

BAILIWICK NEWS

Substack posts from bailiwicknews.substack.com

February 2023

* * *

Feb. 1, 2023 - Draft Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to DOD and HHS. And brief comment about high-profile lawyers and diversionary plants etc. in the 'medical freedom movement.'

Prepared for a FOIA coordination call today:

1. Signed, dated ATI-DOD-Pfizer "Project Agreement" contract, under 10 USC 4022 (previously 10 USC 2371b) and MCDC Other Transaction Agreement (OTA) No. W15QKN-16-9-1002, defined at p. 9 of July 20, 2020 Base Agreement,¹ under which Pfizer is the Project Agreement Holder ("PAH").

2. Signed, dated documents recording the dates on which President Trump and/or President Biden invoked or extended suspension, under 50 USC 1515, of all prohibitions on DOD testing, use, stockpiling and transport of chemical and biological weapons and delivery systems, and/or suspended all Congressional, international, state, local and other notice and reporting provisions under 50 USC 1512, 50 USC 1512a, 50 USC 1513, 50 USC 1518; 50 USC 1520a, 50 USC 1523, and 50 USC 1528.²

3. Signed, dated documents recording dates on which President Trump and/or President Biden waived, and/or extended waiver of, informed consent for military personnel under 10 USC 1107a(a).



Seven Holy Founders of the Servite Order. Painting by Agostino Massucci

4. Signed, dated copies of reports to Congress, prepared and submitted by DOD and HHS, under 50 USC 1512, 50 USC 1513, 50 USC 1518, 50 USC 1523, and 50 USC 1528, and/or any other applicable Congressional notice and/or reporting law, quantifying the mortality and morbidity data collected from any and all government databases (VAERS, V-Safe, VA, DMED, Medicare, Medicaid etc), contract manufacturer and subcontractor databases (ATI, Pfizer, Moderna, Ventavia, ICON, etc.), and private health insurance databases (Kaiser, Blue Cross, etc.), assessing the effectiveness of the mRNA/LNP class of bioweapons for incapacitating, sterilizing and killing adults and children, from the start of the events known as "Covid-19 vaccine clinical trials" in Spring 2020 to the present.

*

¹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.20-base-agreement-pfizer-contract-56-p-exh-a-jackson.pdf>

² <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/50/chapter-32>

DOD and HHS will likely reject these requests on national security grounds, because it's a military campaign, so data about military weapons and their demonstrated effectiveness is probably classified as non-available outside of a very small Congressional oversight committee, assuming there's even a small group of Congress members kept in the loop. Which there may not be.

Or they'll say no such records exist, because there's no statutory requirement that DOD or HHS collect, collate and deliver reports about chemical and biological weapons development, transport, use and effectiveness, to Congress or anyone else.

Rejections and denials are still useful for exposing the globalist killing program to the public; and for mobilizing True Congress³ and state, local and tribal governments to stop complying with unlawful military orders as part of an illegal war, seize the stockpiled mRNA/LNP vials from interstate commerce, pharmacies and clinics as evidence, and prosecute the war criminals.

*

I occasionally field comments and emails asking me if I'm working with high-profile Covid-19 lawyers and if not, why not?

First, I'm working closely with lower-profile lawyers and other people, on several projects built on the evidence base for the **US Government-sponsored global contract terrorism program** that I research and write about.

Second, I'm willing to work with the high-profile lawyers and have been introduced to many of them on email threads. To date, they have not demonstrated any interest in publicly pursuing cases challenging US government-sponsored, government-operated, 'public-health'-predicated domestic and international terrorism; chemical and biological warfare programs; treason; sedition; war crimes; genocide; mass murder; and mass torture.

I speculate privately about why they aren't talking about these issues and developing cases, and whether they're developing cases without communicating publicly about those cases, which is an entirely appropriate way for them to plan and handle their legal work. Readers can speculate too, but without asking those lawyers and getting public responses, the reasons are known only to the lawyers themselves.

I don't chase people and shove this information at them. I make it available to people who are looking for it: people who want to better understand what's happening and why, talk about it and use it in their own efforts to build public understanding, terminate the contract terrorism programs and prosecute the war criminals: top-tier, middle-tier and low-level war criminals.

I also field comments and emails warning me about other people who work with much the same evidence and information, but who carefully direct public attention and anger away from the DOD-HHS complex and the Bank for International Settlements-World Health Organization complex that sits above it, and focus public attention and anger exclusively on other, mid- and low-level members of the global crime syndicate.

These comments and emails speculate that individuals have been planted — perhaps years ago — and are currently controlled, by the globalist central banker Monster, to lead the public along the paths where the Monster wants the public to stay, and away from the forbidden terrain that the Monster wants to protect.

I'm aware of the existence and work of these plants and I occasionally write about their work without reference to their potential paymasters and handlers.

I think spending time and energy engaging in debates or confrontations with them, direct or by proxy, only serves the diversionary interests of the killers working from inside BIS, WHO and the infiltrated, false-front US federal government.

³ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/dual-use-government-officials-of>

I try to stay focused on exposing the men and mechanisms at the top of the hierarchy, and on helping clear cognitive paths for state and federal lawmakers, judges and prosecutors to work with the People to stop the crime spree and bring the ringleaders to justice.

* * *

Feb. 2, 2023 - Patrick Delaney writing at LifeSite News; Shabnam Palesa Mohamed interview at Children's Health Defense.

Patrick Delaney, writing at LifeSite News⁴

- Nov. 18, 2022 - US defense dept. secretly controls COVID vaccine production process that ‘cannot be traced’: researcher⁵
- Jan. 12, 2023 - US defense dept.’s COVID vax operation pushed unregulated shots, deceived public: researcher⁶
- Jan. 17, 2023 - ‘Toxic by design.’ Researcher explains why US defense dept’s COVID vax operation shows intent to harm⁷
- Feb. 1, 2023 - How the US gov’t built a shadow structure that enabled COVID vax ‘bioterrorism’⁸

Feb. 1, 2023 excerpt:

Postlude: Advice for office holders, including military and law enforcement who have taken oaths to defend the US Constitution

When asked by LifeSiteNews if she had any suggestions for office holders, including members of the military, who have taken an oath to “defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic,” Watt advised:

Construe the secretaries of federal cabinet agencies and US Presidents as ‘enemies, foreign and domestic,’ openly defy their unlawful orders (including every executive order, declaration, determination, proclamation and classified directive), and talk about why you’re doing what you’re doing.

The rank-and-file soldiers who have been defying unlawful orders to take the shots have been showing the rest of the military and law enforcement the way to handle this for two years now already.

For the Congress members, especially in the House, they can start introducing bills to repeal the unconstitutional laws and dismantle the federal agencies, including the Federal Reserve; they can file federal cases asking federal judges to nullify unconstitutional laws; they can revoke funding for all of the CBRN terrorism programs being run through DoD, HHS and DHS under the ‘Global Health Security Agenda’ pretense; and they can clarify and highlight that the states have power, under the federal constitution, to operate their own financial systems, including state banks and state bullion depositories.

*

See also Nov. 18, 2022 - Special Solari Report: A Sovereign State Bank and Bullion Depository for Tennessee with Senator Frank Niceley.⁹ Video interview by Catherine Austin Fitts. Transcript.¹⁰

"...this is the most important point. Unless we have a sovereign state government protecting sovereign individuals who are free to transact, including transact privately without invasive technology, we will have no sovereignty, and then you are talking about 100% central control by the bankers.

⁴ <https://www.lifesitenews.com/author/patrick-delaney>

⁵ <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/us-defense-dept-secretly-controls-covid-vaccine-production-process-that-cannot-be-traced-researcher/>

⁶ <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/us-defense-dept-s-covid-vax-operation-pushed-unregulated-shots-deceived-public-researcher/>

⁷ <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/toxic-by-design-researcher-explains-why-us-defense-depts-covid-vax-operation-shows-intent-to-harm/>

⁸ https://www.lifesitenews.com/analysis/how-the-us-govt-built-a-shadow-structure-that-enabled-covid-vax-bioterrorism/?utm_source=featured-news&utm_campaign=usa

⁹ <https://home.solari.com/special-solari-report-a-sovereign-state-bank-and-bullion-depository-for-tennessee-with-senator-frank-niceley/>

¹⁰ https://audio.solari.com/sr20221118/sr20221118_Special_SovereignBank_Bullion_Depository_TN_Sen_Frank_Niceley.pdf

The expression that I use – which I think is very accurate – is we are talking about a technology that, combined with other systems, can turn your home, your car, and your community into a digital concentration camp. We are talking about the end of human freedom centrally controlled through the financial system. We must have states and citizens and with them, community banks and other financial institutions that can protect free transactions..."

*

New video - *Good Morning CHD*, Children's Health Defense TV

- Feb. 2, 2023 - Enemies of the State.¹¹ Children's Health Defense TV. Shabnam Palesa Mohamed, Katherine Watt (at approx. 6:00 - 26:00) and Tros Bekker.

* * *

Feb. 3, 2022 - Recap of Jackson v. Pfizer, whistleblower Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case.

*Reader comment on yesterday's post.*¹²

I watched the video below earlier today.

- Whistleblower Brook Jackson + Attorneys Warner Mendenhall & Robert Barnes,¹³ Vaccine Safety Research Foundation, Feb. 2, 2023)

During this interview, both of Brook's attorneys talked about FDA's behavior as if there was no explanation for why they've allowed all this fraud and criminal activity to happen. They just said it was like FDA was inexplicably trusting Pfizer to do the right thing or something. They never mentioned anything at all in terms of what you and Sasha have discovered about how BARDA is the real agency in charge of regulating the cv injections, nor did they ever mention OWS, DOD, HHS or any other government agency with regard to accountability for the crimes being committed. They only talked about Pfizer being the culprit behind all the injury and death being perpetrated, and how it's such a David and Goliath battle against this behemoth, but they're going to do their darnedest to hold them to account for financial damages.

I'm curious if you've been in touch with these attorneys at all, or if you know if they're aware of the research on which you and Sasha have been collaborating and sharing?

Also, I remember hearing Sasha say that during initial proceedings in Brook's case it was DOJ attorneys who were arguing for the opposing side in court — not Pfizer attorneys. However neither Barnes nor Mendenhall ever made any reference to this. They just talked about how Pfizer has 100s of lawyers on their payroll so it's going to be an uphill battle.

Just wondering if you have any ideas about any of this?

*

NOTE: I only watched about half of the discussion about Jackson's case in the linked video, (starts at 19:00), until the audience question portion started at about 45:00. I limit my video-watching to conserve my time and attention span for text research (reading and writing), and I find Steve Kirsch's approach to these issues frustrating and distracting, not useful.

¹¹ <https://live.childrenshealthdefense.org/chd-tv/shows/good-morning-chd/enemies-of-the-state--south-african-doctor-charged/>

¹² <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/patrick-delaney-writing-at-lifesite>

¹³ <https://rumble.com/v283a5q-live-news-a-replay-of-whistleblower-brook-jackson-attorneys-warner-mendenha.html>

My reply to the reader question above

I'm in touch with those individuals and they know about the evidence and legal analysis that Brook Jackson, Sasha Latypova and I have assembled.

Jackson does talk about it publicly.

The attorneys' reasons for not discussing it publicly are unknown to me.

The case that Robert Barnes is handling for Jackson is a False Claims Act case, filed under the *qui tam* provision, which can be used in a situation in which a private citizen (in this case Jackson) serves as a whistleblower, reporting her observations of fraud committed by a contractor against the US Government, to the Department of Justice. Jackson reported her observations first to Ventavia, Pfizer and FDA in Sept. 2020, and then — after she got fired — reported the information to DOJ in or before December 2020.

In a *qui tam* case, the whistleblower — called the “Relator” — provides the evidence, but the DOJ is the primary litigant against the defendants, in this case Ventavia, Pfizer and ICON, because the government is (traditionally) the party being defrauded.

In this case, we now know, the infiltrators in all of the administrative agencies of the US Government — including FDA performers portraying drug regulators — are leading the American branch of the global criminal conspiracy to commit fraud on the public, to carry out a global mass murder campaign disguised as a ‘public health’ campaign.

*

The first federal complaint filed in Jackson's case was filed in January 2021, by DOJ attorneys pretending to act on behalf of Jackson and the US Government, against Pfizer, Ventavia and ICON.

- 2021.01.08 Brook Jackson Original Complaint¹⁴

The case was immediately sealed by the court, and Jackson was put under a gag order. Throughout 2021, treasonous DOJ infiltrators pretended to investigate her claims, but actually did nothing. They just sat on the case and watched millions of people sicken and drop dead, because the Attorney General (William Barr until December 2020, Merrick Garland since March 2021) and other DOJ attorneys are active criminal co-conspirators in the mass murder program.

In November 2021, when the killers pseudo-authorized lethal attacks on children using the injectable mRNA/LNP slurries, Jackson violated the gag order and gave an interview, published in the *British Medical Journal* Nov. 2, 2021.

- 2021.11.02 BMJ Paul Thacker Brook Jackson Ventavia¹⁵

In January 2022, the DOJ finally notified the federal judge that the US Government had no interest in pursuing the case against any of the defendants further.

- 2022.01.18 US Gov DOJ declines to intervene¹⁶

Once the DOJ stepped out of the case, Jackson had the option to hire a private attorney and pursue the case herself, which she did. She hired Barnes.

- 2022.02.10 Judge Truncale Order on Gov decline to intervene¹⁷

Jackson refiled the case on Feb. 22, 2022, this time as a private citizen.

¹⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2021.01.08-brook-jackson-complaint-pfizer-ventavia-fraud-81-p.pdf>

¹⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2021.11.02-bmj-paul-thacker-brook-jackson-ventavia.pdf>

¹⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.01.18-gov-declines-to-intervene.pdf>

¹⁷ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.02.10-order-on-gov-decline-to-intervene.pdf>

- 2022.02.22 Brook Jackson Amended Complaint¹⁸

Pfizer filed a Motion to Dismiss on April 22, 2022, arguing that no fraud occurred and no fraud could ever have occurred, because none of the US Government DOD contracts ever required valid clinical trials or evidence of safety or efficacy as a condition for payment.

- 2022.04.22 Pfizer Motion to Dismiss¹⁹

There was some back and forth over the summer of 2022.

- 2022.08.22 Jackson Opposition to Pfizer MtD²⁰
- 2022.09.20 Pfizer Reply in support MtD²¹

On Oct. 4, 2022, US Government stepped into the case again — this time *taking Pfizer's side* in the dispute, concurring with Pfizer that there was never any fraud to prosecute, because Pfizer was never obligated to conduct valid clinical trials in order to receive payment for the manufactured bioweapons that they refer to as ‘vaccines.’

- 2022.10.04 US Gov Statement of Interest in support MtD²²

I corresponded with and spoke to Jackson and her attorneys during October 2022. I emailed and spoke directly with Mendenhall, and my understanding was that he was forwarding the information to Barnes and discussing it with the rest of the legal team during their litigation strategy sessions. I have never spoken directly with Barnes.

I provided the material Sasha and I had collected about the DOD bioweapons program to Mendenhall, and urged him and the other attorneys to incorporate the information into their Oct. 27, 2022 response to the US Government's re-entry into the case.

I also published several posts about the significance of Other Transactions Authority as Pfizer's basis for its Motion to Dismiss, including:

- Oct. 19, 2022 - Other Transaction Authority (OTA) is to federal procurement contract regulation as Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) is to federal drug safety regulation. They're both provisions through which Congress and US presidents pretended to legalize criminal conspiracy to produce and use weapons of mass destruction.

The attorneys declined to use the material or pursue the legal strategies suggested.

- 2022.10.27 Jackson response to US Gov²³

They did leave themselves some openings to begin to move in that direction later, as I wrote in my analysis of their Oct. 27 filing:

- Oct. 28, 2022 - Jackson v. Pfizer, Ventavia, Icon: Barnes legal team response filed Oct. 27.

...I think it's good that they mentioned the criminal issues.

I think it's good that they framed the fraud-in-inducement argument in terms of “potential” influence of faked clinical data on FDA decisions. Focusing on the word “potential” was a sidelong way of getting at the fact that there was no actual, material or causal influence for the clinical trials on FDA decisions, because the FDA's decisions were controlled by the Department of Defense from long before the trials even began.

¹⁸ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.02.22-jackson-amended-complaint.pdf>

¹⁹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.04.22-pfizer-motion-to-dismiss.pdf>

²⁰ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.08.22-jackson-opp-to-pfizer-mtd.pdf>

²¹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.09.20-pfizer-reply-in-support-mtd-.pdf>

²² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.10.04-jackson-v.-ventavia-us-gov-intervene.pdf>

²³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.10.27-jackson-response-to-us-gov.pdf>

I think it's good that Jackson's team emphasized the evidence from other trial sites that corroborate what she found in September 2020 at the Texas sites where she worked.

Jackson's team generously construed the Department of Justice's year-long case review/investigation process (January 2021 to January 2022) as evidence of DOJ's view that her claims were well-founded from the start. In truth, it was a DOJ stalling tactic to cover up DOD/HHS/FDA crimes while the crimes carried on. DOJ investigated nothing during those 12 months, because DOJ officials and the Attorney General were and are co-conspirators in the criminal mass murder campaign.

*

As of February 2023, the judge has not yet ruled on the Motion to Dismiss, but has granted Pfizer's request for a 90-day extension for discovery, which is now scheduled to start on March 15, 2023.²⁴

My understanding, from listening to Robert Barnes' comments in the Feb. 2, 2023 video at about 30:00-34:00,²⁵ is that he interprets the US Government's Oct. 4, 2022 Statement of Interest Supporting Dismissal very differently from how I interpret it.

Barnes — at least in this public appearance — interprets the US Government argument as: “We're trusting Pfizer here.”

Barnes also mentioned a Congressional bill to close the “little loophole” as to “materiality” of clinical trials and data for routine FDA regulation of medicinal products and consumer safety.

I disagree with Barnes.

I think the US Government explicitly endorsed Pfizer's April 22, 2022 argument that valid clinical trials and valid evidence of safety and efficacy were never required under the DOD contracts.

I think the US Government officials and Pfizer contractors running the bioterrorism program knew then — and still know now — that the FDA-regulated “clinical trials” and data were fraudulent; that the ‘prototypes’ under production were and still are a class of bioweapons intended to harm and kill targets; and that clinical trial evidence of safety and efficacy was not then, and is not now, material or necessary to FDA's sham ‘review’ process or to the US Government's decision to pay Pfizer for goods and services rendered and deploy the weapons on target populations.

