergency' declaration issued by HHS Alex Azar on Jan. 31, 2020<sup>87</sup> (retroactive to Jan. 27, 2020) under Section 319 of the Public Health Service Act [42 USC 247d, Public health emergencies], as added in 1983 and amended by the 2005 PREP Act to put the power to declare public health emergencies into HHS secretary's unilateral hands.

All three of these Covid-era emergency declarations have been extended repeatedly by Trump, Biden, Azar and Becerra...

In addition, the state of national emergency proclaimed by President Bush on Sept. 14, 2001<sup>88</sup> in response to 'terrorism' under the 1976 law is still in force. It has been renewed every year since by Bush, Obama, Trump and Biden.

All four of these declarations and proclamations triggered expanded federal government authorities and limits to state, local and individual power, at least until a federal court finds that the proclamations — and the 1976, 1988, 2005 and related statutes under which they've been issued — are unconstitutional, null and void.

Or until Congress repeals the enabling statutes.

Or until the People of one or more states, working independent of the federal government through their own legislatures, governors, courts and state constitutions, block the effect of these federal power grabs within their own state borders as unconstitutional, null and void violations of the Tenth Amendment to the US Constitution.

\*

March 22, 2023 - On the utility, for inducing peaceful compliance with violent globalist control-and-kill programs, of presenting fake threats as real. Plus war criminal Xavier Becerra extends the public health emergency, effective March 15, 2023, using slightly-different wording.

...Yesterday, someone sent me a March 20, 2023 Federal Register notice<sup>89</sup> [88 FR 16644] on the extension of the Public Health Emergency (PHE) and Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) declarations and determinations.

The sender asked me "whether that EUA amendment I sent you made substantive changes, or was this just a regular extension?"

---

<sup>85</sup> <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2020-03-18/pdf/2020-05794.pdf>

<sup>86</sup> <https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/briefings-statements/letter-president-donald-j-trump-emergency-determination-stafford-act/>

<sup>87</sup> <https://www.phe.gov/emergency/news/healthactions/phe/Pages/2019-nCoV.aspx>

<sup>88</sup> <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2001-09-18/pdf/01-23358.pdf>

<sup>89</sup> <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-03-20/pdf/2023-05609.pdf>

I replied that there are enough redundancies built in throughout the PHE and EUA declaration and determination procedures, and they're both unreviewable by Congress and courts anyway, that the wording of any particular one isn't worth spending a lot of time to parse in detail...

The latest iteration slightly alters the original, false claims.

In the original determination of public health emergency, effective Feb. 4, 2020,<sup>90</sup> a war criminal impersonating the US-HHS Secretary (Alex Azar) claimed that “there *is* a public health emergency that has a significant potential to affect national security or the health and security of United States citizens living abroad” and that the emergency “involves a novel (new) coronavirus (nCoV) first detected in Wuhan City, China.”

In the latest amendment to the determination of public health emergency,<sup>91</sup> effective March 15, 2023, a war criminal impersonating the US-HHS Secretary (Xavier Becerra) claimed that the nCoV outbreak has already infected and killed millions of people, and that there are now variations circulating, such that “there is a public health emergency, *or a significant potential for a public health emergency*, that affects, or has a significant potential to affect, national security or the health and security of United States citizens living abroad.”

The two forms of PHE “determination” are used interchangeably, to provide pseudo-legal pretexts for COVID-19 Emergency Use Authorization/EUA declarations (which are, more accurately, military orders to deploy bioweapons labeled as ‘vaccines’ to injure and kill recipients) and amendments thereto.

For emphasis, Becerra added to the latest notice:

“...The four previously-issued section 564 declarations that refer to the February 4, 2020 determination have not been terminated by the Secretary because, among other things, the circumstances described in section 564(b)(1) continue to exist — i.e., COVID-19, a disease attributable to SARS-CoV-2, continues to present a public health emergency, or a significant potential for a public health emergency, that affects, or has a significant potential to affect, national security or the health and security of United States citizens living abroad...”

More Bailiwick coverage:

- Aug. 11, 2022 - 22 worst Congressional bioterrorism authorization and funding laws passed since 1983
- Jan. 7, 2023 - Could Congress members, federal and state judges, state legislators, governors and prosecutors restore the American Constitutional republic?
- Jan. 19, 2023 - Pharmaco-military genocide, enabling laws Congress should repeal and courts should nullify.
- Jan. 20, 2023 - Subsidiarity
- March 3, 2023 - Rep. Bud Hulse in Tennessee understands the scale of the Constitutional crisis, and what states can and should do to respond. Tennessee House Bill 726 - Restoring State Sovereignty Through Nullification Act
- March 21, 2023 - Smashing the Overton window. Omnibus Repeal Act for Congress members in post-invasion, post-coup, occupied, financial-biopharmaceutical-wartime America to consider.

\* \* \*

---

<sup>90</sup> <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2020-02-07/pdf/2020-02496.pdf>

<sup>91</sup> <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-03-20/pdf/2023-05609.pdf>

## April 19, 2023 - Deepen the backlash against public health.

*A rebuttal/reworking of an editorial by Lawrence O. Gostin and Sarah Wetter, published March 30, 2023 by Science journal.*

Lawrence O. Gostin, JD, LLD, and James G. Hodge, Jr., JD, LLM, are two primary architects of the public health-pretext kill box frameworks incorporated into American federal and state law since the late 1990s, styled as legal preparedness for public health emergencies.<sup>92</sup>

Gostin and Hodge have worked with dozens of other attorneys and legal scholars through academic and non-governmental organizations including Georgetown University O'Neill Institute for National and Global Health Law; Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health; World Health Organization Collaborating Center on National and Global Health Law; Arizona State University Center for Public Health Law and Policy; Public Health Law Association; and the American Society of Law, Medicine & Ethics. (There are dozens more such organizations.)

Among other projects, Gostin led development of the 2001 Model State Emergency Health Powers Act<sup>93</sup> and its successor programs, pushed through each of the 50 state legislatures to form state-level legal control-and-kill systems that reinforce the American federal kill box system.<sup>94</sup>

On March 30, 2023, the journal *Science* published an editorial by Gostin and a colleague, Sarah Wetter, entitled “Fix the backlash against public health.”<sup>95</sup>

Gostin and Wetter express concern about state and federal legislators and judges who have responded to the unprecedented, lethal consolidation of unchecked and largely-uncheckable executive power in the hands of federal and state public health officials. They note that state legislatures have passed some new laws in an attempt to limit the abuses. They observe that state and federal judges have ruled, in a few cases, to partially limit some of the abuses.

In response, Gostin and Wetter advocate for a new push to better consolidate power in “public health emergency” situations. They warn the public — as all totalitarian dictators do — that the State won’t be able to “protect” the public from scary pandemics unless the People give up more lives and liberties to feed the insatiable appetites of the dictatorial beast.

I think the factual record of the last three years (that is, the factual record relegated to the margins of public awareness, away from the view of audiences who consume only propagandist media on propagandist media platforms), supports the opposite conclusion and a slate of opposite policy proposals.

The rebuttal/reworking of the Gostin-Wetter editorial outlined below is, I think, a more accurate depiction of what’s happened so far, and how the enabling laws and regulations adopted in the 75-year preparatory and testing phase of this global, pan-ethnic, pan-religious pogrom, fit into the picture.