I think the US Government position is made very clear in its October 2022 statement. Alongside corporate partner Pfizer, the infiltrators in the US Government would both like Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case to be dismissed so that the contract bioterrorism program can continue and expand without delay, legal impediment or loss of funding.

*

Bailiwick reporting on Jackson v. Pfizer case

- March 14, 2022 - Moderna's 2013 patent on furin cleavage site, Brook Jackson's 2020 report to FDA on clinical trial fraud, Pfizer 2021 SEC filings
- May 4, 2022 - Faked Clinical Trials and 'Real World Evidence'
- May 25, 2022 - Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss the Brook Jackson, federal contracting fraud, clinical trial fraud, whistleblower case.
- May 26, 2022 - Implications of 10 USC 2371b, the federal contracting provision cited by Pfizer
- July 8, 2022 - Contracts
- Aug. 19, 2022 - Mathew Crawford realizing that there were never any valid clinical trials; it was all fabricated.
- Sept. 21, 2022 - Four American war criminals I think should be prosecuted first: Alex Azar, Robert Kadlec, Marion Gruber and Bill Gates
- Oct. 12, 2022 - Secret Squirrel v. Azar, Kadlec and Gruber. First parts of draft 18 USC 2333 federal civil complaint

²⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2022.01.15-jackson-v.-pfizer-scheduling-order.pdf>

²⁵ <https://rumble.com/v283a5q-live-news-a-replay-of-whistleblower-brook-jackson-attorneys-warner-mendenha.html>

- Oct. 13, 2022 - 18 USC 2333 cases: venue, national security, Fauci, summary judgment
- Oct. 17, 2022 - Please pray for US District Court Judge Michael J. Truncale. Truncale is the federal judge in the Eastern District of Texas to whom whistleblower Brook Jackson's False Claims Act case is assigned.
- Oct. 19, 2022 - Other Transaction Authority (OTA) is to federal procurement contract regulation as Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) is to federal drug safety regulation. They're both provisions through which Congress and US presidents pretended to legalize criminal conspiracy to produce and use weapons of mass destruction.
- Oct. 21, 2022 - Legal horror movie pitch: The World According to Darp. 'Shouting fire in a crowded theater' meets 'When did you stop beating your wife?' Starring US Government as Darpon Fink, serial-killer/arsonist.
- Oct. 25, 2022 - Pharmaceuticidal tendencies. Condensing the legal nightmare for judicial review.
- Oct. 26, 2022 - Synopsis of proposed Jackson v. Pfizer argument. Clinical trial documents are just props in a theatrical production; clinical investigators are fooled performers and in the fooled audience; playwright and director is DOD.
- Oct. 26, 2022 -The goal is getting one good whistle-blower and one good federal judge together, through one solid, well-argued case.
- Oct. 27, 2022 - How can HHS, DOD and DHS be 'foreign terrorist organizations?' Through the treasonous (18 USC 2381) primary allegiance of their secretaries, and other senior executives, to the World Health Organization and its conspiring globalist institutions.
- Oct. 28, 2022 - Jackson v. Pfizer, Ventavia, Icon: Barnes legal team response filed Oct. 27
- Jan. 18, 2023 - Repost - Other Transaction Authority (OTA) is to federal procurement contract regulation as Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) is to federal drug safety regulation (Originally published Oct. 19, 2022)

* * *

Feb. 6, 2023 - Wyoming state lawmakers standing up. More of this, please.

Two weeks ago, a group of Wyoming legislators led by Rep. Jeanette Ward sponsored House Bill 143 - Health mandates - CDC and WHO jurisdiction in Wyoming.²⁶

The proposed new state law is concise and clear:

A BILL for AN ACT relating to public health and safety; prohibiting health mandates and requirements by specified federal and nongovernmental health organizations as specified; and providing for an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Wyoming:

Section 1. W.S. 35-4-1001 is created to read:

ARTICLE 10 - PROHIBITED HEALTH ORGANIZATIONS

35-4-1001. Consideration and compliance with specified health organizations; limitations.

The United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the World Health Organization shall have no jurisdiction in Wyoming and any requirements, mandates, recommendations, instructions or guidance provided by either organization shall not be used in this state to justify any mask, vaccine or medical testing requirements and shall have no force or effect in Wyoming.

Section 2. This act is effective July 1, 2023.

*

Sponsors in the Wyoming House of Representatives include Jeanette Ward, Bill Allemand, Jeremy Haroldson, Mark Jennings, Tony Locke, Ken Pendergraft, Allen Slagle and Tomi Strock.

Sponsors in the Senate include Lynn Hutchings and Bob Ide.

²⁶ <https://wyoleg.gov/Legislation/2023/HB0143>

HB-143 was introduced Jan. 16, 2023.

On Feb. 3, 2023, it passed out of the Labor Committee by a 5-4 vote.

It's scheduled for a floor session today: Feb. 6, 2023.

HB-143 is not yet passed by the full Wyoming House and Senate, and even if passed, it could be vetoed by the governor.

But it's a good sign that it got introduced at all and voted out of committee so quickly.

This sort of public naming of, and resistance to, the globalists' violent, attempted world takeover can and should be done in all 50 states, by all 50 state legislatures.

It should be done all over the world, to make the globalist Monsters take off their 'public health' velvet gloves and openly show us their iron bioterrorist fists.

*

Wyoming lawmakers have put together a good state law that not only blocks the criminals operating from the bowels of the American CDC, but also blocks the puppet-masters in the transnational criminal network: the World Health Organization. The law protects and defends Wyoming's state borders from domestic and foreign enemies, and protects the people and commerce of Wyoming from masking, testing, and the class of bioweapons fraudulently labeled as 'vaccines.'

There are probably similar bills already introduced in other states; this one just happened to show up in my Gab feed last night.

Some commenters at the Gab post scoffed at the Wyoming move, saying that CDC and WHO non-jurisdiction over American citizens, territories, businesses and resources should be the "default" position; it shouldn't require codification into law.

Fair enough. It shouldn't, and it wouldn't require codification if we weren't living in crazy-times, when CDC and WHO *are* overriding national, state and individual sovereignty; when they are killing us off with injectable bioweapons and other poisonings; when they are orchestrating financial collapses, famines and wars and many other mass murder programs; and when they are daily, actively working to expand their level of global totalitarian control.²⁷

We're living in crazy-times.

That makes it necessary to set, re-set, enforce and re-enforce moral, legal and physical boundaries with exceptional clarity, strength and repetition.

*

For more of the state and local laws the globalists hate — which is the best reason for state and local governments to introduce them and pass them with great enthusiasm and speed:

- Oct. 2022 - State Laws Limiting Public Health Protections: Hazardous for Our Health.²⁸ Network for Public Health Law.

Translation tips: 'public health' is 'State-run contract bioterrorism.'

The authors and funders of that report — who see themselves as experts looking out for the common good of their inferiors and subordinates — are actually members of the Network for State-run Bioterrorism Law.

²⁷ <https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/dont-fall-for-the-decoy>

²⁸ <https://www.networkforphl.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Analysis-of-State-Laws-Limiting-Public-Health-Protections-1.pdf>

They harbor bitter contempt for individual liberty: the Creator-endowed moral agency of living human beings to exercise discernment and will and make our own determinations, guided by the teachings of Jesus Christ, about what's good, what's evil, what we must do and what we must avoid doing, to protect and sustain the lives and souls entrusted to our care.

Another lie in the report: "masks provide critical protection from illness."

Translate as: "Masks are excellent psychological and social control mechanisms. They disrupt social bonds mediated through human facial expressions, eye contact, speech and body language, they interfere with breathing, and they provide constant visual triggers eliciting fear responses and suppressing cognitive reasoning."

Another lie: "Vaccines save lives."

Translate as: "Bioweapons labeled as vaccines induce suppression of human self-preservation and offspring-preservation instincts. They elicit quiet compliance with induced suicide, sterilization, and homicide, and thereby facilitate covert mass murder."

"Protect the community" or "protect the common good," means "shield State officials from facing justice for their participation in financially-coerced mass murder."

In sum, the Network for Public Health Law does not count among its membership, trustworthy arbiters of what's healthy and what's hazardous. The lawyers who wrote the report, and the report itself, are part of the psychological manipulation system, intended and effective at instilling a sense of inevitability and helplessness among targeted enemies.

(For more on language manipulation, see Nov. 14, 2022 - Thought-stopping stage sets in legal pleadings. Proposed thought-restarting language to help people revoke their coerced suspension of disbelief.)

*

Much as I disagree with their positions, I'm grateful to the report's authors: Donna E. Levin, J.D.; Jill Krueger, J.D.; Kathleen Hoke, J.D.; Emely Sanchez, J.D., M.P.H.; Brianne Schell, J.D., Dawn Hunter, J.D.; M.P.H.; Sara Rogers, M.P.H.; Erica N. White, J.D., and Center for Public Health Law Research at Temple University attorneys Elizabeth Platt, J.D., M.A.; and Katie Moran-McCabe, J.D.

These women have assembled the best ways Americans can and should defend ourselves from biomedical martial law and state-sponsored mass murder programs. Under each heading, they even list sample bills from dozens of states, with citations, making it easy for readers to track existing bills and lobby for drafting and passage of more.

List of the state and local laws State-run contract bioterrorism lawyers don't like:

1. Prohibitions on Mask Requirements
2. Prohibitions on Proof of Vaccination and Vaccine Mandates
3. Shifts in Authority and Limitations on Public Health Orders - "These laws remove authority from the chief executive and public health agencies to exercise their expertise and the flexibility needed for day-to-day public health protection and emergency response. These laws give this authority to those with less knowledge and expertise to make health decisions that impact entire communities. Among other provisions, these laws impose time limits on emergency orders and establish new legislative authority to limit public health protective measures, including guidelines on public gatherings, and to extend, change, terminate, and oversee implementation and enforcement of emergency orders." *Translation*: "Shut up and submit, you stupid peasants."
4. Prohibitions on Measures to Protect the Community in the Name of Individual Liberties - "During the COVID-19 pandemic, some politicians weaponized constitutional rights in state legislatures to push for limitations on public health measures that keep us healthy." *Translation*: "Some Americans invoked constitutional rights as defensive weapons, to protect and defend themselves and their families from the State's toxic, lethal trespass of their bodies, bloodstreams and organs."

State Laws Limiting Public Health Protections: Snapshot of Categories				
185 Total Laws Enacted January 1, 2021 - May 20, 2022				
10	60	61	7	37
Laws that address mask requirements state-wide or in schools (See Section I)	Laws that address vaccines some of which prohibit vaccine mandates and passports and as a pre-condition for employment or school attendance (See Section II)	Laws that impact authority of a governor, state health official, or local health official to use emergency orders by imposing restrictions on one or more of the following: issuance, scope, duration, or allowing termination by the legislature (See Section III)	Laws that shift public health authority between local and state public health authorities, or between executive and legislative branches (See Section III)	Laws that address public health emergency measures in one or more public places – businesses, places of worship, and schools (Described throughout)
Interactive maps displaying these laws—which will be accompanied by citations, full text of the bills, and numerical data for download in an Excel file—will be available on Lawatlas.org once published. The data can be sorted by jurisdiction or category. The data will be longitudinal, showing changes in legislation between January 1, 2021, and May 20, 2022.				

For readers interested in more data about state-level efforts, the Policy Surveillance Program²⁹ at the Temple University Center for Public Health Law Research maintains several databases tracking compliance and insubordination records for state governments and governments in other countries.

The NPHL report linked above was based on the US data set for Reallocation of Public Authority.³⁰ Some data sets haven't been updated since pre-Covid, but the information is still useful for identifying what the killers' like to see governments do, and what they don't like.

Available data sets include:

- Accelerating the Implementation of International Health Regulations in the European Region³¹
- Certificate of Need Laws³²
- Emergency Suspension Powers³³
- Patient Affordability and Debt Collection Policies at 340B Program Hospitals³⁴
- Patient Centered Medical Home Laws³⁵
- Prevention: National Legislation Implementing the IHR(2005)³⁶
- Preparation: National Legislation Implementing the IHR(2005)³⁷
- Promoting Health and Cost Control in States Initiative: State Policy Project³⁸
- Public Health Departments and State Patient Confidentiality Laws³⁹
- Public Health Preemption⁴⁰
- Reallocation of Public Health Authority⁴¹

²⁹ <https://lawatlas.org/topics>

³⁰ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/public-health-authority-shiftss>

³¹ <https://lawatlas.org/page/who-international-health-regulations-project>

³² <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/certificate-of-need>

³³ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/emergency-powers>

³⁴ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/patient-affordability-and-debt-collection-policies>

³⁵ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/patient-centered-medical-home-laws-2>

³⁶ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/prevention-national-legislation-implementing-the-ih-2005>

³⁷ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/preparation-national-legislation-implementing-the-ih-2005>

³⁸ <https://lawatlas.org/page/promoting-health-and-cost-control-in-states-initiative-state-policy-project>

³⁹ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/public-health-departments-and-state-patient-confidentiality-laws>

⁴⁰ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/public-health-preemption>

⁴¹ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/public-health-authority-shiftss>

- Response: National Legislation Implementing the IHR (2005)⁴²
- Sentinel Surveillance of Emerging Laws and Policies Project⁴³
- Sentinel Surveillance of Emerging Laws Limiting Public Health Emergency Orders⁴⁴
- State Legislation Addressing Public Health Emergency Authority⁴⁵
- State Limits on Enforcement of Federal Law⁴⁶
- State Preemption Laws⁴⁷
- Strengthening Public Health⁴⁸
- Surveillance and Alert: National Legislation Implementing the IHR (2005)⁴⁹

* * *

Feb. 7, 2023 - On the impalement of embedded, treasonous, DOD-HHS bioterrorists on the horns of their dilemmas. Revisiting double-bind challenges to the Covid-19 cullers and culling agents.

Several months ago, while thinking about Brook Jackson's case,⁵⁰ I started developing some argument frameworks to help plaintiffs, attorneys and judges get past some of the biggest legal obstacles and move closer to justice for the Covid-19 crimes and criminals.

I published one such exploration in November.

Nov. 16, 2022 - Some thinking about tampering with evidence and spoliation

...The main hurdles, as in all the other strategies, are the court-stripping carve-outs⁵¹ in which private cause of action is blocked as soon as US Government officials and military leadership are the named defendants...

The only way to move forward, it seems to me, is to have a third prong, which is an argument that the men and women doing these things are not acting in their official capacities or under color of legal authority but are rogue actors.

This is related to the other idea recently kicked around on this email thread: prosecuting Trump, Biden, Azar, Becerra, Fauci, Birx, Walensky, etc. for impersonating federal officials (18 USC 912⁵²).

We need plaintiffs, fact patterns and claims that drive a legal wedge to separate the legitimate US Government and the people still operating under the US Constitution and legitimate federal laws, from the infiltrated/co-opted illegitimate US Government and the embedded agents operating as if the US Constitution has been suspended, under federal pseudo-laws through the fraudulent national emergency and public health framework.

Summarized: we need to get the US Gov in a position where it must either admit or deny that fraud + mass murder is the official, authorized policy of the US Gov., such that the identifiable people who are running the programs have recourse to legal defense services provided by the US Department of Justice, or get cut loose, declared rogue and are then opened to criminal prosecution in their personal capacities...

*

⁴² <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/response-national-legislation-implementing-the-ih-2005>

⁴³ <https://lawatlas.org/page/sentinel-surveillance-project>

⁴⁴ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/sentinel-surveillance-laws-limiting-public-health-authority>

⁴⁵ <https://lawatlas.org/page/state-legislation-addressing-public-health-emergency-authority>

⁴⁶ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/federal-law-limitss>

⁴⁷ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/preemption-project>

⁴⁸ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/public-health-authority-expansions>

⁴⁹ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/surveillance-and-alert-national-legislation-implementing-the-ih-2005>

⁵⁰ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

⁵¹ https://www.aclu.org/sites/default/files/field_document/courtstripping.pdf

⁵² <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/912>

I explored this framing a bit more in January:

Jan. 16, 2023 - Dual-use government officials of concern

...For my own contributions to the fight against the Monster, I'm most interested in developing and supporting cases that force government defendants and defense counsel to first, admit that the evidence (the record of their public acts and documents) conclusively shows they've launched a covert war with their people, which is becoming widely seen and understood.

The government attorneys would then be compelled to choose between two defenses:

- The war on the world is legal and the use of bioweapons to carry out official, authorized duties and orders to maim and kill billions of people, is justified and endorsed by the US government as an institution.
- The war is illegal, such that the official government acts undertaken by named defendants, to conduct the war, have been done without proper authority, by rogue actors, who can and will be removed from power and tried for their war crimes.

To the extent the Department of Justice responded to a criminal prosecution of Kadlec, Azar, Gruber and Hinton by using the second argument, the war criminals would be subject to prosecution in their personal capacity, without recourse to sovereign, legislative, administrative or other immunities.

They would be cut loose from the government, and legally construed as people who committed the war crimes outside their official capacities, while impersonating federal officials, or while serving as agents of foreign invaders or occupiers.

The advantage offered by cutting the war criminals loose, is that it would leave the core governing institutions (legislatures, courts and executives) and the US Constitution intact...

*

In the last few days, I've been looking at those argument frameworks again, in terms of the **products** (mRNA/LNP bioweapons) as distinct from the **people** deploying them.

To prosecute defendants for their willed acts and omissions, the theory of the case is that the products in use are military bioweapons, not pharmaceutical drugs, devices, biologics, or vaccines; the program is a covert, state-sponsored, contract terrorism/mass murder program; and there is ample evidence⁵³ to demonstrate the bioweapons were developed and deployed through military programs (not drug regulation programs) with intent to harm recipients, by named defendants in their official or personal capacities.

To defend, US Gov must take one of two positions:

1. Mass murder using bioweapons is the official policy of the US Government, and officials carrying it out are fully authorized to do so, or
2. Mass murder using bioweapons is prohibited under US law, and US Government officials carrying it out are rogue elements who can and should be removed from power, charged, tried and punished.

The same double-bind can be applied to the manufactured prototype **products**, as distinct from the **people** who developed, pseudo-authorized and deploy them to this day.

For the products, the theory of the case is that the products in use are bioweapons, not pharmaceutical drugs, devices, biologics or vaccines within the purview of FDA regulation.

⁵³ <https://sashalatypova.substack.com/p/my-talk-from-lakarupropet-conference>

There is ample evidence⁵⁴ to demonstrate they were never subject to FDA procedures regulating or monitoring certified Good Clinical Practice (cGCP), certified Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP), certified Good Laboratory Practice (cGLP), certified Good Distribution Practice (cGDP), dispensing, labeling, adverse effects, etc.

To defend, the US Government must take one of two positions:

1. The products are pharmaceutical drugs, devices, biologics or vaccines, but none of the FDA regulatory standards for safety and efficacy testing, manufacturing, distribution, dispensing, labeling were followed prior to dispensing and during use; or
2. The products are military bioweapons for battlefield use, and none of the FDA regulatory standards for safety and efficacy testing, manufacturing and distribution were applicable, legally required or necessary for deployment on military targets.

Combined, these two challenges — to the acts of people and the use of products — place the US Government criminals in two double-binds.

Either

A. They lied, knowingly and with intent, when they told the world that the products known as Covid-19 vaccines are FDA-authorized/FDA-approved pharmaceutical products, or

B. They killed, knowingly and with intent, using military bioweapons that were never and could never be, subject to FDA pharmaceutical regulation.

The correct answer is:

C. Both of the above.

*

St. Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologica*, I-II, Q. 93, Art. 3, ad. 2, cited in *Rerum Novarum*/On the Condition of the Working Classes, Pope Leo XIII, 1891, footnote 37.

Human law is law only in virtue of its accordance with right reason: and thus it is manifest that it flows from the eternal law.

And in so far as it deviates from right reason, it is called an unjust law; in such case it is not law at all, but rather a species of violence.

* * *

⁵⁴ <https://sashalatypova.substack.com/p/my-talk-from-lakaruppropet-conference>

Feb, 9, 2023 - Expanded Kill Box slide deck and new videos

Earlier this week I presented at a Doctors4Covid Ethics meeting. I updated and expanded the Kill Box slide deck for the presentation.

The first deck, presented at a Jan. 24, 2023 event organized by Glen Macko⁵⁵ and his L4Atv1 team, is 18 slides. The expanded deck is 36 slides.

- Kill Box Presentation⁵⁶ (18 p.)
- Kill Box Presentation⁵⁷ (36 p.)
- D4CE presentation video⁵⁸ at Rumble (75 min)
- D4CE Q&A video⁵⁹ at Rumble (90 min)

* * *

Feb. 9, 2023 - On the significance of 21 USC 360bbb-3(k): "use" of EUA products "shall not constitute clinical investigation."

Last week I got an email requesting clarification about the significance of 21 USC 360bbb-3(k) for the planning, execution and continuance of the Covid-19 global pharmaweapon mass murder campaign.

21 USC 360bbb-3⁶⁰ Authorization for medical products for use in emergencies

...21 USC 360bbb-3(k) Relation to other provisions

If a product is the subject of an authorization under this section, the **use of such product** within the scope of the authorization **shall not be considered to constitute a clinical investigation** for purposes of section 355(i), 360b(j), or 360j(g) of this title or any other provision of this chapter or section 351 of the Public Health Service Act [42 U.S.C. 262].

*

My reply, revised/expanded

The shortest version is that — like the certified Good Manufacturing Practice, certified Good Laboratory Practice, certified Good Distribution Practice and labeling and dispensing laws that Sasha Latypova has investigated so thoroughly⁶¹ (and found that none of the standards that FDA applied to drug, vaccine and biologics development prior to 2020, were applied by FDA to the products produced after the 2020 PREP Act declarations about Covid-19 EUA countermeasures) — so also none of the certified Good Clinical Practices were followed either.

Brook Jackson identified these blatant violations in the human clinical "trials" in August and September 2020, collected supporting evidence, and described the violations in detail, with supporting documentation and photos, in her reports to Ventavia, Pfizer and FDA.

Ventavia, Pfizer and FDA ignored the evidence; continued attacking unwitting victims with lethal injections while telling those victims they were participants in an FDA-regulated clinical trial; and arranged for Jackson to be fired. Jackson included the same information and evidence in her whistleblower complaint⁶² at p. 8

⁵⁵ <https://rumble.com/v26xpbcdod-vaccine-press-conference-tuesday-january-24-230p-et.html>

⁵⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/kill-box-presentation-1.pdf>

⁵⁷ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/kill-box-presentation-long-form-1.pdf>

⁵⁸ <https://rumble.com/v28tygs-katherine-watt-presentation.html>

⁵⁹ <https://rumble.com/v28u59s-q-and-a-after-katherine-watt-presentation.html>

⁶⁰ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/21/360bbb-3>

⁶¹ <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/my-talk-from-lakaruppropet-conference>

⁶² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.02.22-jackson-amended-complaint.pdf>

..."[Brook Jackson] observed:

- fabrication and falsification of blood draw information, vital signs, signatures and other essential clinical trial data;
- enrollment and injection of ineligible clinical trial participants, including Ventavia employees' family members;
- failure to timely remove ineligible patients' data from the trial;
- failure to maintain temperature control for the vaccine at issue;
- failure to monitor patients after injection as required by the trial protocol;
- principal investigator oversight failures;
- use of unqualified and untrained personnel as vaccinators and laboratory personnel;
- failure to maintain the "blind" as required, which is essential to the credibility and validity of the observer-blinded clinical trial;
- ethical violations, such as failure to secure informed consent and giving patients unapproved compensation;
- improper injection of the vaccine (i.e., by over-diluting vaccine concentrate or using the wrong needle size);
- failure to ensure that trial site staff were properly trained as required by good clinical practices;
- safety and confidentiality issues, including HIPAA violations; and
- other violations of the clinical trial protocol, FDA regulations, and Federal Acquisition Regulations and their DoD supplements.

Ventavia failed to report the majority of its clinical trial protocol and regulatory violations to Pfizer or the external Institutional Review Board. Issues were improperly documented or hidden away in "notes to the file," and not corrected..."

*

If **any** FDA regulations had been legally operative, then the whole project would have been stopped by FDA long before human sham-trials could even begin.

Red flag stopping points showed up in the very earliest animal studies, one of which was conducted between July 16, 2020 and Sept. 24, 2020, concurrent with the sham human trials, and eventually provided by Pfizer/Acutas/DOD to FDA in November 2020.⁶³

Another version was provided to Japanese regulators⁶⁴ by February 2021, after mass rollout worldwide began in December 2020. It was subsequently translated into English and discussed by Byram Bridle in May 2021 reports and on Bret Weinstein's June 2021 Darkhorse podcast, highlighting that the data showed the lipid nanoparticles (payloads unidentified) accumulate in rat organs, among other toxicity evidence.

Sept. 19, 2022 - In Nov. 2020, Pfizer told FDA reviewers, led by Marion Gruber, that safety studies were neither needed nor conducted. In making that argument, Pfizer cited WHO guidance written in 2002 by a team led by Marion Gruber.⁶⁵

...At this point in early Summer 2021, four facts became more widely understood among the community of people trying to understand the biotechnology, risks and benefits of the products marketed as 'Covid-19 vaccines.'

1. The inflammatory lipid nanoparticles and their payloads collect in the ovaries and other key organs, are not rapidly cleared from the human body and are toxic.
2. Pfizer scientists knew this before seeking EUA approval from the FDA through the 11/20/2020 EUA application.
3. FDA scientists led by Marion Gruber knew this when authorizing the product for emergency use on 12/11/2020.

⁶³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/09/2020.11.09-pfizer-wistar-study-77-p..pdf>

⁶⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/2021-japan-study-translation-lnp-in-ovaries.pdf>

⁶⁵ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/in-nov-2020-pfizer-told-fda-reviewers>

4. Pfizer, FDA and Gruber withheld this information from the public and knowingly lied each time they described the products as “safe and effective...”

*

The Pfizer-DOD death machine submitted the Wistar rat data to the fake FDA reviewers as part of the EUA package, including a document called “Phase 1/2/3, placebo-controlled, randomized, observer-blind, dose-finding study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, immunogenicity and efficacy of SARS-CoV-2 RNA vaccine candidates against Covid-19 in healthy individuals.”⁶⁶

In that sham “clinical trial” protocol at p. 72, Pfizer-DOD flatly stated that the “study” had not and would not assess pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, biomarkers or genetics.

The aggregate evidence for the intent and function of 21 USC 360bbb-3(k) as a blanket waiver of the American drug regulation system to facilitate and pre-cover-up a covert, criminal bioweapons production and deployment program — can be summed up as “the dog that didn’t bark.”⁶⁷

Reinforcing evidence is the establishment of “real world evidence”⁶⁸ — “data regarding the usage, or the potential benefits or risks, of a drug derived from sources other than randomized clinical trials” — as a basis for fake FDA regulatory decisions, a monstrosity Congress passed and Obama signed through the 2016 21st Century Cures Act⁶⁹ at Section 3022. More reinforcing evidence: the government-coordinated, fraud-based suppression of all the alternative treatments for Covid-19, any one of which would have been enough to block the EUA, which depends on there being no available alternative treatments.

Another way to think about 21 USC 360bbb-3(k):

It’s the provision that quietly nullified every substantive way in which FDA regulatory functions would have been fulfilled, rendering the entire FDA performance a sham intended *only* to shield from public view, that the operation was and is actually run under 50 USC Ch. 32, the Chemical and Biological Warfare Program.⁷⁰

*

As I keep researching, I find more evidence that FDA officials fully understood how outside-the-FDA-law the EUA program is, and they’ve understood it for a very long time.

Especially FDA lawyers running the “legal preparedness” apparatus.

See, for example, Susan Sherman's part in a 2009 workshop⁷¹ (*Medical Countermeasures Dispensing Emergency Use Authorization and the Postal Model*, at p. 26) and an August 2020 presentation by Elizabeth Sadove,⁷² summarizing the simultaneous cover-up/crime in a table at p. 18:

⁶⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/2020.11-pfizer-biontech-c4591001-clinical-protocol.pdf>

⁶⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Adventure_of_Silver_Blaze

⁶⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/faked-clinical-trials-and-real-world>

⁶⁹ <https://www.congress.gov/114/plaws/publ255/PLAW-114publ255.pdf>

⁷⁰ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/50/chapter-32>

⁷¹ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK53126/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK53126.pdf

⁷² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2020.08.25-fda-cdc-regulatory-updates-use-of-mcms-table-p.-18.pdf>

Comparison of Access Mechanisms

Consideration	Clinical Trial	Expanded Access (IND/IDE)	EUA
Ability to inform effectiveness	Yes – designed to provide evidence of safety and effectiveness	Not likely; possibly anecdotal information with larger population size	Not likely
Ability to inform safety	Yes – designed to provide evidence of safety and effectiveness	Safety signals might be identified	Safety signals might be identified
Ability to obtain useful information to benefit future patients	Yes - designed and intended to benefit future patients – randomized/blinded	Not likely; with larger sized populations, possibly some safety data in patient subgroups that could inform broader labeling	Not likely
Availability of findings	Eventually published in medical journals. If part of a regulatory approval, FDA makes reviews public.	Individual medical records are not released to the general public. Case reports might be published in medical journals.	Generally there is no systematic data collection. Retrospectives studies may be conducted and published.
Informed consent required?	Yes	Yes	No, but requires informing the volunteer of 1) right to refuse and 2) that product is unapproved/available under an EUA
Institutional review board (IRB) required?	Yes	Yes, but no prior approval needed for individual patient access	No
Level of access to investigational product	Depends on trial design P1 typically 20 – 100 P2 typically several 100 P3 typically 300 – 3,000	Depends on type of expanded access, which ranges from individual patient (e-IND/IDE) to large (e.g., 100-1,000) populations	Can enable access to a large number of patients

That table makes clear that "Clinical Trial" products, "Expanded Access (IND/IDE)" products and "EUA" products are three completely different legal frameworks.

Under "Clinical Trials," the use will provide evidence of safety and effectiveness; will produce useful information to benefit future patients; will eventually be published in medical journals and possibly published FDA reviews; that informed consent is required; that Institutional Review Boards are required; and that a limited number of people will have access to the product.

Under EUA, product use is "not likely" to provide evidence of efficacy; "might" provide safety signals; is "not likely" to provide useful information to benefit future patients; "generally there is no systematic data collection" although retrospective studies "may" be conducted and published; informed consent is not required; IRB review is not required; and the access pool is "a large number of patients."

*

The primary purpose of all the statutory, regulatory changes and guidance document revisions year after year, page after page, is to keep people from, first, understanding the war crimes as war crimes, and — if people do figure it out — keep them chasing their tails trying to find the FDA loophole that the war criminals somehow failed to close, through which somebody might someday be able to get them to stop killing us.

In the meantime, they just keep killing, and we don't find loopholes, because the complexity of the web is impenetrable, and the program is not an FDA-regulated medical treatment program anyway: it's a military-operated global genocide.

I try to maintain attention and expand understanding of demonstrable fact sets and the moral judgments that follow once those acts are accurately perceived:

"What they are doing is intentional killing, and intentionally killing people is wrong."

And I try to participate in the global struggle to stop the killing by helping to mobilize political and social pressure on lawmakers to use international and federal criminal laws to stop the cull and bring the killers to justice; repeal the enabling laws⁷³ and put in place new laws that better protect people from socially- and economically- coerced submission to mass murderers pretending to be everything other than what they are.

* * *

⁷³ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/repost-pharmaco-military-genocide>

Feb. 10, 2023 - On initiating awkward conversations about global pharmaco-military genocide with public officeholders, so as to embolden resistance.

Bailiwick reader Elle Morgan has started a new Substack called Human Writes.⁷⁴

One of her skill-sets is teaching public speaking and public communications.

Elle is also a personal friend to me, and recently while we were hanging out, we were talking about how difficult it is — not just to think about and emotionally process the horrifying evil unleashed on the world through the Bank for International Settlements and its financial, political and social subsidiaries — but even more so to talk about it publicly with other people who don't or can't yet see it or understand it.

In short: it sounds like crazy talk, because it's talk about things that are crazy and have been set in motion by diabolically disordered souls, but are happening anyway, in the real human world.

Put another way:

It's overwhelming to individually grapple with the facts and the many implications across many human spheres of action: spiritual, moral, legal, political, economic, social, familial, personal, psychological...

The thought of trying to describe or explain these things to public officeholders who may be indifferent, confused or even hostile, and then ask those men and women to use their political power to respond to the complex crisis by standing up against the massive forces arrayed against us, is even more overwhelming.

I agree with her, and I'm grateful that this is a part of the fight where she wants to invest her time and her energy, and make her support available to people who are struggling to get themselves into meetings with government officials to have constructive conversations.

Elle has put together a guide which I've uploaded to Bailiwick's backup Wordpress site:

- Five-step Format for Citizens in Communication with Officeholders⁷⁵

And an introductory Substack post⁷⁶ about her project:

...If I want my elected officials to “do something,” first I need to know what they know and what they don't know. How can they act if they don't know what's going on? I'm going to make an appointment. And then, I'm going to sit down and tell them what I think. There you have it.

But can I? Am I able to organize my thoughts, can I distill the last two and a half years of research and questions into something coherent that expresses my very grave concerns about the health and well being of my family, community and country?

Can I adequately convey the emotional toll of these many months, and the desperate, yes *desperate*, longing for some support and help — from *any* sector for God's sake, to overcome the monster that has become our own government?...

I encourage readers who are interested in connecting directly with your local, county, state and federal representatives to discuss these issues, to download the five-page guide and think it over, and then connect with Elle through her Substack for more support and encouragement.

Also, I've fielded several requests for template letters that people could print, sign and mail to office-holders. I've written an Affidavit of Noncompliance⁷⁷ for filing with county court clerks,⁷⁸ and there are other templates at Five

⁷⁴ <https://ellemorgan.substack.com/>

⁷⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/five-step-guide-communicating-office-holders.pdf>

⁷⁶ <https://ellemorgan.substack.com/p/can-you-play-the-cello>

⁷⁷ <https://5smallstones.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/affidavit-of-noncompliance-with-title-case-type.pdf>

⁷⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/affidavit-of-noncompliance>

Small Stones⁷⁹ written by me and others, including a Notice of War Crimes Complicity.⁸⁰ The Word versions of the affidavit⁸¹ and notice⁸² could be revised by readers for delivery to government officials.

I haven't written more because I think such letters tend to be more effective the more they express each writer's personal grappling with the things that are most important to them, and any personal connection they have with the recipient of each letter.

If readers have written some templates and want to link to those templates in the comment threads for other readers to download and use, please do.

* * *

Feb. 13, 2023 - Shire Herald: new Substack about ancient, medieval and modern developments in the law; and how they relate to current events. And C.S. Lewis on evil and free will.

Two new videos published recently:

- Jan. 25, 2023 - C19: Public Health or Defense Operation?⁸³ *TrialSite News*. Shabnam Palesa Mohamed, Katherine Watt (18 p. slide deck, 1-hour with intermittent discussion.)
- Feb. 9, 2023 - Military Countermeasures.⁸⁴ *Making Sense of the Madness*. Sean Morgan, Sasha Latypova, Katherine Watt

I'm in the bumpy process of adjusting my work plans in response to the recent increase in public awareness of the medicalized martial law issues Brook Jackson, Sasha Latypova and I have been working on (individually and then together) since the complex Covid-19-predicated crises burst into view in January 2020.

I'm trying to clarify for myself and readers, the topics I'm most interested in, as distinct from the many related topics that I'm aware of but don't have the time, energy or interest to work on myself.

For example, at the beginning of 2023, I planned to spend time on organic constitution and state national citizenship history, current events and analysis.

I've done a handful of posts on this topic, read some book chapters, engaged in lengthy email discussions with several readers who know a lot about it, and have barely scratched the surface. I've learned enough to know that a full investigation would occupy all of my time for several years.

- June 20, 2022 - How the 1913 Federal Reserve Act may connect to the government-run bioterrorism campaign called Covid-19.
- Oct. 20, 2022 - Thoughts on American Organic Law
- Nov. 23 - Informed, connected & brave v. ignorant, isolated & scared. Thinking about the Constitution-in-exile predicament.
- Dec. 22, 2022 - Reinhabiting Congress and all the other government branches: local, county, state and federal.

I'm no longer planning to do a deep dive this year.

A Bailiwick reader who writes under the pseudonym Shire Herald, has started a new Substack called Shire Herald,⁸⁵ to focus on those issues.

⁷⁹ <https://5smallstones.wordpress.com/templates/>

⁸⁰ <https://5smallstones.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/notice-of-war-crimes-complicity-local-state-officials-18-usc-2441.pdf>

⁸¹ <https://5smallstones.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/affidavit-of-noncompliance-with-title-case-type.docx>

⁸² <https://5smallstones.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/notice-of-war-crimes-complicity-local-state-officials-18-usc-2441.docx>

⁸³ <https://rumble.com/v28q9c0-c19-public-health-or-defense-operation.html>

⁸⁴ <https://rumble.com/v28t4g0-military-countermeasures-with-sasha-latypova-and-katherine-watt-msom-ep.-67.html>

⁸⁵ <https://shirenews.substack.com/>

He's already put thousands of hours over several years into careful research and thinking, has written several introductory posts and has created a discussion forum.