The popular backlash against public health doesn’t need to be “fixed.”

The popular backlash against public health needs to be deepened and expanded until all federal and state public health bureaucracies are dismantled and de-funded, and the leaders who have carried out the control-and-kill programs of the last three years are arrested, tried, convicted and executed for the war crimes they have demonstrably committed and continue to carry out to this day.

\*

---

<sup>92</sup> <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14968669/>

<sup>93</sup> <https://biotech.law.lsu.edu/blaw/bt/MSEHPA.pdf>

<sup>94</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/american-domestic-bioterrorism-program>

<sup>95</sup> <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.adh9594>

## Deepen the backlash against public health

Historically, police-state dictators have used emergency declarations to force societal submission; dictators centralize power to strip power from other governing institutions and to strip human dignity and agency from the citizenry at large.

The manufactured, fraudulent "COVID-19" emergency, as the culmination of legislative and executive acts dating back to the 1944 Public Health Service Act and other preparatory events, was intended to, and in fact has caused extrajudicial State killing of innocent human beings to occur without public understanding, resistance or judicial interference.

"Public health" is dictator-code for direct State control of human beings, and dictators occupying public health offices have used public health pretexts to arrogate to malicious State officials, the eternally-sought extrajudicial power to control human movement, behavior and assembly; and to assault, sterilize and kill people, with social and legal impunity.

COVID-19 public health officials functioning as medico-military executioners have worn business suits or white lab coats at podiums while terrorizing victims to fear social interactions and "get vaccinated." They've worn blue scrubs while injecting poison into the same victims, defrauded to line themselves up at pharmacies and clinics.

Those who have orchestrated the legal components of the criminal, treasonous, Constitutional crisis and power grab to this point, continue to cite public health as a pretext to grab more power now and in the near future.

Under American federalism (the constitutional division of power between the People, the 50 state governments and three branches of federal government), individual adult human beings hold primary power over their own bodily integrity and the bodily integrity of their unborn and born children.

The proper role of the State at each level and within each co-equal branch, is to protect the lives of the human beings entrusted to their care by God, through enforcement of duly-adopted laws prohibiting murder, torture, mutilation, abortion, theft, extortion and other crimes against persons and property.

In violation of all principles of well-ordered government, the American people have been incrementally brainwashed in recent decades to believe that State public health authorities legitimately hold the authority to injure, sicken, sterilize and kill individual adults, children, infants and fetuses at will.

For example, public health lawyers were instrumental in using the orchestrated anthrax attacks in 2001 to coerce Congressional transfer of power from Congress to administrative agency directors under "public health emergency" conditions.

Public health lawyers were also key drivers of the 2001 Model State Emergency Health Powers Act, pushed through state legislatures to transfer state-level governing power from legislators to public health officials, granting them "even more expansive powers to declare a health emergency and respond swiftly."

The multi-decade power consolidation effort culminated with COVID-19, through which the extraordinary abuse of federal and state health-predicated executive and administrative powers has become starkly visible to the public and to state and federal legislators and judges.

We watched and are still watching as public health officials coordinate a mass theft, mass torture and mass murder program, temporarily camouflaged as a pandemic response.

Members of American and global public-health-police-state cabals have developed plans for follow-up performances — the "next pandemic," incessantly described as "far deadlier than COVID-19." They openly plan to further centralize power during these manufactured events, by persuading the public that health officials must not "have their hands tied behind their backs" or else federal and state governments will not be able to "protect" the public.

Alongside the extraordinary abuse of power, a correspondingly extraordinary State-sponsored media propaganda campaign has sustained fear, confusion and disorientation among the general public and state and local governments. Despite this campaign, during the Constitutional crisis that began in January 2020, "over 30 states passed laws curbing health measures such as mask and vaccine mandates, quarantines and business closures. Many state law

reforms now allow the legislature to rescind executive health orders," somewhat stripping authoritarian powers from unelected, mass-murdering bureaucrats.

These state laws have been passed by elected lawmakers fulfilling their God-given obligation to protect the men, women and children in their states from criminal attacks on person and property: attacks undertaken by criminals who have perverted the disciplines of "science" and "public health" to facilitate crime.

The Supreme Court of the United States issued a *de facto* stand-down order to all federal judges, through a May 2020 ruling in *South Bay Pentecostal Church v. Newsom*.<sup>96</sup> SCOTUS held that unconstitutional abuse of executive and administrative power "should not be subject to second-guessing" by the federal judiciary.

Nonetheless, "more than 1,000 lawsuits" were filed by plaintiffs, challenging COVID-19 measures put into place by public health officials. To preserve some shreds of Constitutional credibility, the Supreme Court responded to some of these claims by striking down "state COVID-19 restrictions on religious gatherings as well as the CDC's tenant eviction moratorium and vaccine-or-test rules for large employers."

With the clarity of vision hard won over the last three years, we can now see that public health officials must be stripped of all power. "Health security" — code for militarized, health-predicated population control programs — is utterly incompatible with "key societal values and constitutional rights, including personal freedom" not to be injured, sickened and murdered by means of military bioweapons presented to victims as protective medical treatments.

"Health security" is equally incompatible with economic activity and educational opportunities.

Health officials abused the power, which had been improperly transferred into their hands, in every aspect of the response to the Constitutional crisis. Public health officials abused power, for example "by closing schools and businesses for extended periods;" by commissioning production and distribution of intentionally lethal bioweapons; by falsifying FDA drug safety and manufacturing regulatory procedures; and by mandating that victims submit to biological attack or remove themselves from society, workplaces and schools.

State legislatures and courts have not yet fully dismantled public health powers and agencies. As of today, public health criminals retain full range of legal movement to continue and expand behavioral control, torture and murder programs for the foreseeable future.

There is an urgent need for a comprehensive restoration of founding principles of limited government and the proper role of the State in protecting the lives, liberties and property of citizens from all enemies foreign and domestic, including enemies who simulate concern about health threats while they themselves create and deploy chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) weapons on unsuspecting targets who are deliberately kept ignorant about what's being done to them, and why.

We emphatically do not need to "modernize laws to balance powers and rights more productively." Such "modernization" has been underway for decades and led us directly into this crisis. More "modernization" would only place more power in the hands of foreign and domestic terrorists impersonating federal and state government officials, and take more rights from the people and from legitimate federal and state government representatives.

We need legislatures and courts to dismantle and de-fund all public health agencies and strip executives and public health officials of all emergency powers. We need legislatures and courts to abolish all laws giving presidents, governors, and public health officials any power over personal health decisions.

Emergency powers for health officials were "conceptualized" to disguise the true character of the dictatorial police-state power grab through shock-and-awe programs carried out quickly and violently in "fast-moving situations." COVID-19 showed the world the mold: the intent and effect of consolidating executive power in public health bureaucracies is to cause long-lasting deprivations of liberty and reduce life expectancy: to control and kill people.

Public health officials didn't "overreach" during COVID-19. They're abusing their accumulated power precisely as planned.

---

<sup>96</sup> [https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/19pdf/19a1044\\_pok0.pdf](https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/19pdf/19a1044_pok0.pdf)

Public health officials should never again have any authority to respond to emergencies; legislatures should repeal all of the disordered laws that put such power in health officials' hands. There is no sound moral basis and no circumstance under which public health officials should have direct or indirect power over the life and death of individual human beings.