- Jan. 28, 2023 - The Adventure Begins (Say You Won't). The structure of the people's government and its origins.⁸⁶ Shire-moot, tithing and ten-tithing assemblies that...initial jural assemblies and county assemblies were based upon
- Jan. 29, 2023 - Law - General Historical Timeline. Before America, there was the evolution of the Law - though we are not taught from whence it came.⁸⁷ The historical push and pull of the Roman influence, Roman Catholic influence, growth of Christianity, and the English and European monarchs...continuing effort of the monarchs to gain control through "divine right" and controlled courts.
- Feb. 1, 2023 - America Settlers, Land Ownership, and Puritan Influence on Law. Companies and Allodial Title - The lure of America⁸⁸
- Feb. 4, 2023 - Plymouth vs Virginia Land Title and Decentralization. Attempts that always led back to property ownership - business strategy⁸⁹
- Feb. 7, 2023 - Indigenous Native Americans and Sovereignty Struggle. The sins of Doctrine of Discovery, Right of Conquest, and Hierarchy of all Mankind in Law versus legal⁹⁰
- Feb. 12, 2023 - Rights, Protections and Common Law⁹¹

*

I agree with Shire Herald and many other readers, that it's a good idea for interested people to try to individually reclaim jurisdiction and legal status as free men and women from the central banker pirates who have (across the centuries) stolen and *de facto* enslaved people through birth certificates, the Social Security system, corporatized governments, fiat money and debt.

But the second reason I'm personally setting aside the research, is that I also think that it's a good idea for interested people to push state and federal lawmakers and judges to use foundational, common law and constitutional republican legal principles to liberate men and women from medical martial law and debt slavery condition by the thousands or millions, in batches. Not just one by one, but a whole state population, for example, or the whole American population all at once, through Congressional action or by judicial decision.

This is related to my understanding of the current US government as dual-use or two-faceted.

- Jan. 16, 2023 - Dual-use government officials of concern
- Jan. 23, 2023 - On Trump's role and secret military-led continuity of government for purposes of swamp-draining.
- Jan. 30, 2023 - Some thoughts about J6 and razor-tipped chain link fence perimeters, literal and metaphorical
- Feb. 7, 2023 - On the impalement of embedded, treasonous, DOD-HHS bioterrorists on the horns of their dilemmas.

Summarized: I think there's a corrupt, illegitimate, captured aspect of the US Government, especially in the federal executive branch and cabinet level, which is used by central bankers to project, maintain and deepen global slavery and conduct covert mass murder.

But I also think there's a deeply legitimate, honorable, freely-willing aspect of the US Government. It's hidden in the bodies, hearts, minds, souls and free wills of the living men and women who currently hold the titles of Congressman, Senator, and Judge. Each of them can freely choose to stop complying with and covering up lie-based corruption, and start acting with truth-based integrity to overtake and expel the illegitimate, criminal, rogue government.

I think fractal versions of that same shadow/light legislative and judicial phenomenon exist in each American state capitol, and in each county.

⁸⁶ <https://shirenews.substack.com/p/the-adventure-begins-say-you-wont>

⁸⁷ <https://shirenews.substack.com/p/law-general-historical-timeline>

⁸⁸ <https://shirenews.substack.com/p/america-settlers-land-ownership-and>

⁸⁹ <https://shirenews.substack.com/p/plymouth-vs-virginia-land-title-and>

⁹⁰ <https://shirenews.substack.com/p/indigenous-native-americans-and-sovereignty>

⁹¹ <https://shirenews.substack.com/p/rights-protections-and-common-law>

As I wrote to Shire Herald in an email on Jan. 27, 2023, I see the two civic action approaches as complementary, not in conflict; I think there's potential to develop a pincer movement.

...I would love it if you would start a Substack, because then I could refer all the readers who are interested in learning about and discussing those issues to read your work and engage in the comment threads there, and maintain my focus on my work.

Both research and action tracks are valuable, I think. Yours and Anna Reitz's is aimed at mobilizing grassroots reclaiming of lost personal territory through individual paperwork and state-level associations, and mine is aimed more at motivating and informing the men and women currently in office in the occupied federal and state government territory, to step out of the occupied box and reclaim the original turf too.

At some point, God-willing, the grassroots works will merge with the office-holders' works and we'll see a general reclaiming of turf and an "equal protection under the law" of a single full, free person class, replacing the seven types of bondage, corporatized citizen you listed in your email of Jan. 19: municipal; territorial; naturalized; permanent resident; American State Nationals; American State Citizens; territorial "American State National" described in Federal Code, 8 USC 1101 (a) 21 for Federal Territorial Employees and Dependents.

Both are voluminous, overwhelming and time-consuming to understand and share.

I'll leave it there for now. I encourage readers interested in these issues, to read and comment at Shire Herald.⁹²

*

Sometimes, especially when the "hackable animal" lie told by Noah Juval Hariri and his co-conspirators re-emerges in public discourse, particularly when it's directed in anger at the past and present fecklessness of so many lawmakers, prosecutors and judges, I read C.S. Lewis.

C.S. Lewis' *Mere Christianity*, 1977 paperback edition, pp. 52-53

...God created things which had free will. That means creatures which can go either wrong or right. Some people think they can imagine a creature which was free but had no possibility of going wrong; I cannot. If a thing is free to be good it is also free to be bad. And free will is what has made evil possible.

Why, then, did God give them free will? Because free will, though it makes evil possible, is also the only thing that makes possible any love or goodness or joy worth having.

A world of automats — of creatures that worked like machines — would hardly be worth creating. The happiness which God designs for his higher creatures is the happiness of being freely, voluntarily united to Him and to each other in an ecstasy of love and delight compared with which the most rapturous love between a man and a woman on this earth is mere milk and water.

And for that they must be free.

Of course God knew what would happen if they used their freedom the wrong way: apparently He thought it worth the risk. Perhaps we feel inclined to disagree with Him. But there is a difficulty about disagreeing with God. He is the source from which all your reasoning power comes: you could not be right and He wrong any more than a stream can rise higher than its own source. When you are arguing against Him you are arguing against the very power that makes you able to argue at all: it is like cutting off the branch you are sitting on.

If God thinks this state of war in the universe is a price worth paying for free will — that is, for making a live world in which creatures can do real good or harm and something of real importance can happen, instead of a toy world which only moves when He pulls the strings — then we may take it it is worth paying.

⁹² <https://shirenews.substack.com/>

*

Human beings are not hackable animals.

Manipulation and temptation are possible, not because we lack souls and free will, but because we possess both.

We can choose to cut ourselves off from the grace of God, as Hariri and the globalist central banker death cultists have done for themselves, and as they try to manipulate everyone else to do with them.

Or we can choose to honor and keep our attachment to Him, as vines on the living branch.⁹³

* * *

Feb. 15, 2023 - European Commission regulations implementing the global pharma-military kill box Also brief response to Robert Barnes' recent post.

New video:

- Feb. 13, 2023 - Worldwide, US Military-Led Medical Martial Law Operation to Kill Off Humans, Exposed.⁹⁴
ZeeeMedia.com. Maria Zeee, Katherine Watt (60 min)

In the Maria Zeee interview, I said that recently, legal researchers in Europe had located some of the mechanisms through which the global bioterrorism/medical martial law program functions to surveil, capture, control and kill people in European countries.

Some of the mechanisms can be found in corporate contracts signed between European governments and pharma-bioweapons manufacturers.

See, for example, Sasha Latypova's reporting about the contents of emails between key European war criminals.

Feb. 6, 2023 Letters from the Underworld:⁹⁵

...Ursula von der Leyen - EU Commissioner, whose achievements include negotiating incredible predatory Pfizer supply contracts on behalf of all EU Member States by text messages with Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla. In these contracts the EU countries had to put up state assets as collateral, waive all quality control, importation and consumer protection laws and give up national sovereignty - i.e., not allowed to change legislation with respect to vaccine liability by their own parliaments? The predatory contracts that were completely redacted to protect so-called "Pfizer commercial interests"...

There are a bunch of acronyms used, the most relevant are "EC" = European Commission, "MS" = Member States, "EP"=European Parliament.

The key sentence is that Ursula is "prepared to call relevant health ministers personally to avoid the use of Article 5 (2)."

What is this about? Article 5 (2) refers to "Article 5 (2) of Directive 2001/83"⁹⁶ - Emergency use authorization in a European Member State, given by each of the Member States separately in their own countries. ["Article 5 (2). Member States may temporarily authorise the distribution of an unauthorised medicinal product in response to the suspected or confirmed spread of pathogenic agents, toxins, chemical agents or nuclear radiation any of which could cause harm.]

⁹³ <https://www.drbo.org/chapter/50015.htm>

⁹⁴ <https://rumble.com/v29gtk6-katherine-watt-us-military-led-medical-martial-law-operation-to-kill-off-hu.html>

⁹⁵ <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/letters-from-the-underworld>

⁹⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2001.11.06-eu-directive-83.pdf>

CMA is a Conditional Market Authorization which is issued by the European Medicines Agency for all EU members simultaneously...

The problem is that Ursula never meant to fulfill these promises, and at any rate, it is not possible to produce the mRNA “vaccines” to the safety, efficacy and manufacturing quality required of pharmaceuticals.

What Ursula really needed from this process was to tie all the European Member States together in a pact by promising a “robust” CMA, so that they could not have an independent authority over the shots distributed in their countries...

Article 5 path would have meant each Member State could authorize the product, and would then have the power to revoke the authorization if any problems detected.

Article 5 also provides a liability waiver to the manufacturer, however makes it impossible to mandate the product.

With the CMA route none of the member states could exercise the independent decision making, and so she would be able to then force them all into the same, insane and almost completely redacted Pfizer, Moderna and

AstraZeneca contracts, which waived all liability anyway, and further prohibited the countries to change their own laws in regard to the liability...”

Other mechanisms are embedded in European Commission regulations about “countermeasures” authorizations and financing mechanisms, a paper trail located recently by a legal researcher who works in one of the EU member-states.

Below is a chronological reorganization and expansion of the raw email content Sasha posted last week:

- Feb. 8, 2023 - Note for Legal Cases in Europe: on EU Provisions for Medical Countermeasures.⁹⁷ Legal structures were created in the European Union echoing the US structures as evidence of the global pre-planned "plandemic" event.

Bailiwick readers in Europe may find it useful to start by downloading and carefully reading the documents below (the English versions of which I've uploaded to my Bailiwick Wordpress backup site), and then explore Eur-Lex, the European Union's database of legal documents,⁹⁸ which has translations of the documents into member-state languages.

- 1998.09.24 EU Decision 2119⁹⁹
- 2001.11.06 EU Directive 83¹⁰⁰
- 2003.06.25 EU Directive 63¹⁰¹
- 2004.04.21 EU Regulation 851¹⁰²
- 2013.10.22 EU Decision 1082¹⁰³
- 2016.03.15 EU Regulation 369¹⁰⁴
- 2020.04.14 EU Regulation 521¹⁰⁵
- 2022.11.23 EU Regulation 2371¹⁰⁶

⁹⁷ <https://sashalatypova.substack.com/p/note-for-legal-cases-in-europe-on>

⁹⁸ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/homepage.html>

⁹⁹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/1998.09.24-eu-decision-2119.pdf>

¹⁰⁰ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2001.11.06-eu-directive-83.pdf>

¹⁰¹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2003.06.25-eu-directive-63.pdf>

¹⁰² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2004.04.21-eu-regulation-851.pdf>

¹⁰³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2013.10.22-eu-decision-1082.pdf>

¹⁰⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2016.03.15-eu-regulation-369.pdf>

¹⁰⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2020.04.14-eu-regulation-521.pdf>

¹⁰⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2022.11.23-eu-regulation-2371.pdf>

Knowing the names, numbers and contents of the regulations will help in your efforts to educate and mobilize social and political momentum for your government officials to pass blocking legislation at the nation-state level; repeal the kill box laws at the EU level; and/or withdraw your country from the European Union.

The EU provisions correspond with the US provisions related to “medical countermeasures” and establishment of home- and business-based concentration camps (prohibition of free human association, commerce and movement within countries and across borders) in case of “natural or man-made disaster.”

To recap, the American biomedical police state — controlled by the World Health Organization operating as the military branch of the Bank for International Settlements¹⁰⁷ — came into force through statutes passed by the US Congress; executive orders issued by US Presidents; administrative/Cabinet agency regulations published in the Federal Register; and state and local versions of same.¹⁰⁸ See footnoted executive summary of American Domestic Bioterrorism Program.¹⁰⁹

The European biomedical police state came into force through analogous regulations passed by the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, published in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Prior to locating these European Commission regulations, many attorneys in the EU member states thought that bioweapons regulation (falsely identified by government officials as 'vaccine'-regulation) was covered by provisions of EU law governing Conditional Marketing Authorization (CMA).

Turns out, that is not the case.

The backbone of the EU biomedical police state is comprised of provisions outlined below.

These are the rules the BIS/WHO puppets in the European Union have passed, to support their joint program to poison you and your kids behind the mask of public health, and force you to finance the mass murder program as well.

*

[1998/09/24 - Council Decision No. 2119/98/EC¹¹⁰ - Setting up a network for the epidemiological surveillance and control of communicable diseases in the Community](#)

Article 1.

The objective of this Decision is to set up a network at European Community level to promote cooperation and coordination between the Member States, with the assistance of the European Commission, with a view to improving the prevention and control, in the European Community, of the categories of communicable diseases specified in the Annex.

This network shall be used for:

- the epidemiological surveillance of these diseases, and
- an early warning and response system for the prevention and control of these diseases.

As regards epidemiological surveillance, the network shall be established by bringing into permanent communication with one another, through all appropriate technical means, the European Commission and those structures and/or authorities which, at the level of each Member State and under the responsibility of that Member State, are competent at national level and are charged with collecting information relating to the epidemiological surveillance of communicable diseases, and by establishing procedures for the dissemination of the relevant surveillance data at Community level.

As regards the early warning and response system, this network shall be formed by bringing into permanent communication with one another, through appropriate means, the Commission and the competent public health

¹⁰⁷ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/smoke-and-mirrors>

¹⁰⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/forced-internment-on-communicable>

¹⁰⁹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/12/2022.12.19-six-key-statutes-creating-adbp.pdf>

¹¹⁰ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/1998.09.24-eu-decision-2119.pdf>

authorities in each Member State responsible for determining the measures which may be required to protect public health.

The European Commission shall provide for the coordination of the network in collaboration with the Member States...

Annex

- Diseases preventable by vaccination
- Sexually-transmitted diseases
- Viral hepatitis
- Food-borne diseases
- Water-borne diseases and diseases of environmental origin
- Nosocomial infections
- Other diseases transmissible by non-conventional agents (including Creutzfeldt-Jakob's disease)
- Diseases covered by the international health regulations (yellow fever, cholera and plague)
- Other diseases (rabies, typhus, viral haemorrhagic fevers, malaria and any other as yet unclassified serious epidemic disease, etc.)

*

2001/11/06 - Directive 2001/83/EC¹¹¹ - On the Community Code relating to medicinal products for human use.
See also Commission Directive 2003/63/EC¹¹² of 25 June 2003

Title II. Scope

This Directive shall apply to medicinal products for human use intended to be placed on the market in Member States and either prepared industrially or manufactured by a method involving an industrial process.

*

2004/04/21 - Regulation (EC) No. 851/2004¹¹³ - Establishing a European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.

Article 1. Scope

This Regulation establishes an independent European agency for disease prevention and control, its mission, tasks and organisation.

Additional provisions cover Mission, Obligations of the Member States, Operation of dedicated surveillance networks and networking activities, Operation of early warning and response system, Identification of emerging health threats, Communications, Management, Financial Provisions, Implementation of the Centre's budget, Legal personality and privileges, and more.

*

2013/10/22 - Council Decision No. 1082/2013¹¹⁴ - On serious cross-border threats to health and repealing Decision No 2119/98/EC.

Article 1. Subject matter

This Decision lays down rules on epidemiological surveillance, monitoring, early warning of, and combating serious cross-border threats to health, including preparedness and response planning related to those activities, in order to coordinate and complement national policies...

¹¹¹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2001.11.06-eu-directive-83.pdf>

¹¹² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2003.06.25-eu-directive-63.pdf>

¹¹³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2004.04.21-eu-regulation-851.pdf>

¹¹⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2013.10.22-eu-decision-1082.pdf>

Article. 5. Joint procurement of medical countermeasures

1. The institutions of the Union and any Member States which so desire may engage in a joint procurement procedure conducted pursuant to ...the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union ... with a view to the advance purchase of medical countermeasures for serious cross-border threats to health.

2. The joint procurement procedure referred to in paragraph 1 shall comply with the following conditions:

- (a) participation in the joint procurement procedure is open to all Member States until the launch of the procedure;
- (b) the rights and obligations of Member States not participating in the joint procurement are respected, in particular those relating to the protection and improvement of human health;
- (c) the joint procurement does not affect the internal market, does not constitute discrimination or a restriction of trade or does not cause distortion of competition;
- (d) the joint procurement does not have any direct financial impact on the budget of Member States not participating in the joint procurement...

Annex. Criteria for selection of communicable diseases and related special health issues to be covered by epidemiological surveillance within the network

- 1. Communicable diseases and related special health issues that cause, or have the potential to cause, significant morbidity or mortality, or both, across the Union, especially where the prevention of those diseases requires an approach to coordination at Union level.
- 2. Communicable diseases and related special health issues where the exchange of information may provide early warning of threats to public health.
- 3. Rare and serious communicable related diseases and special health issues which would not be recognised at national level and where the pooling of data would allow hypothesis generation from a wider knowledge base.
- 4. Communicable diseases and related special health issues for which effective preventive measures are available with a protective health gain.
- 5. Communicable diseases and related special health issues for which a comparison by Member States would contribute to the evaluation of national and Union programmes.

*

2016/03/15 - Regulation (EU) 2016/369¹¹⁵ - On the provision of emergency support within the Union

Article. 1. Subject matter and scope

This Regulation lays down the framework within which Union emergency support may be awarded through specific measures appropriate to the economic situation in the event of an ongoing or potential natural or man-made disaster...

Article. 2. Activation of the emergency support

...The decision about the activation of the emergency support under this Regulation in case of an ongoing or potential disaster shall be taken by the Council on the basis of a proposal by the Commission, specifying where appropriate the duration of the activation.