Any and every State act that “tramples personal freedom” without collecting evidence implicating named individuals in criminal activity, conducting public trials at which evidence is assessed for truth or falsity, and meeting all other due process standards, is a State act that goes “too far.”

“Enhanced transparency and accountability” and “independent reviews” are likewise meaningless modifications to a power that public health officials should not hold, ever.

As to “the effects of interventions on disadvantaged populations, such as racial and ethnic minorities, lower-income families, migrants and persons in congregate settings,” COVID-19 programs have made it abundantly clear that public health dictators are equal-opportunity slave-masters and killers. Migrants, poor people, black, Latino, Asian and white people, people who live in nursing homes and assisted living centers, people who live in rural areas, suburban neighborhoods and large cities: all have been targeted indiscriminately for injury, sterilization and death, and are still targeted now with booster campaigns.

“Health equity” is code for equal-opportunity extrajudicial killing by means of bioweapons falsely labeled as “vaccines” and other pharmaceutical product categories. Medicalized murder should not now be, and should never become, a “prevailing value” in human society. Health officials should be prohibited from contact with stakeholders and community leaders, to protect all American sub-populations from future deliberate harms the public health officials are openly planning to inflict.

“Cross-agency collaboration” has also proved to be instrumental in maximizing harms and deaths caused by public health interventions during Constitutional crises, by enlisting the complicity of education, commerce, transportation and many other State sectors. Cross-agency collaboration is another core building block of the mass- murdering Deep State.

No federal or state executive or public health official should hold emergency powers. Legislatures and courts should strip executives and public health officials of all emergency powers. The Public Health Service Act should not be “updated.” Congress should repeal it, and federal and state courts should nullify it. State legislatures should nullify its effects within their own state borders.

Congress should refuse all efforts to make emergency funding “contingent on a state's compliance with national plans” and states should recognize and reject all federal emergency funding offers, as blood money payments to coerce state participation in genocidal acts which lie entirely outside the moral boundaries of legitimate State conduct.

Eliminating federal public health authorities would also clear the path to prosecutions of COVID-19 war criminals for impersonation of federal officials; psychological, social and physical torture; theft, robbery and extortion; maiming, mutilation, sterilization and murder.

A National Commission on COVID-19 Crimes could begin a complex, lengthy process to restore credible legal systems and rebuild trust in Constitutional republican government and the relationship between man and the State, under God.

Future generations — reduced in size though they will be due to the sterilization and murder already carried out by public health officials through the COVID-19 program — deserve morally-sound laws that strip public health officials of the power to “act decisively,” which they have used to commit global crimes against humanity.

Future generations deserve morally-sound laws that reflect and uphold divine, natural and common law: the purpose of the State is to protect and promote the physical and spiritual development of the living citizens entrusted to the care of the rulers, by God.

Perhaps at war crimes tribunals on earth, but certainly at final judgment, public health officials, executives, legislators and judges will be held accountable for every one of the human lives God has entrusted to them, and for the acts they've undertaken to either protect those lives or to prematurely end them.



Related Bailiwick coverage of how the biowarfare 'public health' sausage gets made at the state and local level

- March 17, 2022 - On the World Health Organization's current round of pandemic treaty negotiations. Preemption doctrine at the global level: America is already under stealth occupation.
- May 2022 - On the federal government's plan to use force against American civilians
- July 23, 2022 - Why do local law enforcement officers side with hospitals and nursing homes in conflicts with patients, patients' family members and pastoral care providers?
- Sept. 27, 2022 - On why Biden's comment that 'the pandemic is over' doesn't lift the bioterrorist police state jackboot off our necks.
- Oct. 5, 2022 - State-level Mini-Me government-run bioterrorism programs. Turning Point Initiative, Model State Emergency Health Powers Act and progeny.
- Nov. 3, 2022 - Is bodily trespass under medical pretexts constitutional?
- Nov. 4, 2022 - Forced internment on communicable disease and public health emergency pretexts. New York is the outlier in not already having pseudo-laws pseudo-authorizing death camps. By 2021, 48 state governments had already put them in place.
- March 7, 2023 - Bioweapons ban resolution for county and state use; pioneered in Lee County, Florida [and] biowarfare 'public health' sausage-making at state/local level.

\* \* \*

**April 23, 2023 - Shabnam Palesa Mohamed, Sasha Latypova, Katherine Watt discuss Brook Jackson's case. Interview for TrialSite News**

New video:

- April 12, 2023 - Brook Jackson Vs Pfizer Case Dismissed. What Next?<sup>97</sup> *TrialSite News*. Shabnam Palesa Mohamed, Sasha Latypova, Katherine Watt (27 min)

Sasha, writing on her post, *Katherine Watt and I discuss Brook Jackson v Ventavia Case Dismissal*:<sup>98</sup>

Brook Jackson is an American hero, a whistleblower who worked on Pfizer's clinical trial for the contractor called Ventavia. Brook observed fraud and mismanagement of the study, complained and got promptly fired from her job.

While the case has been dismissed on March 31, 2023, after about 2 years of trying to bring it forward, this case was crucial to our understanding of the true nature of the global "pandemic" - i.e. a biological, technological and psychological war of the non-sovereign, governments captured by private globalist interests against their own people and against their own military forces.

\* \* \*

---

<sup>97</sup> [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z\\_bNs0pk5bA](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z_bNs0pk5bA)

<sup>98</sup> <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/katherine-watt-and-i-discuss-brook>

**April 24, 2023 - At-home gain-of-function kits. Biodefense is indistinguishable from biowarfare; the so-called biodefense industry is, in truth, the biochemical munitions industry.**

I've been reading recently about calls for global bans on "gain of function" research, as a means of preventing future so-called pandemics.

In my view, these gain-of-function (GoF), lab-leak, directed-evolution, dual-use-research-of-concern (DURC) analyses are built on the false premise that Covid-19 was and is a pandemic.

Covid-19 was never and is not now a pandemic.

Covid-19 is a psychological and biochemical warfare program designed and executed to bypass Constitutional crises at the nation-state level and clear the path for global biomedical totalitarianism.

To stop the psychological and biochemical warfare program, it would be more effective to send do-it-yourself gain-of-function kits to every household, than to ban gain-of-function research.

DIY gain-of-function kits — and the observable self-limiting outbreaks and low transmissibility of the resulting pathogens — would further clarify for people that "gain of function" or weaponization of naturally-occurring biological pathogens is a myth circulated to drive fear and to elicit behavioral compliance with biochemical weapon/toxic injection attacks camouflaged as "vaccines," including but not limited to members of the mRNA-LNP biochemical weapons class, soon (if not already) in continuous batch production<sup>99</sup> as authorized and funded by Congress.

See Omnibus brings new advanced manufacturing programs to FDA<sup>100</sup> (Jan. 11, 2023, Regulatory News) and 21 USC 399h as amended/expanded Dec. 2022 in Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY2023<sup>101</sup> at Section 3204 (National Centers of Excellence in Advanced and Continuous Pharmaceutical Manufacturing) and Section 3213 (Advanced Manufacturing Technologies Designation Program):

Definitions.

(1) The term 'advanced and continuous pharmaceutical manufacturing' refers to a method of pharmaceutical manufacturing, or a combination of pharmaceutical manufacturing methods—

(A) that incorporates a novel technology, or uses an established technique or technology in a new or innovative way, that enhances drug quality or improves the manufacturing process for a drug, including processes that may apply to advanced therapies and the production of biological products, such as cell and gene therapies; or

(B) for which the input materials are continuously fed into and transformed within the process, and the output materials are continuously removed from the system, utilizing an integrated manufacturing process that consists of a series of 2 or more simultaneous unit operations...

Translation:

Pharmaceutical factories are now engaged in continuous production of injectable biochemical ammunition — biochemical weapons — for the globalists' war on humanity.

\*

---

<sup>99</sup> <https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4923/13/9/1371>

<sup>100</sup> <https://www.raps.org/news-and-articles/news-articles/2023/1/omnibus-brings-new-advanced-manufacturing-programs>

<sup>101</sup> <https://www.congress.gov/117/bills/hr2617/BILLS-117hr2617enr.pdf>

I think the would-be gain-of-function killers (Ralph Baric, Anthony Fauci, Peter Daszak, Bill Gates and their co-conspirators) discovered in the 1990s if not earlier, that lab-enhanced communicable pathogens are not unpredictable and dangerous at all, but instead that they're predictably non-dangerous.

They decrease in harmfulness (move toward harmlessness) as soon as they enter living populations outside the lab, killing only people whose immune systems and detoxification systems were compromised prior to exposure, or people who get an extremely high load of an extremely purified sample.

So all their mass murder eggs are now in the one basket of directly injecting biochemical poisons, and genetic instructions for the body's own cells to produce biochemical toxins, and using fear to keep people from understanding what they're submitting to when they accept lethal injections.

The killers maintain the fear at very high levels, and direct it away from the real threat to life and limb (which is the globalist totalitarians and the lethal biochemical injections they push) by keeping public attention focused on an invisible threat that isn't there at all: naturally-occurring or lab-enhanced, *highly-lethal* communicable pathogens that *readily* carry genetic information from one organism to another across large populations and long periods of time. Baric, Fauci, Daszak, Gates & Co. know that the self-spreading thing won't work.

The unimpaired human immune system and chemical detox system is too good.

They could just chemically gas people, updating methods like mustard gas in World War I,<sup>102</sup> the Nazi Aktion T4 euthanasia programs<sup>103</sup> and the Bhopal disaster in 1984.<sup>104</sup>

But that's too visible. Those acts look like intentional acts of war, or as accidental industrial disasters at best. The bodies pile up at the battlefields, gas chambers and factories.

With the falsified threat of pandemics plus the proffered protection of injectable compounds, mass murder can be presented as benevolent medical intervention intended to protect people.

And the bodies can be dispersed across wide geographic regions and across time, hidden in miscarriages, stillbirths and permanent infertility, long-term disability, chronic disease, and sudden, unexplained deaths<sup>105</sup> that happen behind closed doors in private homes.

\*

To build out this analysis further, it's important to untangle the differences between at least two types of biologically-active material conflated by the mass murderers to confuse people.

I haven't fully untangled my own thinking on those different but conflated types of biologically-active material, but here's my first attempt:

One type of material includes packets of genetic information that can be transmitted through air, bodily fluids, water and food, across nasal passages, digestive membranes and skin, to which the body responds with immune reactions and detox functions.

Another type of material includes packets of chemical toxins, or packets of genetic instructions for human cells to produce chemical toxins, that cannot naturally breach a healthy body's self-protective barriers against invasion and poisoning, but can bypass the target's immune system and chemical detox system if injected by needle.

\*

---

<sup>102</sup> <https://www.theworldwar.org/learn/about-wwi/spotlight-first-usage-poison-gas>

<sup>103</sup> <https://encyclopedia.ushmm.org/content/en/article/euthanasia-program>

<sup>104</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bhopal\\_disaster](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bhopal_disaster)

<sup>105</sup> <https://markcrispinmiller.substack.com/p/in-memory-of-those-who-died-suddenly-b73>

I'm developing these views from thinking through recent work by Sasha Latypova (Design of a Weapon: Targeting the Human Microbiome<sup>106</sup>), Kevin McKernan and Jonathan Couey, and also reading between the lines a bit in the 1990s and early 2000s records of efforts to establish verification methods for the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC), and the conclusion of the BWC parties (especially US Government negotiators) that verification protocols need not ever be adopted or enforced, because "vaccine" production and "bioweapons" production are indistinguishable,<sup>107</sup> while biological weapons (referring to naturally-transmissible pathogens) are "free of serious security risks."

Key quote from a 1997 Josef Goldblat paper, *The Biological Weapons Convention: An Overview*:<sup>108</sup>

...Biological weapons are unpredictable in their effects and of limited value in combat. Since cheating under a BW Convention could not yield significant military advantages to the cheating party, a ban on biological weapons without verification of compliance was considered by the negotiators to be free of serious security risks.

By contrast, chemical weapons are predictable, capable of producing immediate effects and, consequently, useful in combat...

\*

Related:

- April 1, 2022 - Lipid nanoparticle production facilities are the munitions factories of World War Biochemistry.

\* \* \*

**April 26, 2023 - Say true things. Don't participate in lies by repeating them.**

New videos:

- April 24, 2023 - On the Intertwining of Military, Public Health and International Moneyed Interests.<sup>109</sup> *In the News*.<sup>110</sup> Mike Dakkak, Katherine Watt. (37 min)
- April 24, 2023 - Panel: What are they planning for your next public health emergency?<sup>111</sup> *StopVaxPassports.org*. Dede Laugesen, Reggie Littlejohn, Sasha Latypova (13:00 to 32:30), Katherine Watt (32:30 to 46:45), Stephen Hatfill. (75 min total). Slide deck for Katherine Watt segment: Language and Law Presentation<sup>112</sup> (12 p.)

I enjoyed the conversation with Mike Dakkak very much. We covered some new and different ground, including papal encyclicals by Pope Leo XIII and many other things.

\*

Excellent essay by Robert Morrison, addressing many of the same themes with which I'm preoccupied:

April 25, 2023 - Now That We See the Fruits of Rejecting Catholic Truth, How Do We Recover?<sup>113</sup> (Remnant Newspaper) -

"Then, as now, a key to success for the enemies of the Church has been to go slowly enough to avoid awakening too many faithful Catholics to the dangers...by accepting the lethal lie that immutable Catholic truth can evolve to become something contrary to what it has always been, the Council Fathers put the Conciliar Church

<sup>106</sup> <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/design-of-a-weapon-modifying-the>

<sup>107</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/vaccine-production-facilities-are>

<sup>108</sup> <https://www.icrc.org/en/doc/resources/documents/article/other/57jnpa.htm>

<sup>109</sup> <https://rumble.com/v2kgnk0-katherine-watt-on-the-intertwining-of-military-public-health-and-internatio.html>

<sup>110</sup> <https://itnshow.com/2023/04/25/katherine-watt-on-the-intertwining-of-military-public-health-and-international-moneyed-interests/>

<sup>111</sup> <https://rumble.com/v2kab7u-webinar-plandemics-what-are-they-planning-for-your-next-public-health-emerg.html>

<sup>112</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2023.04.24-language-and-law-presentation-1.pdf>

<sup>113</sup> <https://remnantnewspaper.com/web/index.php/articles/item/6595-now-that-we-see-the-fruits-of-rejecting-catholic-truth-how-do-we-recover>

at the disposal of the enemies of Christ. Now we all suffer the consequences. The Catholic Church is the guardian of truth, so once its apparent hierarchy becomes infected with the idea that truth can change over time everything will eventually collapse...”