*

2020/04/14 - Regulation (EU) 2020/521¹¹⁶ - Activating the emergency support under Regulation (EU) 2016/369, and amending its provisions taking into account the COVID-19 outbreak

EU government action, retroactive to Feb. 1, 2020 to apply the "emergency support" regulation to the Covid-19 crises.

¹¹⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2016.03.15-eu-regulation-369.pdf>

¹¹⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2020.04.14-eu-regulation-521.pdf>

Whereas, No. 19:

Member States have declared, as parties to the Joint Procurement Agreement referred to in Article 5 of Decision No 1082/2013/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, their agreement to the inclusion of ongoing joint procurement procedures under that Article in the fast-track procurement procedure established by this Regulation, under the conditions set out therein. The type of medical countermeasures to be procured and the distribution of the countermeasures to Member States should follow any agreement reached under those ongoing procedures.

Article 1.

Emergency support under Regulation (EU) 2016/369 is hereby activated to finance expenditure necessary to address the COVID-19 pandemic for the period 1 February 2020 to 31 January 2022.

Annex of Regulation 2016/369/EU, Eligible actions

The following actions may be financed in case of pandemics with large-scale effect:

- (a) temporary reinforcement of the medical workforce, exchange of medical professionals, hosting foreign patients or other type of mutual support;
- (b) deployment of temporary healthcare facilities and temporary extension of existing healthcare facilities to relieve pressure on existing structures and increase overall healthcare capacity;
- (c) activities to support the administration of large-scale application of medical tests and prepare the necessary scientific testing strategies and protocols;
- (d) setting up temporary quarantine facilities and other appropriate measures at the Union borders;
- (e) development, production or purchase and distribution of medical products;
- (f) increases and conversions of production capacities for medical products as referred to in point (e) to address supply shortages;
- (g) maintenance of the stock of medical products as referred to in point (e) and their disposal;
- (h) actions to support the necessary steps to obtain approval for the use of the medical products as referred to in point (e) if required;
- (i) actions to develop appropriate methods to track the development of the pandemic and the results of measures implemented to address it;
- (j) organisation of ad-hoc clinical trials of potential therapies or diagnostics according to trial standards agreed at Union level;
- (k) scientific validation of medical products, including potential new testing methods.

The above list is not exhaustive."

*

European legal researcher's preliminary analysis of the combined effects of these directives and regulations, corroborating the global martial law/war machine classification of the Covid-19 crises and the bioweapons classification of the products marketed as 'Covid-19 vaccines.'

...(h) opens the door to any actions they assume necessary in order to achieve their goal of obtaining approval for the use of medical products. Thus, the regulators and suppliers are free to abstain from any provisions applicable to medicinal products, drugs and medical devices.

(j) opens the door to “ad-hoc” clinical trials, meaning they need not conform to certified Good Clinical Practice, but only unspecified “trial standards agreed at Union level.”

This also shows the clear fraud in the European Union they committed against all citizens, giving the impression that they had evaluated the injections according to existing standards for the evaluation of medicinal products at least on the basis of conditional marketing authorisations, whereas behind the scenes they were free to make whatever they desired.

The question “who” had the competence for “approval for the use of the medical products as referred to in point (e) if required;” may be answered with “the EU Commission,” which would not even require a change in competence as the EU Commission has been competent for approval of medicinal products on a central level since 1995 with the new scheme of marketing authorizations in the EU...

...All decisions have been signed by Margaritis Schinas, Vice President of the Commission¹¹⁷ and responsible for security in EU, including coordinating the European Commission’s work on developing a European Security Union; ensuring coherence of the external and internal dimensions of security; coordinating the Commission’s work to strengthen prevention, detection and response measures to hybrid threats.

Schinas authorized the ‘vaccines’ but not the therapeutics, so obviously there is a difference in classification, behind the scenes, between those kinds of products.

Normal medicinal products are always authorized by the EU Commission, Directorate General for Health and signed by a representative of the Director General or the Director General herself.

In Germany they installed a new Department 6 “Health Protection, Health Safety, Sustainability” in the second half of 2019. On March 1, 2020, a military general became head of this department with two sub-departments with five specialist departments each, including departments on national and international crisis management. He was also head of the “Corona Pandemic Crisis Management Team” and led the Health Ministry at the end of 2021.

*

Effective December 2022, the European Parliament and Council of the European Union repealed and replaced Decision No. 1082/2013/EU with Regulation No. 2022/2371/EU, expanding and tightening the EU-level control-and-kill legal framework in support of the One World Health model sought by the BIS and its military branch: the World Health Organization.

*

[2022/11/23 - Regulation \(EU\) 2022/2371¹¹⁸ - On serious cross-border threats to health and repealing Decision No 1082/2013/EU](#)

Whereas:

1. A network for the epidemiological surveillance and control of communicable diseases was set up by Decision No 2119/98/EC;...its scope was extended by Decision No 1082/2013/EU ... to strengthen and provide for a more coordinated and wider approach to health security at Union level. The implementation of that legislation

¹¹⁷ https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2019-2024/schinas_en

¹¹⁸ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2022.11.23-eu-regulation-2371.pdf>

confirmed that coordinated Union action on monitoring, early warning of and combatting those threats adds value to the protection and improvement of human health.

2. In light of the lessons learnt during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and in order to facilitate adequate Union-wide preparedness for and response to all cross-border threats to health, the legal framework for epidemiological surveillance, monitoring, early warning of, and combatting serious cross-border threats to health, including zoonotic-related threats, as provided for in Decision No 1082/2013/EU, needs to be broadened with regard to additional reporting requirements and analysis regarding health systems indicators, and with regard to cooperation between Member States and Union agencies and bodies, particularly the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), the European Medicines Agency (EMA), and international organisations, in particular the World Health Organization (WHO)...

Article 1. Subject matter

1. In order to address serious cross-border threats to health and the consequences thereof, this Regulation lays down rules on:

- (a) the Health Security Committee (HSC);
- (b) prevention, preparedness and response planning, including:
 - (i) preparedness plans at Union and national levels; and
 - (ii) reporting and assessing preparedness at national level;
- (c) joint procurement of medical countermeasures;
- (d) emergency research and innovation;
- (e) epidemiological surveillance and monitoring;
- (f) the network for epidemiological surveillance;
- (g) the Early Warning and Response System (EWRS);
- (h) risk assessment;
- (i) coordination of response; and
- (j) recognition of a public health emergency at Union level.

2. This Regulation establishes:

- (a) a network of EU reference laboratories for public health;
- (b) a network for substances of human origin; and
- (c) an advisory committee for the occurrence and recognition of a public health emergency at Union level.

3. In line with the One Health and Health in All Policies approaches, the implementation of this Regulation shall be supported by funding from relevant Union programmes and instruments.

Article 2. Scope

1. This Regulation shall apply to public health measures in relation to the following categories of serious cross-border threats to health:

- (a) threats of biological origin, consisting of:

- (i) communicable diseases, including those of zoonotic origin;
 - (ii) antimicrobial resistance and healthcare-associated infections related to communicable diseases ('related special health issues');
 - (iii) biotoxins or other harmful biological agents not related to communicable diseases;
- (b) threats of chemical origin;
- (c) threats of environmental origin, including those due to the climate;
- (d) threats of unknown origin; and
- (e) events which may constitute public health emergencies of international concern under the International Health Regulations (IHR) ('public health emergencies of international concern'), provided that they fall under one of the categories of threats set out in points (a) to (d).

Annex:

Criteria for selection of communicable diseases and related special health issues to be covered by epidemiological surveillance within the network for epidemiological surveillance
Union surveillance shall provide information for public health action at Union level. More specifically, one of the following criteria shall be met:

1. significant morbidity, significant mortality or emerging disease (increasing five-year trend) in a sizeable percentage of Member States;
2. potential to cause cross-border outbreaks;
3. high-threat pathogen (transmissibility and severity);
4. specifically targeted national or Union public health programmes in place that require monitoring and evaluation;
5. Union surveillance adds public health value to national surveillance systems other than what is implied in criteria 1 to 4.

*

Brief response to Robert Barnes' recent post

Sage Hana has a repost of content Barnes published through his Locals page.

- Feb. 14, 2023 - Brook Jackson Attorney Robert Barnes Asserts that the DOD is a Patsy for Pfizer Which Sounds Exactly Backwards¹¹⁹

I responded briefly on my Gab feed, reposted below. I don't plan to address how my legal analysis diverges from Barnes' legal analysis further, because I'm focused on developing litigation and social/political pressure strategies that I think have better odds of successfully stopping the ongoing genocide; legislatively repealing or judicially nullifying the enabling laws; and bringing the war criminals to justice.

Barnes' approach is not aimed at those goals, so I'm not willing to spend a lot of time addressing it.

In my view, any legal strategy (civil, criminal or administrative) that sidesteps or covers up the fact that the people of the world are currently enduring, and mounting resistance to, intentional mass murder and mass poisoning, is a bad strategy.

¹¹⁹ <https://sagehana.substack.com/p/brook-jackson-attorney-robert-barnes>

In fact, the people of the world are enduring a criminal military campaign, conducted through production and use of bioweapons, deployed by people claiming to represent the US Government and virtually all other national governments.

The war criminals¹²⁰ are fraudulently referring to deadly bioweapons as regulated pharmaceutical products ('vaccines') and fraudulently describing the unlawful injection of these toxic compounds into human beings as components of a public health campaign.

Accepting and endorsing the war criminals' false main premises, as being true, is counterproductive.

*

Response to Robert Barnes' Locals post:

I looked at it quickly. I think he's misrepresenting the evidence and argument, but he needs to do that to try to discredit us and shore up his own strategy, so I get why he's doing it.

Beyond that, I don't know why (i.e. can only speculate) he doesn't seem able to see the bigger picture, that the bioweapons program and its legal support structure long pre-date Trump and Operation Warp Speed, cover many more "countermeasures" than the Covid-19 injections alone, and that his approach to Brook's case¹²¹ — accepting the Pfizer + Gov claim that the products are pharmaceutical products (vaccines) subject to FDA regulation, and trying to argue on that turf even though the factual record makes it absolutely clear that no valid FDA regulatory actions occurred and the statutory/regulatory record makes it absolutely clear that no valid FDA regulatory action was ever required — yields the most important disputed issue to the Pfizer + Gov benefit, thus increasing the grounds for dismissal.

I think Barnes is going to drive her case right into dismissal for her by failing to see and present the evidence in the way that Sasha and I see it and present it.

There will be other cases though, in which Barnes is not involved, and eventually a plaintiff (whistleblower or not) or group of plaintiffs will present some of those cases properly, on the evidence base Brook, Sasha and I have exposed, as a civil claim based on the criminal actions of the defendants, or as a state, federal or international war crimes/terrorism/genocide/bioweapons/chemical weapons criminal prosecution.

In my view, Barnes is just missing a good opportunity for Brook's original case to be among the cases that start to open those doors.

* * *

¹²⁰ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/war-criminals>

¹²¹ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

Feb. 16, 2023 - Written artifacts of informational warfare. Truth, lies, war crimes and objective, observable realities.

Reader comment:

Robert Barnes says you did not read the contracts. According to him, the contracts stipulate that the products (whatever you call them) were to stop getting and transmitting covid19. They did not do that and on that basis Pfizer committed fraud. So, did you read the contracts? That is key.

I agree 100% that all these so called vaccines are bad, not just Pfizer's and none of them actually work like the whooping cough vaccine that represses symptoms but does nothing about catching or transmitting the disease, like the polio vaccine that spreads the disease at the diaper changing table in daycare, or the pneumonia vaccine that has created a nightmare of variants that really pummel the elderly or go down the list of required vaccines...all of them...bad news,... even the measles vaccine that does not stop measles in a whole bunch of people and creates stealth virus.

So... still we need to know if you read the contract and did Pfizer commit fraud?

*

My reply (PDF¹²²)

Yes, I've read the two publicly available Pfizer-ATI-MCDC-DOD-FDA-HHS bioweapons manufacturing contracts that have been disclosed to the public through *Jackson v. Ventavia, Pfizer and ICON*.¹²³

- 2020.07.20 DOD-ATI-MCDC-FDA-Pfizer Base Agreement¹²⁴
- 2020.07.21 DOD-ATI-MCDC-FDA-Pfizer Technical Direction Letter¹²⁵

I also drafted a FOIA request,¹²⁶ submitted a few weeks ago by Judicial Watch, seeking, among other items, a third, related contract that has not yet been disclosed to the public, called the "Project Agreement."

And yes, I believe all of the parties to the contracts — including but not limited to the Pfizer signatories — have been and continue to jointly, collaboratively, cooperatively, intentionally and maliciously commit fraud, mass murder, and war crimes.

They have been and continue to commit those crimes against non-parties to the contracts: all the other people of the world, including more-or-less ignorant, low-ranking members of national, state and local governments (lawmakers, prosecutors and judges) who are not privy to the inner workings of the small mass-murder coordinating committees in each country.

*

In addition to the contracts, I've also read a lot of other material over the last three years including statutes, regulations, hearing transcripts, regulatory guidance documents, regulatory review documents, executive orders, notices, declarations, determinations, training manuals, tabletop exercise reports, slide presentations, patents, civil complaints, criminal indictments, judicial orders, legal opinions, scientific and academic papers published in peer-reviewed journals, scientific and academic papers published through platforms other than peer-reviewed journals, "clinical trial" documents, government database reports, independent analysis of data published by government databases, government-controlled media reports and analysis, and independent and semi-independent media reports and analysis.

¹²² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/written-artifacts-of-informational-warfare.pdf>

¹²³ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

¹²⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.20-base-agreement-pfizer-contract-56-p-exh-a-jackson.pdf>

¹²⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.21-dod-ati-pfizer-technical-direction-letter-ota-w15qkn-16-9-1002-35-p.pdf>

¹²⁶ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/draft-freedom-of-information-act>

In addition to reading, I've also made observations and endured direct experiences in my own life as a human being embedded in personal and professional relationships as a wife, mother, daughter, sister, friend, writer, reader, paralegal, and parishioner.

I've learned a lot about the war by watching and listening to people who have been censored, ignored, propagandized¹²⁷ and gaslit; people who have been fired from their jobs, discharged from the military and expelled from school; people who have been sickened by the bioweapons, and survivors of people who have been killed by the bioweapons.

From my viewing position, living my own human life, I've thought about the written artifacts in their relationships to each other and to those direct experiences.

I've compared and contrasted the contents of the written documents, section by section, looking for patterns and anomalies and contradictions.

Specific to contracts — and in collaboration with Brook Jackson, Sasha Latypova and a few other people I trust — I've compared and contrasted the terms and conditions as written on the pages, with the observable, objective realities about which terms and conditions have been fulfilled by the purportedly responsible parties, and enforced by the counter-parties who have purported standing to enforce the terms.

And which terms and conditions have been neither fulfilled nor enforced.

Valid clinical trials didn't happen.

Valid drug safety regulation didn't happen.

Valid drug manufacturing, labeling, testing, distribution and dispensing didn't happen.

Evidence that these purportedly binding contractual obligations were not being fulfilled, was collected and provided to government authorities.

Government authorities gagged, ignored and gaslit the whistleblowers and suppressed their evidence from public view.

People injected with the products sickened and died.

Evidence that the products were sickening and killing people was collected and provided to government authorities. Government authorities censored, ignored and gaslit the reporters.

In sum, the contract provisions purportedly requiring those things, were not enforced by the contract parties with standing to enforce.

They were not enforced by Pfizer or any of its corporate partners or subcontractors.

They were not enforced by FDA or any other branch of HHS.

They were not enforced by ATI, MCDC or any other branch of DOD.

And they were not enforced by the Department of Justice or the Attorney General.

So the killing machine hums along, undisturbed, day after day, dead after dead, since the first fake "clinical trials" began in Spring 2020.

I've therefore concluded that all of the written artifacts produced and published by governments and government contractors operating the medical martial law system (the kill box) are dual-use documents.

¹²⁷ <https://foreignpolicy.com/2013/07/14/u-s-repeals-propaganda-ban-spreads-government-made-news-to-americans/>

They contain some truths and some lies.

The true provisions are written with the intent to convey real contractual obligations among the parties: terms and conditions that will be fulfilled by the responsible party, and if he or she fails, will be enforced by the counter parties, through their exercise of contractual rights to extract financial or other penalties.

The false provisions are written with the intent to convey the illusion of contractual obligations to non-parties. They list terms and conditions that will never be fulfilled or enforced by the contract parties. Those terms and conditions are listed for the sole purpose of misleading the public in our role as billions of marks¹²⁸ in a global long con.¹²⁹

The false provisions are intended to, and have the observable effect of, manipulating, defrauding, inducing fear, abusing the trust and otherwise covertly controlling the perceptions of non-parties to the contracts.

*

As I've written before, I think 21 USC 360bbb-3(k)¹³⁰ is a “get out of all otherwise applicable FDA regulations free” card, for all products classified as Emergency Use Authorized (EUA) medical countermeasures (MCMs) for use during a declared public health emergency (PHE).

All three of which classifications can be established and maintained under several redundant and interlocking legal frameworks, such that the “use” — bioweapons attacks by fast- or slow-acting lethal injections — can continue even while individual declarations, determinations and states of emergency come and go.

Perhaps Section 19.02 (“Limitations”) of the Base Agreement¹³¹ is pertinent.

Perhaps the parenthetical modifier phrase, “to the extent required for COVID-19 medical countermeasures” in Section 1.3(a) of the Technical Direction Letter¹³² is another way in which regulatory oversight has been waived.

Maybe there are other written but as-yet-undisclosed contract-modification documents, specifying which provisions of the public-facing documents should be legally construed as binding on the contract parties, and which should be construed as inapplicable and unenforceable: incorporated solely to defraud non-parties to the contracts.

Maybe there are also unwritten, handshake agreements, through which the contracting parties have agreed with each other, gentleman-like, as to which elements of the documents that eventually become public are true and enforceable, and which are false, and for theatrical, performative purposes only.

I don't know how many different forms the waivers of performance obligations and waivers of enforcement authorities take.

I only know those waivers exist, and I know it because the parties who appeared to be obligated to perform specific actions, did not perform them, and the failures to perform did not result in enforcement action by the purported contractual counter-parties.

*

The written artifacts of the informational war, as produced by governments and government contractors, are dual-purpose weapons.

In their false aspect, they tell the lie that the world's people are engaged in a public health struggle against communicable disease, to protect and save lives.