\*

I also appreciated the opportunity to pre-record a presentation as part of the StopVaxPassports panel held on April 24.

But I want to make clear that I do not believe the globalist enslavement project is primarily driven by the Chinese Communist Party; I had no input into the panel composition; and I disagree with almost everything the third speaker (Stephen Hatfill) said.

Most of Hatfill's statements are demonstrably false and serve only to reinforce the lies told by the globalist totalitarians through their propaganda channels.

In his segment, Hatfill argued that the so-called pandemic events of the last several decades were pandemics; they were poorly-managed due to slow and ineffectual surveillance programs; that there are currently massive threats of new communicable diseases brewing around the world due to population growth, wet markets, and other factors; that faster, more comprehensive, more militarized data mining, surveillance and population control are a good response; and that the CDC and WHO were originally designed and currently work to identify and control disease outbreaks.

I disagree with all of those claims.

I think the events of the last century described by globalists as "pandemics," back to the Spanish flu in 1918 or earlier, were orchestrated attacks on human societies, religious and governing institutions, human bodies and human immune systems. They were not naturally-occurring or naturally-circulating diseases or benevolent disease mitigation programs.

I think that globalist totalitarians want increased surveillance and population control, and therefore orchestrate false-flag pandemics — through psychological manipulation, propaganda and other forms of lying and fear-mongering — and also orchestrate biochemical attacks (weapons falsely labeled as 'vaccines' and treatments), to create pretexts for installing more intensive surveillance and control systems to control and kill more people.

I think that the CDC and WHO, and all other so-called public health organizations, are military units whose purpose and function has been, for their entire institutional existence, and still is, to devise and install globalist surveillance, control and killing programs.

I do not believe that naturally-occurring, naturally-circulating communicable diseases, or even lab-manipulated, communicable disease strains, pose any new or severe danger to humanity or human health.

I think rulers who coerce, surveil, control, sicken, injure, sterilize and kill people are illegitimate, and willfully engaged in evil acts.

I think illegitimate, evil systems that diabolically corrupt rulers have already put in place must be dismantled, not strengthened.

I think the primary threat to humanity is the secular, materialist, criminal, globalist totalitarian project itself.

An error which is not resisted is approved; a truth which is not defended is suppressed...He who does not oppose an evident crime is open to the suspicion of secret complicity." Pope Felix III, quoted by Pope Leo XIII, On Freemasonry (1892).

Pray the Rosary.

\* \* \*

## April 28, 2023 - Draft discovery materials for civil and criminal cases.

*Useful for promoting understanding that the factual record of events since January 2020 supports the legal conclusion that products labeled 'vaccines' are presumptive injectable biochemical weapons.*

Posted April 28, 2023. Likely to be updated occasionally to add links and more discovery items.

Discovery is the legal process through which two or more parties to litigation exchange information after a civil complaint or criminal charges have been filed, but before trial.

It's a formalized way for the parties to obtain or disclose documents and other evidence supporting each party's legal arguments about how the law applies to the specific facts of the case.

Discovery in civil cases is governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.<sup>114</sup>

Discovery in criminal cases is governed by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16.<sup>115</sup>

The obligation on government prosecutors to disclose evidence to criminal defendants is more limited than the obligation of two or more parties to a civil case to disclose information to each other.

Some of the basic methods of discovery:

- Requests for Production of Documents - Asking an opposing party to provide written records, or to allow inspection of documents.
- Interrogatories - Asking an opposing party to answer written, open-ended questions.
- Requests for Admission - Asking an opposing party to admit or deny the truth of a statement. A refusal to admit or deny the truth of the statement is deemed an admission.
- Depositions - Oral or written interviews of witnesses, under oath and transcribed for use during trial.
- Subpoena duces tecum - An order from a judge, to a witness, to appear to testify under oath and bring relevant documents.

\*

The Covid-19-predicated Constitutional and biochemical attacks were launched in January 2020 by individuals impersonating US Government officials.<sup>116</sup>

Hundreds of cases have been filed challenging the acts of these imposters on Constitutional and other legal grounds, and none (to my knowledge) have made it past the motion to dismiss or preliminary injunction phase, into discovery. Judges are blocking discovery to shield the imposters and enable their illegitimate pseudo-governing acts of mass destruction to continue.

Many cases have been dismissed on grounds that the plaintiffs lacked standing to sue alleged government officials and challenge executive acts. Federal courts have accepted — without fact-finding, adversarial evidentiary testing, or legal review — that all the acts undertaken by executives during Covid-19 were and remain legitimate government functions, properly performed.

Judges dismissing these cases have been complying with SCOTUS Chief Justice John Roberts' illegitimate May 29, 2020 order in *South Bay Pentecostal Church v. Newsom*.<sup>117</sup>

*South Bay Pentecostal* is a California case through which Justice Roberts ordered federal judges to stand-down and abdicate their Constitutional review obligations, without engaging in fact-finding or legal analysis of whether "broad limits" on exercise of State power have or have not been "exceeded."

---

<sup>114</sup> [https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule\\_26](https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_26)

<sup>115</sup> [https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcrmp/rule\\_16](https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcrmp/rule_16)

<sup>116</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/dual-use-government-officials-of>

<sup>117</sup> [https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/19pdf/19a1044\\_pok0.pdf](https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/19pdf/19a1044_pok0.pdf)

...The precise question of when restrictions on particular social activities should be lifted during the pandemic is a dynamic and fact-intensive matter subject to reasonable disagreement. Our Constitution principally entrusts “[t]he safety and the health of the people” to the politically accountable officials of the States “to guard and protect.” *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U. S. 11, 38 (1905).

When those officials “undertake[ ] to act in areas fraught with medical and scientific uncertainties,” their latitude “must be especially broad.” *Marshall v. United States*, 414 U. S. 417, 427 (1974).

Where those broad limits are not exceeded, they should not be subject to second-guessing by an “unelected federal judiciary,” which lacks the background, competence, and expertise to assess public health and is not accountable to the people. See *Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority*, 469 U. S. 528, 545 (1985).

That is especially true where, as here, a party seeks emergency relief in an interlocutory posture, while local officials are actively shaping their response to changing facts on the ground. The notion that it is “indisputably clear” that the Government’s limitations are unconstitutional seems quite improbable...

Many other cases have been dismissed on grounds that the issues have become moot.

Typical cases began with an overreaching executive action, such as a state governor ordering business closures. Injured parties, such as business owners, sued the governor or state health secretary, challenging the Constitutionality of the executive action.

While the case is proceeding toward discovery, the governor or health secretary temporarily suspended enforcement of the executive order, and then filed for dismissal of the case on grounds that, because the order was not in force currently, the challenge is moot.

Judges have dismissed these cases without allowing discovery and without conducting Constitutional review, while acknowledging that suspended enforcement is temporary and enforcement could be re-instated at any moment.

Two examples of this sequence are *Butler v. Wolf* in Pennsylvania<sup>118</sup> and *Smart v. Kemp* in Georgia.<sup>119</sup> There are many more.

Some federal courts have issued injunctions, partially blocking the effects of illegitimate government actions, usually basing the injunctions on violations of administrative due process and notice provisions.