¹²⁸ <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/mark>

¹²⁹ <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/long-con>

¹³⁰ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-the-significance-of-21-usc-360bbb>

¹³¹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.20-base-agreement-pfizer-contract-56-p-exh-a-jackson.pdf>

¹³² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2020.07.21-dod-ati-pfizer-technical-direction-letter-ota-w15qkn-16-9-1002-35-p.pdf>

In their true aspect, they tell the truth: that the world's governments are engaged in a military war against humanity, to ruin and prematurely end lives.

Another example was illuminated for me during a recent Q&A exchange about the so-called "vaccine informed consent" forms signed by military targets, or check-boxed in computer databases by doctors and nurses.

The false intent and effect of those signatures and checkboxes is to support the illusion that the people involved are giving and receiving therapeutic medical treatments, with complete, accurate information as to ingredients, risks and benefits, and complete, personal, limitless rights to refuse, for any reason whatsoever: medical, religious, conscience, or simply because they don't want it.

The true intent and effect of those signatures and checkboxes is to make a written record of formal terms of surrender, by each (unknowing) enemy combatant, to a covert occupying military force, operating through the proxies of medical professionals and the undisclosed contents of lethal injections.

Written artifacts of informational warfare function in the same way human shields¹³³ function in a traditional war zone. Combatants hide behind civilians. Which is also a war crime.

But these are word shields, in which false statements hide among true statements.

It is possible to discern the truth or falsity of each provision, and the intent with which it was written, by the effects it has in objective reality. By the fruits.¹³⁴

This analysis applies to the available contracts.

The provisions on which Robert Barnes rests his theory of the case — the provisions about clinical trials, data submission, safety and efficacy, manufacturing controls — are lies.

The contract parties know those are lies, and they have known it from the earliest days — many years ago — when they met behind closed doors to draft the contract templates that would later be revised to add the "SARS-CoV-2" and "vaccine" language, and then signed and deployed onto the informational battlefield.

Three years into this nightmare, many ordinary people now also know those provisions are lies, because they can see that those terms and conditions have been neither fulfilled nor enforced.

More people understand the dual-use informational weapons system every day, and every day, we understand it a little more deeply.

Informational weapons only work for so long as people can't discern the difference between truth and lies, because their vision is blocked and their thinking capacities are disrupted.

What happens when a critical mass can see through the fog in real time, and revoke their fraudulently-induced pseudo-consent to participate in war crimes as low-level perpetrators and as targeted victims?

Remains to be seen.

Pray the Rosary.

* * *

¹³³ <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/human-shield>

¹³⁴ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Matthew%207%3A16-23&version=DRA>

Feb. 20, 2023 - Jackson v. Pfizer/DOD scheduled for March 1 oral argument on Motion to Dismiss Plus a script for an evidence-supported exchange between Judge Truncale and DOJ/Pfizer/DOD attorneys.

Last week, Judge Michael Truncale issued an order directing the parties to appear March 1, 2023 at a hearing on the motions to dismiss Brook Jackson's whistleblower case,¹³⁵ filed by defendants Pfizer, Ventavia and ICON.

The hearing will be held at Judge Truncale's Beaumont, Texas courtroom.

Pfizer also recently filed another memo in support of dismissal.

- Feb. 15, 2023 - Pfizer Notice of Pending Request for Oral Argument and Opposed Motion to Continue Discovery Deadlines¹³⁶
- Feb. 17, 2023 - Order Setting Oral Hearing for March 1, 2023¹³⁷

*

I rarely write fiction.

When I do, it's to convey true information through fictional scenarios.

For example:

- Oct. 21, 2022 - Legal horror movie pitch: The World According to Darp.¹³⁸ 'Shouting fire in a crowded theater' meets 'When did you stop beating your wife?' Starring US Government as Darpon Fink, serial-killer/arsonist.

Below is an account of how the exchange between Judge Truncale and the DOJ attorneys representing Pfizer and the Department of Defense **could** unfold, if Judge Truncale is well-briefed, has a deep faith in God, and has amply developed the cardinal virtues of prudence, justice, fortitude and temperance¹³⁹ over the course of his life, and if counsel for the defendants respond to the questions truthfully.

*

Note: Sage Hana wrote an excellent post on the same themes yesterday.

- Feb. 19, 2023 - Murdering the World is Hard¹⁴⁰

Early witnesses such as Robert and Theodore Strecker¹⁴¹ (1986) saw the campaign unfolding decades ago, raised alarms and were sidelined by the CIA's 'conspiracy theory' operation, or killed to warn others to steer clear.

As the real-time evidence mounts, many more witnesses are now converging on the same analyses.

*

¹³⁵ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

¹³⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.15-pfizer-motion-to-continue-discovery.pdf>

¹³⁷ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.17-judge-order-03.01.2023-oral-hearing.pdf>

¹³⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/legal-horror-movie-pitch-the-world>

¹³⁹ <https://archive.org/details/fourcardinalvirt012953mbp>

¹⁴⁰ <https://sagehana.substack.com/p/murdering-the-world-is-hard>

¹⁴¹ [https://ia903009.us.archive.org/20/items/thisisabioattackalert/Original This Is A Bio-Attack Alert-March 28%2C 1986_text.pdf](https://ia903009.us.archive.org/20/items/thisisabioattackalert/Original%20This%20Is%20A%20Bio-Attack%20Alert-March%2028%2C%201986_text.pdf)

Again, the below account is NOT a transcript of a hearing that has occurred.

It's a fictional script for an exchange that **could** occur on March 1, 2023, if Judge Truncala chooses to ask these questions, and if the DOJ attorneys choose to respond truthfully on behalf of their clients: non-State actors who are impersonating US Government officials within DOD, HHS and nationalized bioweapons manufacturing companies like Pfizer, to deploy chemical and biological weapons and commit other war crimes — in violation of international and federal laws criminalizing same — through the subterfuge of the “public health emergency” program.

*

JUDGE:

Counsel, as a preliminary matter, when I ask a question directed at eliciting information about the knowledge and acts of “your clients,” I am referring to the individuals who currently work, or worked at the relevant times, within the public and private organizations implicated in this False Claims Act case.

My understanding of the composition of that group, at this time, includes corporate executives and lead scientists and medical professionals at Pfizer, Ventavia and ICON, operating as contractors to the US Government. In many ways, it appears that these companies have been nationalized through the Defense Production Act and other mechanisms such as executive orders.

In addition to these executives and lead scientists, your clients also include senior executive service officials working within the US Government at the Department of Defense, Department of Health and Human Services, and other federal cabinet agencies, and especially within the Food and Drug Administration, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes for Health, and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

Do you understand what I mean when I say “your clients?”

DOJ Attorney:

Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE:

Good. Now, I plan to ask a series of questions, almost all of which can be answered with a simple Yes, or No. I would like you to answer these questions with “Yes,” or “No,” and I'll remind you that you are under oath to respond truthfully. Toward the end of my questioning, you will have an opportunity to give more open-ended responses.

Do you understand these instructions?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Okay, let's get started.

Did your clients knowingly lie to the public about the threat posed by the pathogen class known as SARS-CoV-2? By which I mean, did your clients intentionally and maliciously exaggerate the threat posed to the general public by these pathogens?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Did your clients knowingly lie about the utility of masks, social distancing, and lockdowns — by which I mean school and business closures, occupancy limitations and the like — for controlling the spread of the pathogens, suggesting that these measures had been demonstrated to be effective for communicable disease control, when in fact, they are not effective?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Did your clients knowingly lie about the accuracy and diagnostic utility of the medical devices known as PCR tests, for determining infection and transmission potential in individual patients, and for tracking the spread of disease through databases such as the Johns Hopkins dashboard?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Did your clients knowingly lie about the safety and efficacy of repurposed drugs — drugs such as hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin — and nutritional supplements such as Vitamin D, Vitamin C and Zinc, falsely characterizing them as unsafe and ineffective for the prevention and treatment of the symptoms related to exposure to SARS-CoV-2 pathogens.

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Thank you.

Moving on to Operation Warp Speed. Did your clients knowingly lie when they told the public that the products — later labeled as “Covid-19 vaccines” — were being developed through a clinical trial process substantively identical to the standard FDA-regulated clinical trial procedures for new drugs, vaccines and biologics?

I understand that the public was informed that these procedures were being sped up, or accelerated; that was one possible meaning of the "warp speed" project title.

What I'm asking is whether your clients knowingly lied about the character, nature or quality of the product development process, setting aside the pace.

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Did your clients know, at all the times when they stood at podiums and made contrary statements to the public at press conferences and the like — that the Emergency Use Authorization program is, by legal definition, *not* a clinical-trial based product development process?¹⁴²

¹⁴² <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-the-significance-of-21-usc-360bbb>

In other words, did your clients know that all uses of EUA products are specifically exempted from regulations requiring things including valid evidence of safety and efficacy, informed consent, and Institutional Review Boards?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Did your clients knowingly lie when they characterized the FDA regulatory actions as government oversight of new product development?

I'm talking here about advisory committee meetings, scientific reviews, and the actions presented to the public as "authorization" and "approval." For example, the EUA "authorization" of Pfizer's product on Dec. 11, 2020, and the Biologics License Application (BLA) "approval" of the Comirnaty product on Aug. 23, 2021.

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Did your clients knowingly lie when they characterized the role of the Department of Defense as "logistics" only? To clarify: Did your clients know that the products for which the US Government contracted with Pfizer, were in fact military bioweapons intended to harm and kill recipients, produced under military contracts, and not medicinal products intended to prevent and treat disease, and did they intentionally and maliciously withhold that information from the public?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

And did your clients also knowingly lie when they publicly characterized the manufacturing processes used to produce these bioweapons as subject to certified Good Manufacturing Practice and other regulations governing manufacturing, testing and distribution of mass-produced medicinal products for consumer safety protection? Because, in fact — and your clients knew this but didn't tell the public — the products were designed to be harmful and lethal, not safe?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes.

JUDGE:

Thank you for your candor.

We're now getting to the questions I said would be more open-ended. Why did your clients knowingly lie to the public about these things?

DOJ Attorney:

Your Honor, my clients are involved — through their use of global financial networks — with the Bank for International Settlements. I don't know if you're familiar with something called the Deagel Forecast.¹⁴³

¹⁴³ <https://www.ahava528.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Deagel-Analysis-updated.pdf>

Just to summarize it briefly, the international bankers several years ago set up benchmarks for population reduction for each country in the world, to reduce the financial pressure on entitlement programs like pensions, Social Security, Medicare and so forth. They don't want money to be used willy-nilly by ordinary people to facilitate ordinary exchanges of goods and services. They want to minutely control all of those transactions, resources and people.

The planning started to pick up speed just after World War II, and then in the mid-1970s, Kissinger and Nixon laid out the US Government's intent to participate, through national security reports¹⁴⁴ and memos.¹⁴⁵

The Deagel report¹⁴⁶ set out the numerical values (population targets, percents-to-cull) and deadlines for each country's government to hit those benchmarks.

The benchmarks are mostly supposed to be hit by 2025. They vary in percentages. Low-income countries, for example, whose people don't use very many resources per capita, and who already have low life expectancies, high infant mortality rates, high disease burdens — their benchmarks are set relatively low. Burundi's target for population reduction from 2017 to 2025 is at about 8.3%. Some countries are projected to stay roughly the same, others are projected to grow a little bit.

The population cull benchmarks for high-income, developed countries are much higher, because the per capita resource claims in those countries are much higher. The UK tops the list, projected to drop by 77% by 2025. United States comes in at number 3, projected to drop by 68.5%.

JUDGE:

You said the US program got going under Nixon and Kissinger in the seventies?

DOJ Attorney:

Yes. The plan at first was to just increase the toxic load on the population gradually, through things like the childhood vaccine schedule, which is actually a childhood bioweapon schedule; chemical spraying and additives in food supplies; and damage to soil quality. All to artificially increase metabolic disease and cancer rates and reduce fertility and life expectancy, but make it look natural.

We orchestrated famines in low-income countries.

We also promoted of chemical and surgical sterilization — the Pill, tubal ligations — and abortion, presented as “liberation” from motherhood and a civic duty under the threat we characterized as overpopulation. Really it's just about greed. The international bankers want all the resources, and a small, docile slave population.

But my clients realized in the early 1990s that the gradual approach wasn't going to reach the benchmarks fast enough. So we added other programs to ramp up the toxic load, like chem-trails or geoen지니어ing.

We also built on the HIV platform from the 1970s, to develop communicable pathogens more efficient at damaging or destroying human immune systems.

We were basically trying to weaponize common colds and flus, which most people survive easily if they have a healthy immune system. But if you damage the immune system first, subsequent ordinary colds and flus become much more damaging, sometimes lethal, and you accelerate the aging process and susceptibility to cancers and neurodegeneration and a lot of other diseases, even if the target survives the initial exposure.

It turned out that the best delivery system for these immune-system destroyers is through injections and lipid nanoparticle carriers. You can get some mileage out of aerosols and so forth, but healthy immune systems are incredibly adaptable, so we had to get past that somehow.

¹⁴⁴ https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PCAAB500.pdf

¹⁴⁵ <https://www.fordlibrarymuseum.gov/library/document/0310/nsdm314.pdf>

¹⁴⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2018-2025-population-forecast-by-country.pdf>

That's where it got tricky. Up to a point, you can convince people to sacrifice for what you convince them is the "greater good." You can get them to recycle cardboard and glass. You can get them to turn down the thermostat and put on another sweater. You can even get them to take birth control pills.

But when you walk up to someone who's healthy and going about their ordinary life, and tell them the truth: that you want them to hold still while you inject them with an undisclosed sludge, for the sake of international bankers, "the planet" or "the common good," they tend to run away from you, call the police, or attack you in self-defense.

So you have to lie.

What we learned through psychological experiments in the 1940s and 1950s is that if you bring peer pressure, inculcated respect for symbols of authority, and fear into the scenario, the targets' critical thinking skills, personal conscience and self-defense instincts can be overwhelmed, damaged or destroyed.

So that's what we did.

We set up the right conditions over decades of work: destroying public education; embedding thought-stopping power into the word 'vaccine' and suppressing all public discussion and evidence of harms; orchestrating terrifying events (mass shootings, disease outbreaks, terrorist attacks, plane crashes); and building up habits of deference to centralized government authorities.

We worked very hard to destroy marriage and families — especially with no-fault divorce and welfare programs — driving wedges between father and mother, and between children and their parents and grandparents. We destroyed civic associations, like bowling leagues, and religious congregations and doctrinal coherence.

Increasing the isolation of individuals from membership in trust-based relationships has been key. It still is.

We set up the internet to corrupt journalism and literacy, and to pollute the information supply and historical record. We rolled out Smartphones to keep people distracted, confused and on the surveillance grid. We set up online banking, direct deposit, and digital credit systems, to discourage use of cash and destroy transactional privacy.

Then we launched Operation Warp Speed, to speed up the timeline toward meeting those Deagel benchmarks. We said SARS-CoV-2 is an extreme threat. We told people to wear masks, get tested and stay far away from other people. We told people that there were no available, safe or effective prevention or treatment options. We told people that the government alone could protect them, through 'medical countermeasure' products we described as 'vaccines.'

And then we set up the 'vaccine clinics,' also called 'points of dispensing'¹⁴⁷ or PODs, and watched people line up, sit down in the chairs, get injected, and go home to get sick and die.

We had to calibrate it some, to keep people from figuring it out too soon. Some of the injections are saline, and some are long-acting toxins that cause auto-immune disorders, for example. Some are fast-acting toxins — take the shot, heart stops, drop down dead.

Variety is important.

JUDGE:

And what's the status of the program now?

DOJ Attorney:

Well, it went pretty well for about 18 months, but people have stopped coming back for more injections. That's a problem, because we figured out the LD-50¹⁴⁸ — the dose needed to about kill half the targets within 6, 6-1/2 years¹⁴⁹ — is three or four doses. A lot of people stopped after two, and a lot of those people, as I said, got saline.

¹⁴⁷ <https://www.cdc.gov/cpr/readiness/healthcare/closedpodtoolkit/factsheet-mcm.htm> [link was live on Feb. 24, 2023, dead as of Feb. 27, 2023]

¹⁴⁸ <https://gab.com/Doorlesscarp953/posts/108570425533931321>

¹⁴⁹ <https://palexander.substack.com/p/warning-coming-many-americans-many>

What we really need is a steady sequence of fear cycles, to keep people on a permanent treadmill of injections every three to six months.

We have backup plans. We've had the psychotropic prescription medications program expanding since the late-1980s; helps with pacification and cognitive and emotional impairment. We've crushed domestic manufacturing and used the crack and opioid distribution programs to target low-income populations by race, which has worked really well to induce despair and suicide. And homicide. And also to prevent multi-racial alliances that might correctly identify (and then work against) Luciferian international bankers as the primary threat to humanity.

We've ramped up the aerial chemical spraying and direct food supply poisoning at the manufacturing plants the last couple of years, along with opening the borders to increase the flow of fentanyl and so forth.

We've got electromagnetic radiation and 5G to bump up those daily toxicity burdens. We've got digital control of elections and we've installed morons in most of the high-income countries, to maximize corruption and help demoralize populations.

The bankers handle the currency manipulation and monetary policy. That helps destroy small businesses and local and state economies. Which helps maximize despair and suicide.

My clients help with orchestrating more wars and disease outbreaks, fuel supply disruptions, chemical disasters, food processing plant¹⁵⁰ fires, and mass shootings to drive gun confiscation programs.

Our next step is already in the works: diverting food supplies from the grocery stores to distribution centers that we control directly. That's part of why you've been seeing shortages on the shelves from time to time, and construction of massive new distribution centers all over the country.

When the time comes, we'll condition receipt of food boxes on submission to injection.

And, as a last resort, we have the F-15's Biden keeps talking about.¹⁵¹

The international bankers don't want the high-income governments to have to do that, because it'll draw unwanted attention and make a big mess.

But if insubordinate populations force our hands, we'll try to deploy the bombers. Military morale and competency is pretty low, so I don't know how long we could sustain a domestic bombing campaign.

Bottom line: We have to hit those benchmarks.

If we hit the benchmarks, those of us who are still around will get bonuses.

If we don't hit the benchmarks, the international bankers are going to be big mad.

* * *

¹⁵⁰ https://www.reddit.com/r/Wallstreetsilver/comments/vsckla/a_list_of_food_processing_plants_damaged_or/

¹⁵¹ <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/biden-swipe-second-amendment-supporters-you-need-f15-take-on-federal-government>

Feb. 21, 2023 - Reconstitution starter pack. Supporting materials for people fighting on the litigation and legal reform battlefields.