Most of the class-action cases brought against the Biden Administration’s illegitimate “vaccine mandates” fall into this category.

Targeted classes include federal employees; federal contractors; health care workers subject to Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) administrative law; military personnel subject to Department of Defense administrative law; and employees of large private companies subject to Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) administrative law through the Department of Labor.

Courts have issued injunctions temporarily blocking enforcement of mandates on some members of some of the targeted classes.

Apart from a few injunctions issued to force government compliance with the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993, most of the injunctions have been based on procedural violations of the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946.

One example is *Health Freedom Defense Fund v. Biden*,<sup>120</sup> a case filed to block the CDC “mask mandate” for air travel. The Biden Administration has filed appeals in many of the APA cases, which are still pending.

---

<sup>118</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/how-the-international-health-regulations>

<sup>119</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/smart-v-kemp>

<sup>120</sup> <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/administrative-procedures-act-v-public>

By focusing exclusively on the Administrative Procedures Act and other administrative law provisions, federal judges have avoided engaging in Constitutional review.

They have complied with Chief Justice Roberts' stand-down order from *South Bay Pentecostal*, and left the door wide open for administrative agencies to correct any errors in their application of existing administrative law, and/or get Congress to repeal APA due process and notice provisions, so that next time, their dictatorial acts with withstand procedural review.

In other words, federal judges — as complicit war criminals — have given traitors impersonating US Government officials time to firm up the supraconstitutional, dictatorial authority the administrative agency heads falsely believe they hold over the living bodies and souls of American men, women and children.

As I've written before, I don't know when or how legitimate governments will be restored to power, and credible, constitutionally-sound justice systems will be reassembled from the fragments of social covenants littering the worldwide war zone of the globalists' psychologically, spiritual and biochemical war on humanity.

I work and pray toward those goals without knowing the how or the when.

I've put together some draft discovery materials that are built on the foundational whistleblowing and investigative work done by Brook Jackson,<sup>121</sup> Sasha Latypova, Mike Yeadon, me and others.

These discovery materials can be adapted for use by injured plaintiffs pursuing civil cases and by defendants facing US Government prosecution for their acts of resistance to criminals occupying high-level US Government positions.

These materials can also be used to deepen public understanding and resistance to the globalists' control-and-kill programs.

### Requests for Production of Documents

1. All signed, dated, unredacted contracts and related financial records pertaining to Department of Defense Other Transaction Authority project OTA W15QKN-16-9-1002, including but not limited to unredacted lists of ingredient names, biological and chemical composition, concentration, volume and purity.
2. Signed, dated, unredacted July 20, 2020 Medical CBRN Defense Consortium (MCDC) Base Agreement No. 2020-532,<sup>122</sup> signed between Advanced Technology International (ATI) and Pfizer, Inc.
3. Signed, dated, unredacted July 21, 2020 Technical Direction Letter<sup>123</sup> for Medical CRBN Defense Consortium (MCDC) Request for Prototype Proposals (RPP) 20-11, Objective PRE-20-11 for "COVID-19 Pandemic - Large Scale Vaccine Manufacturing Demonstration," signed between Advanced Technology International (ATI) and Pfizer, Inc.
4. Signed, dated, unredacted ATI-DOD-Pfizer Project Agreement 2011-003 under OTA W15QKN-16-9-1002, defined at p. 9 of July 20, 2020 Base Agreement, under which Pfizer is the Project Agreement Holder ("PAH").
5. Signed, dated, unredacted FDA Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) review memorandum issued Dec. 11, 2020<sup>124</sup> for Pfizer-labeled injectable biochemical weapons, including but not limited to "Chemistry, Manufacturing and Control (CMC) Information" ingredient names, biological and chemical composition, concentrations, volume and purity.
6. Signed, dated, unredacted FDA Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) review memorandum issued Dec. 18, 2020<sup>125</sup> for Moderna-labeled injectable biochemical weapons, including but not limited to ingredient names, biological and chemical composition, concentrations, volume and purity.
7. Signed, dated, unredacted Dec. 22, 2020 Contract No. W15QKN-21-C-0012,<sup>126</sup> signed between Army Contracting Command - NJ, Picatinny Arsenal, and Pfizer Inc., including but not limited to unredacted ingredient names, biological and chemical composition, concentrations, volume and purity.

---

<sup>121</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-mtd-exh-e.pdf>

<sup>122</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.20-base-agreement-pfizer-contract-56-p-exh-a-jackson.pdf>

<sup>123</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.21-dod-ati-pfizer-technical-direction-letter-ota-w15qkn-16-9-1002-35-p.pdf>

<sup>124</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/09/2020.12.11-pfizer-covid-19-vaccine-eua-review-memo.pdf>

<sup>125</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2020.12.18-moderna-covid-19-vaccine-review-memo.pdf>

<sup>126</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2020.12.22-dod-pfizer-manufacturing-contract-w15qkn21c0012-22dec2020.pdf>



8. Signed, dated, unredacted July 30, 2021 Contract No. W58P0521C0002,<sup>127</sup> signed between Army Contracting Command - APG, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, and Pfizer Inc., including but not limited to unredacted ingredient names, biological and chemical composition, concentrations, volume and purity.
9. Signed, dated unredacted federal employment contracts between Department of Defense, CDC, ATI and site-level "vaccinators," conscripting "vaccinators" into US military subject to DOD chain-of-command to carry out military orders to use injectable biochemical weapons during federal government response to Covid-19.
10. Signed, dated, unredacted contracts between Department of Defense, CDC, ATI and site-level "vaccinators," ("CDC COVID-19 Vaccination Program Provider Agreement")<sup>128</sup> containing terms and conditions for receipt, storage and use of injectable biochemical weapons delivered by Department of Defense and/or CDC to "vaccination" premises, including unredacted ingredient names, concentrations, volumes and purity.
11. Signed, dated, unredacted product information sheets enclosed with packages (boxed vials) of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons.
12. Signed, dated, unredacted FDA-approved, manufacturer-produced "Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers" of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons.
13. Signed, dated, unredacted chain-of-custody documents for the Covid-19 prototype countermeasure injectable biochemical weapons, including but not limited to date, location, shipping carrier and contents of raw material shipments delivered to each manufacturing facility; date, location and contents of transferred, unfinished products; date, location and contents of finished products to Department of Defense storage facilities; and date, location and contents of products as delivered to "vaccination centers."
14. Signed, dated, unredacted Chemical Manufacturing Control (CMC) and certified Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) purity and potency test records for each of the raw materials incorporated into vials of Covid-19 biochemical weapons distributed and used, including records produced by manufacturers and/or FDA regulators.
15. Signed, dated, unredacted purity and potency test records for each of the intermediate products incorporated into vials of Covid-19 biochemical weapons distributed and used, including records produced by manufacturers and/or FDA regulators.
16. Signed, dated, unredacted purity and potency test records for each of the final products vials of Covid-19 biochemical weapons including records produced by manufacturers and/or FDA regulators.
17. Signed, dated, unredacted records containing any and all legal definitions of 'vaccine' as revised and operative between January 2020 and the present, drafted, published and/or cited by CDC and/or Department of Defense and/or any other relevant federal agency.
18. Signed, dated, unredacted copies of reports to Congress, prepared and submitted by DOD and/or HHS officials, under 50 USC 1512, 50 USC 1513, 50 USC 1518, 50 USC 1523, and 50 USC 1528, and/or any other applicable Congressional notice and/or reporting law, quantifying the mortality and morbidity data collected from any and all government databases (VAERS, V-Safe, VA, DMED, Medicare, Medicaid, etc), contract manufacturer and subcontractor databases (ATI, Pfizer, Moderna, Ventavia, ICON, etc.), and private health insurance databases (Kaiser, Blue Cross, etc.), assessing the efficacy of the mRNA/LNP and DNA/LNP classes of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons for incapacitating, sterilizing and killing adults, children and infants, from January 2020 to the present.
19. Signed, dated, unredacted Presidential Emergency Action Documents (PEADs) deemed by the Defense Secretary to be in force at any time from Jan. 1, 2020 to the present.
20. Signed, dated, unredacted Continuity of Government (COG) documents deemed by the Defense Secretary to be in force at any time from Jan. 1, 2020 to the present.
21. Signed, dated, unredacted documents recording the dates on which President Trump and/or President Biden invoked or extended suspension, under 50 USC 1515, of all prohibitions on DOD testing, production, transport, stockpiling and use of chemical and biological weapons and delivery systems, and/or suspended all Congressional, international, state, local and other notice and reporting provisions under 50 USC 1512, 50 USC 1512a, 50 USC 1513, 50 USC 1518; 50 USC 1520a, 50 USC 1523, and 50 USC 1528.
22. Signed, dated documents recording dates on which President Trump and/or President Biden waived, and/or extended waiver of, informed consent for military personnel under 10 USC 1107a(a).

<sup>127</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/04/2021.07.30-dod-pfizer-contract-w58p0521c0002.pdf>

<sup>128</sup> <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/vaccination-provider-support.html#provider-agreement>

## Requests for Admission

*Pertaining to US military procurement contracts, public executive orders, proclamations, declarations, determinations and/or notices promulgated under the Public Health Service Act [42 USC 247d], Stafford Act [42 USC 5121], National Emergencies Act [50 USC 1601], Defense Production Act [50 USC 4501] and/or other federal statutes; and/or confidential Presidential Emergency Action Documents (PEADs); and/or confidential Continuity of Government documents.*

Admit or deny:

1. Medical CBRN Defense Consortium (MCDC) "Project Agreement" 2011-003 for OTA W15QKN-16-9-1002 and related contract documents established terms and conditions for the development and production of biological and/or chemical weapons by contractors, for delivery to the US military.
2. Under Medical CBRN Defense Consortium (MCDC) contract terms and conditions and federal Public Health Emergency (PHE) status, "Covid-19 vaccines" are military countermeasure prototypes.
3. Under Medical CBRN Defense Consortium (MCDC) contract terms and conditions and federal Public Health Emergency (PHE) status, "Covid-19 vaccines" are injectable biochemical weapons.
4. Under Medical CBRN Defense Consortium (MCDC) contract terms and conditions and federal Public Health Emergency (PHE) status, subcontractor corporations have no legal obligation to conduct clinical investigations in compliance with FDA regulations.
5. Under the 1950 Defense Production Act, as invoked by President Trump through Executive Orders 13909, 13910, 13911 and related acts, military contractors producing and distributing weapons under "voluntary agreements" are exempt from contract law and anti-trust law, and can cite the DPA in their own defense during any civil or criminal proceeding [50 USC 4558].
6. The US Constitution was unilaterally superseded and suspended by Executive branch national security and continuity of government (COG) orders, on or about Jan. 27, 2020, triggered by the WHO Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) declaration of Jan. 30, 2020 and effectuated by HHS Secretary Alex Azar's Jan. 31, 2020 declaration that a Public Health Emergency exists [42 USC 247d].
7. All Constitutional, civil and human rights previously protected by the US Constitution, were unilaterally superseded and suspended by Executive branch national security and continuity of government orders, on or about Jan. 27, 2020, triggered by the WHO Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) declaration of Jan. 30, 2020 and effectuated by HHS Secretary Alex Azar's Jan. 31, 2020 declaration that a Public Health Emergency exists [42 USC 247d].
8. Enforceability of federal laws prohibiting use of biological and chemical weapons and weapons of mass destruction (WMDs), including 18 USC 175 (Biological Weapons) and 18 USC 229 (Chemical Weapons) was suspended, effective on or about Jan. 27, 2020, in response to the public health emergency (PHE) declared to "exist" as of that date.
9. FDA has no statutorily-authorized role in developing, assessing, monitoring and controlling commercial production and Department of Defense use of weapons prohibited under 18 USC 175 (Biological Weapons) and 18 USC 229 (Chemical Weapons).
10. The US Constitution remains suspended to this date, and has been in a continuous suspension since Jan. 27, 2020, in response to the public health emergency (PHE) declared to "exist" as of that date.
11. Since January 2020, the US Government, through the Department of Defense and the HHS-declared Public Health Emergency suspension of relevant Constitutional and statutory provisions, has been developing, producing, distributing and using chemical and biological weapons prohibited under 18 USC 175 (Biological Weapons) and 18 USC 229 (Chemical Weapons) with legal impunity.
12. Federal government officials have failed to formally notify the American people that the US Constitution has been suspended.
13. US federal and state courts currently have no subject matter jurisdiction for Constitutional claims.
14. Federal government officials have formally notified federal and state judges that they have no subject matter jurisdiction for Constitutional claims brought by US citizens.
15. Federal government officials have failed to formally notify the American people that federal and state judges have no subject matter jurisdiction for Constitutional claims.
16. US citizens currently have no legally-cognizable Constitutional rights.
17. Federal prosecutors currently have no legal authority to prosecute criminal violations of 18 USC 175 (Biological Weapons).
18. Federal prosecutors currently have no legal authority to prosecute criminal violations of 18 USC 229 (Chemical Weapons).

19. Federal government officials have failed to formally notify the American people that federal prosecutors have no legal authority to prosecute criminal violations of 18 USC 175 (Biological Weapons) and 18 USC 229 (Chemical Weapons).
20. Production and use of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons, by the US military, to incapacitate and kill military and civilian targets, has been covertly pseudo-legalized.