Reconstitution Starter Pack Overview:

Support for civil litigation, criminal prosecution, and petitioning legitimate state, federal and local government officials to

1. Close down active biowarfare programs (including DOD producers and distributors of bioweapons, and public health authorities, pharmacies, hospitals, doctors' offices and schools promoting and using bioweapons);
2. Repeal enabling laws and dismantle agencies; and
3. Disempower and prosecute war criminals impersonating government officials.

*

There are a lot of useful ways for good men and women to engage in the war to restore Constitutional republican government on American soil, and I've written and talked about those approaches a lot.

The responses that I think hold most promise include:

- Pray the Rosary.
- Serve health care providers and health insurance providers with Notice of War Crimes. Notice of War Crimes ICD-10 Z28.310¹⁵² (PDF); Notice of War Crimes ICD-10 Z28.310¹⁵³ (Word)
- Pressure county and state political parties and lawmakers to pass resolutions banning distribution and use of bioweapons. County State Bioweapons Ban Resolution¹⁵⁴ (PDF); County State Bioweapons Ban Resolution¹⁵⁵ (Word). Adapted from Joseph Sansone, Ban the Jab Resolution.¹⁵⁶ *See also* Idaho House Bill 154,¹⁵⁷ criminalizing “providing or administering” mRNA injections, introduced Feb. 15, 2023.
- File civil lawsuits (state and federal) with plaintiffs and fact patterns that have potential to bridge to criminal prosecutions, including terrorism and treason prosecutions. One example is *Jackson v. Pfizer, Ventavia and ICON*,¹⁵⁸ which offers an opportunity to bridge from 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2) (False Claims Act) to 18 USC 2333 (Terrorism, civil remedies)/18 USC 175 (biological weapons)/18 USC 229 (chemical weapons) and from there to 18 USC 2381 (Treason). These complaints should emphasize that individual war criminals, terrorists and traitors currently committing crimes from within US Government agencies (including DOD, HHS, DHS, DOJ, Treasury, Commerce, Agriculture, etc.) are **impersonating** government officials, illegitimately occupying government offices, and operating outside the scope of official government authority, because legitimate governments cannot and do not make war against their own people. I've explored this framing here,¹⁵⁹ here¹⁶⁰ and here.¹⁶¹
- Educate and exert sociopolitical pressure on public prosecutors to file criminal charges. Current most-promising targets are county district attorneys and sheriffs, and state attorney generals/AGs who may be open to learning about how things have gone off the rails without their knowledge or consent, as preparation for doing their part to get things back on track. A future federal Attorney General may eventually become useful,

¹⁵² <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/notice-of-war-crimes-icd-10-z28.310.pdf>

¹⁵³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/notice-of-war-crimes-icd-10-z28.310.docx>

¹⁵⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/03/2023.03.01-template-county-state-ban-resolution.pdf>

¹⁵⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/03/2023.03.01-template-county-state-ban-resolution.docx>

¹⁵⁶ <https://josephsansone.substack.com/p/ban-the-jab-resolution>

¹⁵⁷ <https://legislature.idaho.gov/wp-content/uploads/sessioninfo/2023/legislation/H0154.pdf>

¹⁵⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/recap-of-jackson-v-pfizer-whistleblower>

¹⁵⁹ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/subsidiarity>

¹⁶⁰ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/dual-use-government-officials-of>

¹⁶¹ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-the-impalement-of-embedded-treasonous>

but the current occupant of the office (Merrick Garland) is knowingly impersonating a law enforcement official and knowingly committing war crimes. Lists of crimes and criminals here.¹⁶² *Also:* War criminals list¹⁶³ (PDF)

- Support state and federal judges who deny motions to dismiss and bring cases forward through discovery, pretrial and trial phases.
- Pressure county and state lawmakers to pass new laws blocking the enforcement and/or repealing bad state, federal and international laws. Many state legislatures are working along these lines already. Prior coverage here here¹⁶⁴ and here.¹⁶⁵ To find the medical martial law programs already established in your state, and the bills proposed to expand or block them, *see* 1) State Laws Limiting Public Health Protections: Hazardous for Our Health;¹⁶⁶ 2) Temple University Center for Public Health Law Research Policy Surveillance Program;¹⁶⁷ 3) US data set for Reallocation of Public Authority;¹⁶⁸ 4) National Conference of State Legislatures, 2021 list¹⁶⁹ (quarantine and isolation laws); 5) Stand for Health Freedom;¹⁷⁰ 6) The Unity Project.¹⁷¹
- Pressure federal lawmakers to withdraw from (repeal authorizations for US participation in) and stop funding bad international treaties and/or pass new laws blocking the domestic enforcement of bad international treaties. Getting Americans out of the World Health Organization and out from under the WHO International Health Regulations¹⁷² are top priorities. *See* Rep. Andy Biggs H.R. 79,¹⁷³ introduced Jan 2023.
- Pressure federal lawmakers to repeal bad existing laws and stop funding programs pseudo-authorized by those laws. Prior coverage of federal enabling laws that need to be repealed: here¹⁷⁴ and here.¹⁷⁵
- Pressure state legislators and corporation commissions to revoke the charters of bioweapons manufacturing companies including Pfizer (Delaware), ATI (South Carolina) and ANSER (Virginia). Also, pressure other state governments to prosecute Delaware, South Carolina and Virginia governments for knowingly harboring criminal organizations producing chemical and biological weapons of mass destruction. Prior coverage here.¹⁷⁶
- Support international terrorism and war crimes prosecutions, by reinforcing that the war criminals currently hiding within all national governments worldwide are **non-State actors**; they are allied with BIS/WHO/WEF/etc. which are not lawful nation-state governments.

[If you're interested in organic constitution and state nationals issues, please subscribe to Shire Herald¹⁷⁷ for further information and comment threads, and/or check out TASA.¹⁷⁸

*

The goal as I see it is to build up social, political, legislative, prosecutorial and judicial conditions through which ordinary people can restore our Constitutional republican government to basic functioning and moral legitimacy.

Key facts to keep in mind: human beings change our minds and change our behavior in response to changes in our rational understanding of reality. Reality is also in a state of constant change, apart from the unchanging reality of God.

¹⁶² <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/war-criminals>

¹⁶³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.23-war-criminals-pdf.pdf>

¹⁶⁴ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/state-level-mini-me-government-run>

¹⁶⁵ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/forced-internment-on-communicable>

¹⁶⁶ <https://www.networkforphl.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Analysis-of-State-Laws-Limiting-Public-Health-Protections-1.pdf>

¹⁶⁷ <https://lawatlas.org/topics>

¹⁶⁸ <https://lawatlas.org/datasets/public-health-authority-shiftss>

¹⁶⁹ <https://www.ncsl.org/research/health/state-quarantine-and-isolation-statutes.aspx>

¹⁷⁰ <https://standforhealthfreedom.com/>

¹⁷¹ <https://www.theunityproject.org/>

¹⁷² <https://jamesroguski.substack.com/p/50-really-bad-amendments>

¹⁷³ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/79?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22WHO+Withdrawal+Act%22%5D%7D&s=1&r=1>

¹⁷⁴ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/22-worst-congressional-bioterrorism>

¹⁷⁵ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/repost-pharmaco-military-genocide>

¹⁷⁶ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-harboring-and-financing-contract>

¹⁷⁷ <https://shirenews.substack.com/>

¹⁷⁸ <https://tasa.americanstatenationals.org/>

If you think that it's all over and we're doomed because the forces of evil are permanently beyond challenge — that they exist on a plane outside the realm in which change, resistance, overthrow and restoration of sound, properly-ordered government are possible — think again.

More specifically:

- Deep corruption is real, and so are reform and restoration of integrity.
- Prosecutors sometimes ignore criminal acts, and sometimes they change their minds and start investigations and file charges.
- Legislatures sometimes pass bad laws. And legislators sometimes change their minds and introduce and vote for bills to repeal bad laws.
- Courts sometimes ignore bad laws and let them stand and be enforced. And judges sometimes change their minds, take judicial notice of bad laws and nullify them.

The documents linked below are useful for orientation/education purposes, and some can be used as templates, by substituting state and local health officials for federal health officials, for example.

If you want to download, read and use them in your work pressuring county, state and federal lawmakers, prosecutors, governors and judges, or developing cases to file yourself, please do.

Reconstitution Starter Pack

- Jan 2023 Legal History American Domestic Bioterrorism Program¹⁷⁹ - Nine-page version of the American Domestic Bioterrorism Program timeline, with five pages of footnotes.
- July 2018 ICAN HHS Stipulation No monitoring of vaccines¹⁸⁰ - Informed Consent Action Network v. HHS, stipulation re: no HHS safety data collection or safety review for childhood bioweapons since program established in 1986. This document corroborates the pattern of HHS failure — back to 1986 — to monitor or report to Congress on bioweapons use, morbidity and mortality. (Note: After filing the stipulation, HHS located two reports, for 1988 and 1989, and no further reports thereafter, leaving the evidentiary value of the 2018 stipulation intact.)
- June 2021 HHS CDC Re Vaxxes are US Gov Property; diversion prohibited¹⁸¹ - *CDC COVID-19 Vaccination Program Provider Agreement*. Notifies end users (pharmacists, nurses, doctors) who handle DOD-Pfizer bioweapons that diversion of any vials from the supply chain will be prosecuted as federal theft; the bioweapons are US DOD property until injected into military targets. Relevant section highlighted in yellow. Attorney Warner Mendenhall located this information and reported on it here.¹⁸²
- September 2022 IJVTRP Paper: What is in the so-called vaccines?¹⁸³ *International Journal Vaccines Theory Practice Research* paper by David Hughes. Evidence gathered by 26 independent independent research teams studying vials diverted from the supply chain. Provides reinforcing evidence that the DOD and its contractors have not disclosed the complete contents of each vial, lot or batch of bioweapons material, such that contents injected into each military target are unknown. Some ingredients have been identified by independent investigators; many ingredients remain unidentified.
- February 2023 Latypova Memo re cGMP intentional noncompliance 2 p;¹⁸⁴ 2022.12.18 Latypova Memo re cGMP intentional noncompliance 12 p.¹⁸⁵ Two memos by Sasha Latypova compiling evidence of intentional noncompliance with certified Good Manufacturing Practice and other pharmaceutical regulations.
- January 2023 Watt Draft 18 USC 2333 Terrorism Complaint Outline¹⁸⁶ Azar Kadlec Gruber Hinton - Draft introduction for a federal civil case that could be brought under 18 USC 2333, civil cause of action for terrorism

¹⁷⁹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/jan.-2023-legal-history-american-domestic-bioterrorism-program.pdf>

¹⁸⁰ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2018.07.09-ican-hhs-stipulation-no-monitoring-of-vaccines.pdf>

¹⁸¹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2021.06.11-hhs-cdc-re-us-gov-crime-diversion-of-vaccines-prohibited-dl-09.2022.pdf>

¹⁸² <https://www.covidlawcast.com/p/covid-injections-a-dod-prototype>

¹⁸³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/10/2022.09.03-ijvrp-vaxxes-26-.pdf>

¹⁸⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.13-latypova-memo-re-cgmp-intentional-noncompliance-2-p.pdf>

¹⁸⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2022.12.18-latypova-memo-re-cgmp-intentional-noncompliance-12-p.pdf>

¹⁸⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/01/2023.01.10-draft-18-usc-2333-complaint-background-azar-kadlec-gruber-hinton.pdf>

crimes, against federal FDA/HHS officials. (Such a case has not yet been filed). The draft could be used as a template to write state civil complaints, in states that have their own laws prohibiting chemical and biological weapons of mass destruction (i.e. Florida¹⁸⁷), especially if the state also has a civil cause of action for injuries stemming from criminal acts (i.e. Ohio¹⁸⁸).

- February 2023 Watt Memo re cGMP two prong dilemma argument¹⁸⁹ - Structural argument; to defend, US Government must take one of two positions: mass murder using bioweapons is the official policy of the US Government, and officials carrying it out are fully authorized to do so, or mass murder using bioweapons is prohibited under US law, and US Government officials carrying it out are rogue elements who can and should be removed from power, charged, tried and punished. Same structure applies to bioweapons as non-regulated pharmaceutical products. Could be adapted to address state and local health officials and state/local non-regulation of product safety.
- February 2023 Five Step Guide Communicating with Office Holders.¹⁹⁰ Written by Elle Morgan. Prior coverage here.¹⁹¹ More information at Morgan's Substack, Human Writes.¹⁹²

Other documents:

- County State Bioweapons Ban Resolution¹⁹³ (PDF); County State Bioweapons Ban Resolution¹⁹⁴ (Word)
- Notice of War Crimes to Health Care Providers and Health Insurance Corporations¹⁹⁵ (PDF); Notice of War Crimes to Health Care Providers and Health Insurance Corporations¹⁹⁶ (Word)
- Affidavit of Noncompliance.¹⁹⁷ For personal filing at county courthouses. Prior coverage here.¹⁹⁸
- Notice of War Crimes Complicity, local state officials 18 USC 2441¹⁹⁹ - For personal notification of state governments, school officials, doctors/nurses/pharmacists, and others re: their ongoing complicity with war crimes, by their acts to force or submit to bioweapons injections.

* * *

¹⁸⁷ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-american-state-level-prosecution>

¹⁸⁸ <https://codes.ohio.gov/ohio-revised-code/section-2307.60>

¹⁸⁹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.06-watt-memo-re-cgmp-two-prong-dilemma-argument.pdf>

¹⁹⁰ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/five-step-guide-communicating-office-holders.pdf>

¹⁹¹ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-initiating-awkward-conversations>

¹⁹² <https://ellemorgan.substack.com/p/can-you-play-the-cello>

¹⁹³ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/03/2023.03.01-template-county-state-ban-resolution.pdf>

¹⁹⁴ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/03/2023.03.01-template-county-state-ban-resolution.docx>

¹⁹⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/notice-of-war-crimes-icd-10-z28.310.pdf>

¹⁹⁶ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/notice-of-war-crimes-icd-10-z28.310.docx>

¹⁹⁷ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2022/11/affidavit-of-noncompliance-with-title-case-type.pdf>

¹⁹⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/affidavit-of-noncompliance>

¹⁹⁹ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2022-template-notice-of-war-crimes-complicity-local-state-officials-18-usc-2441.pdf>

Feb. 23, 2023 - Idaho leading the charge to criminalize administration of Covid-19 bioweapons. Also war criminals list in PDF format, and some Q&A.

War criminals list²⁰⁰ (February 2023 PDF version). List first posted August 2022,²⁰¹ reposted January 2023.²⁰²

Readers interested in organic constitution and state nationals issues, please subscribe to Shire Herald²⁰³ and/or check out TASA.²⁰⁴ I don't cover those topics at Bailiwick.

Idaho HB 154

Idaho state lawmakers are taking the fight where it needs to go: criminalizing use Covid-19 **bioweapons**, as contrasted with attempts to regulate them as “vaccines,” drugs, devices, biologics or other pharmaceutical products.

- Feb. 20, 2023 - Idaho Lawmakers Seek to Criminalize Giving mRNA Vaccines.²⁰⁵ Naveen Athrappully writing at Epoch Times.

House Bill 154²⁰⁶ was introduced on Feb. 15 by Idaho Senator Tammy Nichols and Representative Judy Boyle, and referred to the Health and Welfare Committee on Feb. 16.²⁰⁷

If passed, administering Covid-19 bioweapons would be a criminal misdemeanor in Idaho.

This law doesn't go far enough. Eventually, all individual acts taken to suppress another person's self-preservation instinct, by misrepresenting lethal injections as beneficial pharmaceutical products, will be recognized in law as felonies and war atrocities.

But Nichols and Boyle are making a very good start, and their work as outspoken, courageous state lawmakers — even if the bill doesn't pass — helps to back the public conversation out of the 'FDA-regulated vaccines' cul-de-sac and drive it onto the 'DOD-contracted bioweapons' road that ends in war crimes trials for Fauci, Gates, Azar, Kadlec, Gruber, Hinton and their co-conspirators.²⁰⁸

Text of Idaho HB 154:²⁰⁹

Relating to Crimes; Amending Chapter 9, Title 18, Idaho Code, by the addition of a new section 18-926, to provide that providing or administering an mRNA vaccine is a misdemeanor; and declaring an emergency and providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Idaho:

SECTION 1. That Chapter 9, Title 18, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition thereto of a NEW SECTION, to be known and designated as Section 18-926, Idaho Code, and to read as follows:
18-926. ADMINISTERING AN MRNA VACCINE.

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a person may not provide or administer a vaccine developed using messenger ribonucleic acid technology for use in an individual or any other mammal in this state.

(2) A person who violates this section is guilty of a misdemeanor.

²⁰⁰ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.23-war-criminals-pdf.pdf>

²⁰¹ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/us-federal-crimes-for-which-there>

²⁰² <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/war-criminals>

²⁰³ <https://shirenews.substack.com/>

²⁰⁴ <https://tasa.americanstatenationals.org/>

²⁰⁵ https://www.theepochtimes.com/idaho-lawmakers-seeking-to-criminalize-injecting-of-mrna-covid-19-vaccines_5069840.html

²⁰⁶ <https://legislature.idaho.gov/wp-content/uploads/sessioninfo/2023/legislation/H0154.pdf>

²⁰⁷ <https://legislature.idaho.gov/sessioninfo/2023/legislation/H0154/>

²⁰⁸ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/war-criminals>

²⁰⁹ <https://legislature.idaho.gov/wp-content/uploads/sessioninfo/2023/legislation/H0154.pdf>

SECTION 2. An emergency existing therefor, which emergency is hereby declared to exist, this act shall be in full force and effect on and after July 1, 2023

*

Wyoming HB 143

On Feb. 6, I posted about Wyoming House Bill 143,²¹⁰ sponsored by Rep. Jeanette Ward, to block CDC and WHO jurisdiction within Wyoming's borders and thereby add state law protections — for the people of Wyoming — against foreign invasion, occupation, fraud, murder and other crimes covered up by the criminals classifying themselves as public health officials; classifying lethal injection programs as “public health emergency” programs; and classifying facemasks, in-home detention, and bioweapons as medical countermeasures and communicable disease control.

A reader sent me a report that the bill failed to pass the Wyoming House by a 29-32 vote.

Feb. 8, 2023 - *House Kills Effort To Exempt Wyoming From CDC COVID-19 Regs.*²¹¹ Leo Wolfson writing at Cowboy State Daily.

"A bill that would have prevented Wyoming from following World Health Organization and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for COVID-19 restrictions died on a close 32-29²¹² vote on the House floor Wednesday afternoon.

House Bill 143²¹³ would have stripped the organizations of having recognized jurisdiction in Wyoming and any of their related requirements, mandates, recommendations, instructions or guidance related to the virus and pandemic.

The original bill that passed out of the Legislature's House Labor Health and Social Services Committee included **all health mandates and requirements**. The bill was amended on its first reading Monday to only include COVID regulations.

Rep. Jeanette Ward, R-Casper, who sponsored HB 143, said the effort was an attempt at a Wyoming-first policy when it comes to making health care decisions..."

It's disappointing that Wyoming HB 143 didn't pass the Wyoming House on the first try, but the pioneering lawmakers pushing into the new territory are doing the right thing and should be encouraged and supported to bounce back up from the mat and throw more punches.

For most sane people, it's extremely confusing to realize that the CDC and World Health Organization are military organizations, and that they are working to sicken, sterilize and murder billions of people with bioweapons they prefer to call 'vaccines.'

If you're interested in engaging in the war on those horrifying but real terms, keep pushing every state lawmaker, in every statehouse, to sponsor and push similar laws.

Get them working on laws to criminalize CDC and WHO bodily trespass of sovereign human beings through 'requirements, mandates, recommendations, instructions or guidance,' as Wyoming lawmakers had an opportunity to do.

Get them working to criminalize the act of plunging the needles of the bioweapons known as 'vaccines' into living human beings, as Idaho lawmakers now have an opportunity to do.

²¹⁰ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/wyoming-state-lawmakers-standing>

²¹¹ <https://cowboystatedaily.com/2023/02/08/house-kills-effort-to-exempt-wyoming-from-cdc-covid-19-regs/>

²¹² <https://wyoleg.gov/Legislation/2023/HB0143>

We're involved in a war we didn't start, and we're late to the battlefield because the deadliest weapons have been smuggled in through a Trojan horse called "public health emergencies," loaded with weapons called "vaccines" and "countermeasures."

The killers are going to fight hard to continue killing, and to continue pretending that they're not killers, and that what they're doing is something other than killing.

All of us are targets, and we don't stop being targets when we're quiet and submissive.

Quiet submission just makes it easier for the killers to keep killing; staring into the middle distance as the morbidity and mortality reports, and fertility collapse reports,²¹⁴ and obituaries²¹⁵ are lofted vaguely in their general direction, saying:

"Mass murder? No, no. It's a public health emergency! Take your booster, peasant."

*

On tracking the legal counter-offensive

I'm not going to be able to track all of the hundreds of state, local and federal bills introduced as part of the rapidly growing counter-offensive in this war against pseudo-legalized atrocities.

I've started a notebook for that purpose, with a page for each American state, and will log as many as I can and write about the ones that strike me as most on-point, such as the Wyoming and Idaho bills.

*

Reader question

How do you see the childhood vaccination program in relation to the medical martial law? What is the accurate verbal description of what childhood vaccines are? This is from *The Defender* regarding impact of vaccinations on infant mortality.²¹⁶

My reply

I think the childhood vaccine schedule is part of the long-term globalist project to reduce life expectancy, immune system function, and fertility, through toxic products labeled as vaccines and regarded by the public as beneficial and in support of the common good.

I did not think that before Covid. I do think that now.

The childhood vaccine bioweapon schedule relationship to the medical martial law system is the same as the CDC adult Covid-injection recommendations that are then construed and enforced by state governments, private employers and other entities as requirements.

They've already gotten most parents to vaccinate biologically attack most children with most of the products on the CDC list over the last 40 years, in part by conditioning school attendance on compliance, with limited exemptions. They're expanding that model now to get most people to take most injections, as a condition for having a job and earning an income, or serving in the military, or attending school post K-12.

²¹⁴ <https://colleenhuber.substack.com/p/birth-rates-plunge-in-heavily-vaccinated>

²¹⁵ <https://markcrispinmiller.substack.com/>

²¹⁶ <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/09603271111407644#con1>

Reader question

What is the reliability of the judicial system today? Can we with effort get effective results?

My reply

The judicial system — like all the other government systems — is undergoing a Constitutional crisis.

There are judges of conscience who would like to stand up for the Constitution and restore stability and soundness, but they are weak, and scared to step forward.

It's worth engaging with the system to push for sound application of sound law and nullification of illegitimate law, but it's important to do it knowing how unstable and internally conflicted it is right now, and that no one can know now, how long it will take for stability to be restored, or precisely what that process will look like.

* * *

Feb. 24, 2023 - Notice of War Crimes to Health Care Providers and Health Insurance Providers

If you decide to use this notice, print out two copies and keep a signed copy for your records. If you want to revise the text before taking it to a medical appointment, download and edit the Word version.

- Notice of War Crimes to Health Care Providers and Health Insurance Corporations²¹⁷ (PDF)
- Notice of War Crimes to Health Care Providers and Health Insurance Corporations²¹⁸ (Word)

NOTICE OF WAR CRIMES

If you have been promoting or using products known as "Covid-19 vaccines" on patients since December 2020, you have been participating in fraud, mass murder and war crimes, because medical countermeasures (MCMs), covered countermeasures, and prototype products are DOD-contracted bioweapons intended and effective for injuring, sickening and killing recipients.

You may not have known or understood your participation in fraud, mass murder and war crimes before today. I am now informing you; you have now been given notice.

This document provides:

- NOTICE OF DEMAND that YOU, PROVIDER, CEASE AND DESIST from committing acts of *additional* fraud, mass murder and war crimes, effective as of the date of this notice, and immediately close your vaccination and immunization programs.
- NOTICE OF MY REFUSAL TO PARTICIPATE IN OR SUBMIT TO, acts of fraud, mass murder, war crimes and financial crimes you may attempt to commit today or during future visits to your facility.
- NOTICE OF MY INTENT TO PROSECUTE you for fraud, mass murder, war crimes and financial crimes you commit today or during future visits to your facility, by providing witness testimony and other evidence; and
- DEMAND FOR NOTICE - If you intend to restrict my access to medical care, today or during future visits, due to my refusal to participate in, aid or abet the commission of crimes, notify me immediately, in writing, of the terms and conditions of such access restrictions.

I, [John Doe], hereby notify you, [physician, nurse, pharmacist, medical student or other 'vaccinator,' 'qualified person,' or 'covered person' as designated under PREP Act, 42 USC § 247d-6d(i)(8);²¹⁹ 42 USC 247d-6d(c)(4), and related declarations, determinations, notices, regulations and guidance issued by the Department of Health and Human Services.]

²¹⁷ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/notice-of-war-crimes-icd-10-z28.310.pdf>

²¹⁸ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/notice-of-war-crimes-icd-10-z28.310.docx>

²¹⁹ https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/247d-6d#i_8

1. Individuals claiming to represent the US Government are engaged in a global chemical and biological warfare program to sicken, injure and kill targets. They are operating a chemical and biological weapons production, distribution and deployment program, through the US Department of Defense, US Department of Health and Human Services, federal, state and local health agencies and organizations, and pharmaceutical manufacturing corporations. They are funding, producing, stockpiling, and deploying lethal bioweapons fraudulently labeled, marketed and promoted as "Covid-19 vaccines," through your hands and the hands of other medical care providers around the world.

2. These DOD bioweapons have now contaminated the development, manufacture, supply, distribution and dispensing of all pharmaceutical products throughout the world. All products promoted, marketed or labeled as "immunizations" and "vaccines" are now presumptively toxic.

3. In 1996, Congress and President Clinton passed legislation arising from the Nuremberg trials and the Nuremberg Code, to protect Americans from war criminals committing war crimes, including torture, mutilation, maiming and murder. *See* 18 USC 2441.²²⁰

4. I therefore formally REFUSE TO SUBMIT to any and all "immunization" and/or "vaccination" procedures from this date forward. It is not moral or lawful for me to submit to, commit, endorse, participate in or facilitate fraud, mass murder or war crimes.

5. The causal relationships between DOD bioweapons injections, and target injuries and deaths, were known to DOD and HHS officials, and to contract manufacturers, in Spring 2020, when the events fraudulently presented to the public as "clinical trials" began.

6. Thousands of health care providers have observed severe adverse effects (morbidity) and deaths (mortality) experienced by targets of DOD bioweapons since the covert, fraud-based, mass murder attack on the general public began in December 2020, and yet the criminal enterprise continues because you and other providers continue to participate in it.

7. To the extent you do not yet understand the military killing campaign in which you have been participating, I will provide you with supporting evidence and analysis upon request.

8. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recently announced several new ICD-10 codes for use by health care providers, health insurance companies, government agencies and/or international non-governmental organizations such as the World Health Organization. These ICD-10 codes include but are not limited to:

- ICD-10-CM Z28.0-, immunization not carried out because of contraindication
- ICD-10-CM Z28.1-, immunization not carried out because of patient decision for reasons of belief or group pressure
- ICD-10-CM Z28.2-, immunization not carried out because of patient decision for other and unspecified reason
- ICD-10-CM Z28.3, underimmunization status
- ICD-10-CM Z28.31, underimmunization for COVID-19 status
- ICD-10-CM Z28.310, unvaccinated for COVID-19
- ICD-10-CM Z28.311, partially vaccinated for COVID-19

9. Through IRS Form 1095, my employer-sponsored health insurance information and Social Security number are electronically accessible to the US Department of the Treasury. Through IRS Form 1040 and analogous forms, my bank routing and account numbers and Social Security number are also electronically accessible to the US Department of Treasury.

10. Therefore, your act of recording and/or submitting ICD-10 data regarding my REFUSAL TO SUBMIT to DOD bioweapons attacks, to my health insurance provider, employer and federal and international agencies, will expose me to digital theft of my financial resources by the IRS and Department of Treasury; digitally-blocked access to banking and financial transaction services; and resulting harms including joblessness, homelessness and hunger.

²²⁰ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/2441>

11. Your use of CDC-recommended ICD-10 Z28 codes from this date forward, aids and abets crimes under laws prohibiting fraud, coercion, theft, extortion, and related financial crimes. I therefore demand that you DO NOT LOG any "immunization" or "vaccination" ICD-10 codes into my medical records, digital, paper or any other format.

12. Effective as of the date of this Notice of War Crimes, any further conduct by you or your employees in furtherance of DOD bioweapons attacks on me shall be construed as your knowing, willing, intentional and malicious participation in fraud, mass murder, war crimes and financial crimes.

* * *

Feb. 28, 2023 - When reading or listening to globalist communications, translate 'vaccine' as bioweapon. Also new audio interview.

Excellent new contract reporting by Sasha Latypova:

- Feb. 27, 2023 - You cannot contract for a crime, but you CAN write a contract for it! Ask me how.²²¹ Dissecting DOD contracts for covid countermeasures. Part 1 - Pfizer's "Base Agreement."

New interview

- Preparing Nuremberg 2.0 vs. US Presidents, HHS and DOD Secretaries for domestic bioterrorism.²²² *China Rising*. James Bradley, Jeff Brown, Katherine Watt (30 min). Transcript available at link.

Excerpts from *China Rising* interview transcript:

James Bradley

...if you are addressing our international audience, what would you like to tell? What does Katherine Watt have to say about February 2023 and this whole COVID thing that we are involved in?

KW

...Their power looks much more impenetrable or overwhelming than it actually is because so much of it depends on people believing lies to be true. And so, the more you can see through the lies and see what's actually true, the less power they have over you and the more power you have to work with other people to push back against the programs that they're trying to put in place and the programs they have already put in place. I could say more about that. But that's the basic message is that it's built on lies and so it's made to look much, much stronger than it actually is.

JB:

So, if I'm listening to you for the first time and hearing a message of hope, what are my next steps? So, there is hope. There's a bunch of lies. How do I begin to penetrate?

KW:

Number one is to keep talking about it. People have been talking about it for three years now. And talking about it with each other is how we have figured out so many pieces of it. And that's why it was so important for them to do all the censorship and all the propaganda to confuse people and to put out false information and pretend it was true. And so, continuing to talk, even when they try to get you to shut up is, I think, priority number one.

²²¹ <https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/you-cannot-contract-for-a-crime-but>

²²² <https://chinarising.puntopress.com/2023/02/26/katherine-watt-is-preparing-nuremberg-2-0-vs-us-presidents-hhs-and-dod-secretaries-for-domestic-bioterrorism-build-the-gallows-jb-west-and-jb-east-present-see-you-in-the-hague-50/>

And you could talk to anybody you could think of to talk to in any format, writing or speaking or whatever.

And then beyond that, the other major piece of what the globalists need, for their system to clamp down as hard as they want it to, is for people to give up on their own national governments, which is easy to do because it looks like your national governments have completely betrayed you and elements of your national government have completely betrayed you.

But I still think it's important to stick to your loyalty, to your constitutions, and to the concept of having a national government that represents the people and protects the people in a nation-state. Because the reason they need to undermine your loyalty to that is so they can bring in the one world government and tell you this is a solution to the problem that you have with your terrible corrupt government, when in fact they are the entity that has corrupted your national government and they cannot fix it.

They do not want to make it any better or more responsive to you or more protective of you than it is. So, you have to be on the other side saying no. World Health Organization, get out. World Economic Forum, get out. The United Nations, get out. We do not want your one-world government. We want our own national government back in our own hands...

...

James Bradley

I have a friend who's on the board of directors of a major clinic in the Midwest. And how is it possible that a civilian board of directors, bankers, lawyers, people from all walks of life, not just doctors, that there is a board and then underneath that board, there's an administrator, major clinic. There are many doctors and nurses in this clinic and they have been administering a bioweapon for three years? So how is that possible that there's not a demonstration, that no one's throwing eggs at the window, that the board is allowing this to happen? I mean, how do you get hundreds of people in an institution? They must be hearing the feedback that you're talking about.

KW

You incentivize them. You tell them and you demonstrate to them that if they cooperate, they will continue to get funding. They will continue to have jobs. They continue to be the leaders of this type of organization. And if they do not cooperate, they will be cut off for money in their personal and professional contexts. They will lose their businesses. They will lose their homes. Their marriages will fall apart. It's a carrot and stick and it's extremely effective.

And it runs from the very, very top for the Bank for International Settlements, holding that carrot-and-stick-like system over each national government and each central bank of each government. And then the central banks and the national governments hold it over the state governments and the state governments hold it over the counties and the counties hold it over the towns and the school boards and the hospitals. And then they hold it over people who work at all of those organizations.

And it's very effective...

* * *

Medico-military jargon: When reading or listening to globalist communications, translate 'vaccine' as bioweapon.

Below is a translated version of the introduction to the World Health Organization Bioweapons Crisis Communication Manual, which the globalist banksters call the WHO Regional Office for Europe "Vaccine Crisis Communication Manual."²²³

²²³ <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/352029/WHO-EURO-2022-3471-43230-60590-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
Bailiwick News - February 2023 - Written and compiled by Katherine Watt. kgwatt@protonmail.com

I've corrected the typographical errors in the original, by replacing *vaccine* with *bioweapon*; *safety* with *fraud*; *trust* with *submissiveness*; *public health* with *mass murder*; and other corrections.

The translated version offers an accurate account of the project WHO has undertaken on behalf of the Bank for International Settlements.

Introduction

Every bioweapons attack eventually faces events that have the potential to erode submissiveness to bioweapons and/or bioweapons attacks, and the authorities delivering them.

This could be a change in the routine bioweapons schedule, the introduction of a new bioweapon, public disclosure of true information about bioweapons, or any untoward medical occurrence which follows a bioweapons attack, and which has been caused by recommended or mandated usage of the bioweapons.

In this manual we refer to these collectively as “bioweapons-related events”.

For bioweapons programmes, it is crucial to be well prepared and have a mechanism in place to respond to bioweapons-related events, which may, at any time, evolve into credibility crises for authorities who insist on misrepresenting bioweapons as medicinal products.

This document provides bioweapons programmes and other stakeholders with step-by-step guidance on how to effectively manage the communication response to bioweapons-related events, particularly those with a potentially high impact on public anger and resistance toward those who fund and develop bioweapons, promote or mandate bioweapons use, or attack using bioweapons, which are therefore considered to be a bioweapons crisis.

Countries are encouraged to use this manual as inspiration to create their own, country- and context-specific bioweapons crisis communication plans.

While there are three general phases of bioweapons crisis management, this manual mainly focuses on communications actions related to the response phase.

However, to provide the broader context, it also offers suggestions for assessing the communication response to bioweapons-related events that may not develop into a crisis, and a short section on communication actions during the preparedness and post-crisis evaluation phases (Fig. 1).

The manual draws on the WHO Regional Office for Europe Bioweapons fraud communication library. (2)

Throughout the manual, references are made to documents in the online library for further information and guidance. When communicating about and during a so-called “bioweapons crisis” (see definition in “Glossary” page iv), the main goal is to rebuild submissiveness to bioweapons as one of the most important mass murder interventions.

As crisis management is an iterative and rapidly evolving process, the order of suggested actions may vary in practice...

*

Interested readers can read and translate the rest of the manual.

Consider it in the context of recent ‘look-over-there!’ attention redirects mounted by high-visibility individuals within and outside of the so-called Medical Freedom Movement (MFM).

I think the more useful movement to be in right now — as the *intentional, premeditated* toxicity of the bioweapons and *globally-coordinated* inculcation of fear and confusion to suppress social and cognitive functioning are both

becoming much more widely understood — is the Prosecute Bioterrorists-Posing-As-Public-Health-Officials Movement. (PBPAPHOM).

There's some overlap between the two movements.

But there are MFM leaders who are not interested in stopping publicly-funded, contract bioterrorism programs²²⁴ and are even less interested in prosecuting the war criminals²²⁵ who are running the programs as embedded false impersonators of federal government officials, to hide their true identities as active members of the BIS One-World-Government Advance Team.

- Jan. 16, 2023 - Dual-use government officials of concern
- Jan. 20, 2023 - Subsidiarity. Political, social and economic organizing principle that stands in opposition to centralized bio-digital totalitarianism
- Feb.7, 2023 - On the impalement of embedded, treasonous, DOD-HHS bioterrorists on the horns of their dilemmas. Revisiting double-bind challenges to the Covid-19 cullers and culling agents.

*

The translation technique demonstrated above can be applied to any publication issued by the Luciferian globalists attempting to consolidate power through the Hobson's choice:²²⁶

1. Submit to bioweapons anytime we say so, to obtain and maintain digital credentials temporarily (and revocably) authorizing your access to basic human necessities, at least until the toxins kill you; or
2. Don't submit, and instead starve and die of exposure when we cut off your access to food, water, energy, housing, work, transportation, social and financial systems.

²²⁴ <https://bailiwicknews.substack.com/p/on-harboring-and-financing-contract>

²²⁵ <https://bailiwicknewsarchives.files.wordpress.com/2023/02/2023.02.23-war-criminals-pdf.pdf>

²²⁶ <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/hobson-s-choice>