### Interrogatories

1. Why did the National Security Council (NSC)<sup>129</sup> assume control of the U.S. federal government's Covid-19 policy<sup>130</sup> and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) assume control of the government's response to Covid-19?
2. Why, in spite of this transfer of authority, did the federal government maintain the pretense that Covid-19 policy and the response to Covid-19 were being led by experts within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), including at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)?
3. Why did the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) contract for the development, manufacture, and distribution of so many Covid-19 "countermeasures,"<sup>131</sup> including injectable biochemical weapons, largely utilizing<sup>132</sup> the DoD's previously established network of military contractors<sup>133</sup> and consortia (Medical CBRN Defense Consortium/MCDC)?
4. Does the US Government interpret 21 USC 360bbb-3(k)<sup>134</sup> as authorizing distribution of biochemically-active products that meet none of the safety, efficacy or purity standards and regulations that apply to medical products?
5. If Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) products are not required to meet safety, efficacy or purity standards for medical products, what standards and regulations apply to countermeasure prototypes (i.e. injectable biochemical weapons) used in response to Covid-19?
6. Name the individuals, departments and agencies within the US Government who are responsible for regulatory oversight of the development, safety and efficacy testing, manufacturing quality, labeling, and distribution of military countermeasures and injectable biochemical weapons.
7. Why did the DoD and the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA) order injectable biochemical weapons using Other Transaction Authority (OTA) contracts,<sup>135</sup> which specify that these products are "prototype demonstrations of large-scale manufacturing,"<sup>136</sup> when doing so permits noncompliance with drug safety, efficacy and purity regulations and standards?
8. Why do the publicly-available contract documents related to Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons explicitly exclude safety and efficacy testing and current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) compliance as "out of scope,"<sup>137</sup> [see Section 1.2, p. 8] not ordered, required or paid for by the U.S. Government?
9. Did the HHS Secretary waive cGMP requirements for Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons? If so, produce the signed and dated document by which this waiver was effectuated.
10. Observational evidence supports the conclusion that some recipients of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons have received "sham" injections containing only inert materials, while others have received injections containing highly-active, extremely-toxic materials, and still others have received moderately toxic injections, all distributed under the same label and brand of product. What, if any, measures are or have been in place to ensure the quality, potency, purity, consistency, and proper labeling of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons?
11. Under what laws do the DoD and Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA) believe they have authority to direct, manage and oversee activities related to the development, manufacture, regulation and distribution of Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons and other treatments, diagnostics and devices?
12. Name the individuals working at the DoD and BARDA who are responsible for oversight and enforcement functions related to the manufacture, regulation and distribution of Covid-19 countermeasures (including

<sup>129</sup> <https://brownstone.org/articles/governments-national-security-arm-led-the-covid-response/>

<sup>130</sup> <https://searchworks-lb.stanford.edu/view/13523784>

<sup>131</sup> <https://www.keionline.org/covid-contracts>

<sup>132</sup> <https://www.fda.gov/media/143560/download>

<sup>133</sup> <https://www.keionline.org/misc-docs/DOD-ATI-Contract-MCDC-Consortium-W15QKN1691002-P00085-8April2016.pdf>

<sup>134</sup> <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/21/360bbb-3>

<sup>135</sup> <https://www.keionline.org/bn-2020-3>

<sup>136</sup> <https://www.keionline.org/misc-docs/DOD-ATI-Pfizer-Technical-Direction-Letter-OTA-W15QKN-16-9-1002-21July2020.pdf>

<sup>137</sup> <https://www.keionline.org/misc-docs/DOD-ATI-Pfizer-Technical-Direction-Letter-OTA-W15QKN-16-9-1002-21July2020.pdf>

injectable biochemical weapons) that would normally be conducted by staff within the various agencies at HHS, including FDA and CDC?

13. Define the “stopping conditions” for Emergency Use Authorized products, including Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons. What data would US Government agents running Covid-19 programs need to see in order to halt the production and use of injectable biochemical weapons? Why are US Government agents not using the “stopping conditions” standards that have previously been applied to FDA-regulated drugs and devices?
14. Why do the FDA authorizations and approvals of Covid-19 related products under Emergency Use Authorization and Investigational New Drug regulatory frameworks violate drug safety laws governing clinical trials, product labeling, product serialization, importation, product distribution, product quality control testing, dispensing and other parts of the national drug supply oversight system?
15. Provide all data collected by US Government agents and agencies, related to injuries, morbidity and mortality associated with Covid-19 injectable biochemical weapons, from U.S. government databases or other data collection systems or programs relating to vaccine adverse events, including, for example, VAERS, V-Safe, VA, DMED, etc., as well as from any manufacturer, contractor or private health insurance data systems to which you have access, from the start of 2020 to the present.
16. The efforts by US Government officials working within DoD and HHS to hide the various acts outlined in the questions above or to reclassify them as somehow “legal” indicates foreknowledge and an understanding that these acts are not, in fact, authorize by law. Do public and private signatories to Covid-19 contractual agreements “owe allegiance to the United States?”

\* \* \*

## April 30, 2023 - mRNA-LNP compounds are cellular genetic dirty bombs.

The nurse-with-needle is the bomber.

The lipid nanoparticle is the suitcase used to smuggle the bomb into cells.  
The mRNA is the bomb.

Once inside the cell, fragments of genetic code replicate and interfere with healthy genetic code in myriad ways, causing countless injuries, diseases and organ failures, and bringing about death.

\*

Sasha Latypova used the “dirty bomb” phrase in a recent email, and has used it in some of her Substack posts<sup>138</sup> and interviews.

Other researchers whose work backs it up include Kevin McKernan<sup>139</sup>/Anandamide,<sup>140</sup> Walter Chesnut,<sup>141</sup> Arkmedic<sup>142</sup>/JikkyLeaks,<sup>143</sup> Genervter/Annoyed Citizen,<sup>144</sup> Naomi Wolf,<sup>145</sup> and Clucky92864052.<sup>146</sup> Along with Mike Yeadon, Wolfgang Wodarg,<sup>147</sup> Sucharit Bhakdi, Michael Palmer,<sup>148</sup> Arne Burkhardt,<sup>149</sup> Stephanie Seneff, Greg Nigh,<sup>150</sup> David Hughes<sup>151</sup> and more.

\*

Each and every use of mRNA-LNP dirty bombs is an expressive act of deeply malevolent hatred for God and humanity. Each and every use of mRNA-LNP dirty bombs is the diabolical, hate-filled inverse of the human lovemaking act through which each new human life is created and infused by the Holy Spirit with an immortal soul, in the womb of a woman in the loving embrace of a man, through the fusion of cells and intertwining of genes.

---

<sup>138</sup> <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/fake-western-blot-submitted-by-pfizer>

<sup>139</sup> [https://twitter.com/Kevin\\_McKernan?](https://twitter.com/Kevin_McKernan?)

<sup>140</sup> <https://anandamide.substack.com/>

<sup>141</sup> <https://wmcresearch.substack.com/>

<sup>142</sup> <https://arkmedic.substack.com/>

<sup>143</sup> <https://twitter.com/Jikkyleaks>

<sup>144</sup> [https://genervter-substack-com.translate.google.com/der-weg-richtung-himmel-oder-holle?\\_x\\_tr\\_sl=de&\\_x\\_tr\\_tl=en&\\_x\\_tr\\_hl=de&\\_x\\_tr\\_pto=wapp](https://genervter-substack-com.translate.google.com/der-weg-richtung-himmel-oder-holle?_x_tr_sl=de&_x_tr_tl=en&_x_tr_hl=de&_x_tr_pto=wapp)

<sup>145</sup> <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/the-greatest-crime-against-humanity-in-history-naomi-wolfs-11-revelations-from-pfizer-vaccine-documents/>

<sup>146</sup> <https://twitter.com/Clucky92864053/status/1651347829997092865?s=20>

<sup>147</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/05/2020.12.01-wodarg-yeadon-ema-petition.pdf>

<sup>148</sup> <https://doctors4covidethics.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/bansal-notes.pdf>

<sup>149</sup> <https://doctors4covidethics.org/on-covid-vaccines-why-they-cannot-work-and-irrefutable-evidence-of-their-causative-role-in-deaths-after-vaccination/>

<sup>150</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/2021.05-seneff-re-mrna-transgenic-autoimmune-prion-etc.pdf>

<sup>151</sup> <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.09.03-ijvrp-vaxxes-26-.pdf>